

集計表

表NO.1 地域区分別 所在地区分

		総計		都区部・指定都市		県庁所在市		中都市		小都市A		小都市B		町・村	
全国	計	837	100.0%	112	13.4%	110	13.1%	137	16.4%	252	30.1%	84	10.0%	142	17.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	28	8.6%	29	8.9%	41	12.6%	97	29.8%	43	13.2%	87	26.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	84	16.4%	81	15.8%	96	18.8%	155	30.3%	41	8.0%	55	10.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	16	12.5%	14	10.9%	16	12.5%	34	26.6%	14	10.9%	34	26.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	3	5.8%	2	3.8%	13	25.0%	8	15.4%	23	44.2%
	民 営	76	100.0%	13	17.1%	11	14.5%	14	18.4%	21	27.6%	6	7.9%	11	14.5%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	40	22.5%	12	6.7%	47	26.4%	53	29.8%	6	3.4%	20	11.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	16	21.6%	5	6.8%	15	20.3%	20	27.0%	4	5.4%	14	18.9%
	民 営	104	100.0%	24	23.1%	7	6.7%	32	30.8%	33	31.7%	2	1.9%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	15	15.5%	9	9.3%	23	23.7%	28	28.9%	7	7.2%	15	15.5%
	公 営	46	100.0%	5	10.9%	1	2.2%	13	28.3%	14	30.4%	1	2.2%	12	26.1%
	民 営	51	100.0%	10	19.6%	8	15.7%	10	19.6%	14	27.5%	6	11.8%	3	5.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	---	---	19	20.4%	11	11.8%	38	40.9%	11	11.8%	14	15.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	4	7.7%	5	9.6%	22	42.3%	9	17.3%	12	23.1%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	15	36.6%	6	14.6%	16	39.0%	2	4.9%	2	4.5%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	22	21.6%	18	17.6%	17	16.7%	31	30.4%	8	7.8%	6	5.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	11	37.9%	5	17.2%	4	13.8%
	民 営	73	100.0%	20	27.4%	12	16.4%	16	21.9%	20	27.4%	3	4.1%	2	2.7%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	4	4.1%	18	18.4%	15	15.3%	28	28.6%	15	15.3%	18	18.4%
	公 営	44	100.0%	1	2.3%	6	13.6%	4	9.1%	10	22.7%	11	25.0%	12	27.3%
	民 営	54	100.0%	3	5.6%	12	22.2%	11	20.4%	18	33.3%	4	7.4%	6	11.1%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	15	10.6%	20	14.2%	8	5.7%	40	28.4%	23	16.3%	35	24.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	7	25.0%	5	17.9%	10	35.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	14	12.4%	16	14.2%	7	6.2%	33	29.2%	18	15.9%	25	22.1%

表NO.2 地域区分別 回答者

		総計		保育所長		主任保育士		保育士		市町村の担当者		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	759	90.7%	43	5.1%	1	0.1%	7	0.8%	26	3.1%	1	0.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	15	4.6%	1	0.3%	7	2.2%	6	1.8%	1	0.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	464	90.6%	28	5.5%	---	---	---	---	20	3.9%	---	---
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	120	93.8%	4	3.1%	---	---	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	49	94.2%	1	1.9%	---	---	2	3.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	71	93.4%	3	3.9%	---	---	---	---	2	2.6%	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	158	88.8%	10	5.6%	1	0.6%	2	1.1%	7	3.9%	---	---
	公 営	74	100.0%	65	87.8%	4	5.4%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	2	2.7%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	93	89.4%	6	5.8%	---	---	---	---	5	4.8%	---	---
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	88	90.7%	2	2.1%	---	---	2	2.1%	4	4.1%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	41	89.1%	2	4.3%	---	---	2	4.3%	---	---	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	47	92.2%	---	---	---	---	---	---	4	7.8%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	86	92.5%	4	4.3%	---	---	---	---	3	3.2%	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	48	92.3%	2	3.8%	---	---	---	---	2	3.8%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	38	92.7%	2	4.9%	---	---	---	---	1	2.4%	---	---
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	92	90.2%	6	5.9%	---	---	1	1.0%	3	2.9%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	---	---	1	3.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	65	89.0%	5	6.8%	---	---	---	---	3	4.1%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	92	93.9%	5	5.1%	---	---	---	---	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	41	93.2%	2	4.5%	---	---	---	---	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	51	94.4%	3	5.6%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	123	87.2%	12	8.5%	---	---	---	---	6	4.3%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	24	85.7%	3	10.7%	---	---	---	---	1	3.6%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	99	87.6%	9	8.0%	---	---	---	---	5	4.4%	---	---

表NO.3 所在地区別 回答者

		総計		保育所長		主任保育士		保育士		市町村の担当者		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	759	90.7%	43	5.1%	1	0.1%	7	0.8%	26	3.1%	1	0.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	15	4.6%	1	0.3%	7	2.2%	6	1.8%	1	0.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	464	90.6%	28	5.5%	---	---	---	---	20	3.9%	---	---
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	100	89.3%	6	5.4%	---	---	1	0.9%	5	4.5%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	27	96.4%	---	---	---	---	1	3.6%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	73	86.9%	6	7.1%	---	---	---	---	5	6.0%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	98	89.1%	9	8.2%	---	---	---	---	3	2.7%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	28	96.6%	1	3.4%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	70	86.4%	8	9.9%	---	---	---	---	3	3.7%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	124	90.5%	7	5.1%	---	---	1	0.7%	5	3.6%	---	---
	公 営	41	100.0%	37	90.2%	3	7.3%	---	---	1	2.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	87	90.6%	4	4.2%	---	---	---	---	5	5.2%	---	---
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	237	94.0%	8	3.2%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	4	1.6%	1	0.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	89	91.8%	4	4.1%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%
	民 営	155	100.0%	148	95.5%	4	2.6%	---	---	---	---	3	1.9%	---	---
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	79	94.0%	2	2.4%	---	---	---	---	3	3.6%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	40	93.0%	1	2.3%	---	---	---	---	2	4.7%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	39	95.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	---	---	1	2.4%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	121	85.2%	11	7.7%	---	---	4	2.8%	6	4.2%	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	74	85.1%	6	6.9%	---	---	4	4.6%	3	3.4%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	47	85.5%	5	9.1%	---	---	---	---	3	5.5%	---	---

表NO.4 地域区別 経営主体

		総計		公 営		民 営	
全国	計	837	100.0%	325	38.8%	512	61.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	325	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	---	---	512	100.0%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	52	40.6%	76	59.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	52	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	76	100.0%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	74	41.6%	104	58.4%
	公 営	74	100.0%	74	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	---	---	104	100.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	46	47.4%	51	52.6%
	公 営	46	100.0%	46	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	51	100.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	52	55.9%	41	44.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	52	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	41	100.0%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	29	28.4%	73	71.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	29	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	---	---	73	100.0%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	44	44.9%	54	55.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	44	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	---	---	54	100.0%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	28	19.9%	113	80.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	28	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	---	---	113	100.0%

表NO.5 所在地区別 経営主体

		総計		公営		民営	
総計	計	837	100.0%	325	38.8%	512	61.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	325	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	---	---	512	100.0%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	28	25.0%	84	75.0%
	公 営	28	100.0%	28	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	---	---	84	100.0%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	29	26.4%	81	73.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	29	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	81	100.0%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	41	29.9%	96	70.1%
	公 営	41	100.0%	41	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	---	---	96	100.0%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	97	38.5%	155	61.5%
	公 営	97	100.0%	97	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	---	---	155	100.0%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	43	51.2%	41	48.8%
	公 営	43	100.0%	43	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	41	100.0%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	87	61.3%	55	38.7%
	公 営	87	100.0%	87	100.0%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	55	100.0%

表NO.6 地域区別 施設認可年

		総計		24年前		25～29年		30～34年		35～39年		40～44年		45～49年		50～59年		60～平成1年		平成2年以降	
全国	計	837	100.0%	44	5.3%	108	12.9%	62	7.4%	49	5.9%	99	11.8%	130	15.5%	212	25.3%	15	1.8%	118	14.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	12	3.7%	56	17.2%	35	10.8%	29	8.9%	46	14.2%	58	17.8%	68	20.9%	4	1.2%	17	5.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	32	6.3%	52	10.2%	27	5.3%	20	3.9%	53	10.4%	72	14.1%	144	28.1%	11	2.1%	101	19.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	5	3.9%	10	7.8%	7	5.5%	7	5.5%	18	14.1%	27	21.1%	33	25.8%	3	2.3%	18	14.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	4	7.7%	6	11.5%	4	7.7%	8	15.4%	14	26.9%	11	21.2%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	3	3.9%	6	7.9%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	10	13.2%	13	17.1%	22	28.9%	3	3.9%	15	19.7%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	10	5.6%	15	8.4%	13	7.3%	11	6.2%	28	15.7%	29	16.3%	41	23.0%	1	0.6%	30	16.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	2	2.7%	6	8.1%	4	5.4%	8	10.8%	13	17.6%	17	23.0%	18	24.3%	1	1.4%	5	6.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	8	7.7%	9	8.7%	9	8.7%	3	2.9%	15	14.4%	12	11.5%	23	22.1%	---	---	25	24.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	9	9.3%	11	11.3%	12	12.4%	6	6.2%	11	11.3%	16	16.5%	25	25.8%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	3	6.5%	7	15.2%	8	17.4%	4	8.7%	7	15.2%	6	13.0%	10	21.7%	1	2.2%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	6	11.8%	4	7.8%	4	7.8%	2	3.9%	4	7.8%	10	19.6%	15	29.4%	1	2.0%	5	9.8%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	13	14.0%	7	7.5%	5	5.4%	14	15.1%	12	12.9%	24	25.8%	1	1.1%	14	15.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	10	19.2%	4	7.7%	4	7.7%	6	11.5%	9	17.3%	11	21.2%	---	---	7	13.5%
	民 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	3	7.3%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	8	19.5%	3	7.3%	13	31.7%	1	2.4%	7	17.1%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	1	1.0%	21	20.6%	6	5.9%	4	3.9%	7	6.9%	13	12.7%	28	27.5%	2	2.0%	20	19.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	10	34.5%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	9	31.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	11	15.1%	2	2.7%	2	2.7%	5	6.8%	11	15.1%	19	26.0%	2	2.7%	20	27.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	8	8.2%	18	18.4%	10	10.2%	6	6.1%	7	7.1%	15	15.3%	16	16.3%	5	5.1%	13	13.3%
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	16	36.4%	6	13.6%	3	6.8%	4	9.1%	6	13.6%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	5	9.3%	2	3.7%	4	7.4%	3	5.6%	3	5.6%	9	16.7%	14	25.9%	3	5.6%	11	20.4%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	8	5.7%	20	14.2%	7	5.0%	10	7.1%	14	9.9%	18	12.8%	45	31.9%	1	0.7%	18	12.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	6	21.4%	4	14.3%	7	25.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	7	6.2%	17	15.0%	4	3.5%	6	5.3%	8	7.1%	14	12.4%	38	33.6%	1	0.9%	18	15.9%

表NO.7 所在地区別 施設認可年

		総計		24年前		25～29年		30～34年		35～39年		40～44年		45～49年		50～59年		60～平成1年		平成2年以降	
総計	計	837	100.0%	44	5.3%	108	12.9%	62	7.4%	49	5.9%	99	11.8%	130	15.5%	212	25.3%	15	1.8%	118	14.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	12	3.7%	56	17.2%	35	10.8%	29	8.9%	46	14.2%	58	17.8%	68	20.9%	4	1.2%	17	5.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	32	6.3%	52	10.2%	27	5.3%	20	3.9%	53	10.4%	72	14.1%	144	28.1%	11	2.1%	101	19.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	10	8.9%	11	9.8%	13	11.6%	1	0.9%	11	9.8%	19	17.0%	21	18.8%	3	2.7%	23	20.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	---	---	4	14.3%	7	25.0%	8	28.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	9	10.7%	8	9.5%	10	11.9%	1	1.2%	7	8.3%	12	14.3%	13	15.5%	2	2.4%	22	26.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	2	1.8%	12	10.9%	8	7.3%	5	4.5%	18	16.4%	19	17.3%	27	24.5%	1	0.9%	18	16.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	6	20.7%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	7	24.1%	6	20.7%	5	17.2%	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	2	2.5%	6	7.4%	5	6.2%	4	4.9%	11	13.6%	13	16.0%	22	27.2%	1	1.2%	17	21.0%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	6	4.4%	19	13.9%	5	3.6%	8	5.8%	14	10.2%	24	17.5%	37	27.0%	4	2.9%	20	14.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	9	22.0%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	5	12.2%	8	19.5%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	4	4.2%	10	10.4%	2	2.1%	7	7.3%	9	9.4%	16	16.7%	29	30.2%	3	3.1%	16	16.7%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	17	6.7%	36	14.3%	14	5.6%	11	4.4%	27	10.7%	38	15.1%	70	27.8%	2	0.8%	37	14.7%
	公 営	97	100.0%	6	6.2%	22	22.7%	9	9.3%	6	6.2%	11	11.3%	15	15.5%	21	21.6%	---	---	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	11	7.1%	14	9.0%	5	3.2%	5	3.2%	16	10.3%	23	14.8%	49	31.6%	2	1.3%	30	19.4%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	3	3.6%	18	21.4%	9	10.7%	5	6.0%	9	10.7%	7	8.3%	21	25.0%	2	2.4%	10	11.9%
	公 営	43	100.0%	2	4.7%	9	20.9%	8	18.6%	5	11.6%	4	9.3%	3	7.0%	9	20.9%	1	2.3%	2	4.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	9	22.0%	1	2.4%	---	---	5	12.2%	4	9.8%	12	29.3%	1	2.4%	8	19.5%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	6	4.2%	12	8.5%	13	9.2%	19	13.4%	20	14.1%	23	16.2%	36	25.4%	3	2.1%	10	7.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	1	1.1%	7	8.0%	9	10.3%	16	18.4%	15	17.2%	19	21.8%	17	19.5%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	5	9.1%	5	9.1%	4	7.3%	3	5.5%	5	9.1%	4	7.3%	19	34.5%	2	3.6%	8	14.5%

表NO.8 地域区別 定員規模

		総計		45人以下		46～60人		61～90人		91～120人		121～150人		151人以上	
全国	計	837	100.0%	119	14.2%	73	8.7%	209	25.0%	239	28.6%	109	13.0%	88	10.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	74	22.8%	41	12.6%	86	26.5%	76	23.4%	30	9.2%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	45	8.8%	32	6.3%	123	24.0%	163	31.8%	79	15.4%	70	13.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	30	23.4%	8	6.3%	37	28.9%	39	30.5%	9	7.0%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	19	36.5%	6	11.5%	17	32.7%	8	15.4%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
	民 営	76	100.0%	11	14.5%	2	2.6%	20	26.3%	31	40.8%	8	10.5%	4	5.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	15	8.4%	13	7.3%	50	28.1%	62	34.8%	27	15.2%	11	6.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	9	12.2%	8	10.8%	20	27.0%	27	36.5%	9	12.2%	1	1.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	6	5.8%	5	4.8%	30	28.8%	35	33.7%	18	17.3%	10	9.6%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	9	9.3%	8	8.2%	29	29.9%	27	27.8%	8	8.2%	16	16.5%
	公 営	46	100.0%	8	17.4%	3	6.5%	13	28.3%	13	28.3%	4	8.7%	5	10.9%
	民 営	51	100.0%	1	2.0%	5	9.8%	16	31.4%	14	27.5%	4	7.8%	11	21.6%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	16	17.2%	9	9.7%	15	16.1%	25	26.9%	13	14.0%	15	16.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	12	23.1%	7	13.5%	10	19.2%	11	21.2%	7	13.5%	5	9.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	2	4.9%	5	12.2%	14	34.1%	6	14.6%	10	24.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	10	9.8%	6	5.9%	18	17.6%	28	27.5%	24	23.5%	16	15.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	5	17.2%	6	20.7%	6	20.7%	4	13.8%
	民 営	73	100.0%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%	13	17.8%	22	30.1%	18	24.7%	12	16.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	17	17.3%	16	16.3%	24	24.5%	19	19.4%	10	10.2%	12	12.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	11	25.0%	10	22.7%	15	34.1%	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	6	11.1%	6	11.1%	9	16.7%	14	25.9%	9	16.7%	10	18.5%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	22	15.6%	13	9.2%	36	25.5%	39	27.7%	18	12.8%	13	9.2%
	公 営	28	100.0%	9	32.1%	5	17.9%	6	21.4%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	13	11.5%	8	7.1%	30	26.5%	33	29.2%	16	14.2%	13	11.5%

表NO.9 所在地別 定員規模

		総計		45人以下		46～60人		61～90人		91～120人		121～150人		151人以上	
総計	計	837	100.0%	119	14.2%	73	8.7%	209	25.0%	239	28.6%	109	13.0%	88	10.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	74	22.8%	41	12.6%	86	26.5%	76	23.4%	30	9.2%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	45	8.8%	32	6.3%	123	24.0%	163	31.8%	79	15.4%	70	13.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	6	5.4%	5	4.5%	31	27.7%	38	33.9%	21	18.8%	11	9.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	7	25.0%	14	50.0%	5	17.9%	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	5	6.0%	4	4.8%	24	28.6%	24	28.6%	16	19.0%	11	13.1%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	4	3.6%	10	9.1%	19	17.3%	39	35.5%	21	19.1%	17	15.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	5	17.2%	5	17.2%	9	31.0%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	1	1.2%	5	6.2%	14	17.3%	30	37.0%	16	19.8%	15	18.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	15	10.9%	11	8.0%	33	24.1%	37	27.0%	22	16.1%	19	13.9%
	公 営	41	100.0%	9	22.0%	6	14.6%	9	22.0%	6	14.6%	7	17.1%	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	6	6.3%	5	5.2%	24	25.0%	31	32.3%	15	15.6%	15	15.6%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	34	13.5%	19	7.5%	63	25.0%	77	30.6%	27	10.7%	32	12.7%
	公 営	97	100.0%	15	15.5%	13	13.4%	30	30.9%	26	26.8%	7	7.2%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	19	12.3%	6	3.9%	33	21.3%	51	32.9%	20	12.9%	26	16.8%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	24	28.6%	14	16.7%	23	27.4%	14	16.7%	6	7.1%	3	3.6%
	公 営	43	100.0%	20	46.5%	6	14.0%	10	23.3%	2	4.7%	2	4.7%	3	7.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	8	19.5%	13	31.7%	12	29.3%	4	9.8%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	36	25.4%	14	9.9%	40	28.2%	34	23.9%	12	8.5%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	26	29.9%	10	11.5%	25	28.7%	19	21.8%	4	4.6%	3	3.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	10	18.2%	4	7.3%	15	27.3%	15	27.3%	8	14.5%	3	5.5%

表NO.10 地域区分別 在園児童総数

		総計		0歳児		1歳児		2歳児		3歳児		4歳児		5歳以上児		回答数
全国	計	79,986	100.0%	4,952	6.2%	10,182	12.7%	13,082	16.4%	16,711	20.9%	17,723	22.2%	17,336	21.7%	837
	公 営	26,119	100.0%	982	3.8%	2,712	10.4%	3,923	15.0%	5,895	22.6%	6,437	24.6%	6,170	23.6%	325
	民 営	53,867	100.0%	3,970	7.4%	7,470	13.9%	9,159	17.0%	10,816	20.1%	11,286	21.0%	11,166	20.7%	512
北海道・東北地区	計	10,135	100.0%	794	7.8%	1,408	13.9%	1,723	17.0%	1,939	19.1%	2,114	20.9%	2,157	21.3%	128
	公 営	3,270	100.0%	158	4.8%	422	12.9%	548	16.8%	671	20.5%	746	22.8%	725	22.2%	52
	民 営	6,865	100.0%	636	9.3%	986	14.4%	1,175	17.1%	1,268	18.5%	1,368	19.9%	1,432	20.9%	76
関東地区	計	17,225	100.0%	1,131	6.6%	2,250	13.1%	2,894	16.8%	3,497	20.3%	3,723	21.6%	3,730	21.7%	178
	公 営	6,287	100.0%	302	4.8%	750	11.9%	995	15.8%	1,310	20.8%	1,430	22.7%	1,500	23.9%	74
	民 営	10,938	100.0%	829	7.6%	1,500	13.7%	1,899	17.4%	2,187	20.0%	2,293	21.0%	2,230	20.4%	104
東海地区	計	10,264	100.0%	369	3.6%	976	9.5%	1,492	14.5%	2,388	23.3%	2,558	24.9%	2,481	24.2%	97
	公 営	4,352	100.0%	92	2.1%	290	6.7%	538	12.4%	1,078	24.8%	1,233	28.3%	1,121	25.8%	46
	民 営	5,912	100.0%	277	4.7%	686	11.6%	954	16.1%	1,310	22.2%	1,325	22.4%	1,360	23.0%	51
北信越地区	計	9,181	100.0%	424	4.6%	988	10.8%	1,392	15.2%	2,016	22.0%	2,170	23.6%	2,191	23.9%	93
	公 営	4,497	100.0%	126	2.8%	358	8.0%	599	13.3%	1,033	23.0%	1,178	26.2%	1,203	26.8%	52
	民 営	4,684	100.0%	298	6.4%	630	13.5%	793	16.9%	983	21.0%	992	21.2%	988	21.1%	41
近畿地区	計	11,258	100.0%	669	5.9%	1,449	12.9%	1,838	16.3%	2,362	21.0%	2,555	22.7%	2,385	21.2%	102
	公 営	2,810	100.0%	102	3.6%	270	9.6%	387	13.8%	626	22.3%	733	26.1%	692	24.6%	29
	民 営	8,448	100.0%	567	6.7%	1,179	14.0%	1,451	17.2%	1,736	20.5%	1,822	21.6%	1,693	20.0%	73
中国・四国地区	計	8,790	100.0%	534	6.1%	1,246	14.2%	1,499	17.1%	1,833	20.9%	1,880	21.4%	1,798	20.5%	98
	公 営	3,042	100.0%	98	3.2%	373	12.3%	529	17.4%	727	23.9%	690	22.7%	625	20.5%	44
	民 営	5,748	100.0%	436	7.6%	873	15.2%	970	16.9%	1,106	19.2%	1,190	20.7%	1,173	20.4%	54
九州地区	計	13,133	100.0%	1,031	7.9%	1,865	14.2%	2,244	17.1%	2,676	20.4%	2,723	20.7%	2,594	19.8%	141
	公 営	1,861	100.0%	104	5.6%	249	13.4%	327	17.6%	450	24.2%	427	22.9%	304	16.3%	28
	民 営	11,272	100.0%	927	8.2%	1,616	14.3%	1,917	17.0%	2,226	19.7%	2,296	20.4%	2,290	20.3%	113

表NO.11 所在地区別 在園児童総数

		総計		0歳児		1歳児		2歳児		3歳児		4歳児		5歳以上児		回答数
総計	計	79,986	100.0%	4,952	6.2%	10,182	12.7%	13,082	16.4%	16,711	20.9%	17,723	22.2%	17,336	21.7%	837
	公 営	26,119	100.0%	982	3.8%	2,712	10.4%	3,923	15.0%	5,895	22.6%	6,437	24.6%	6,170	23.6%	325
	民 営	53,867	100.0%	3,970	7.4%	7,470	13.9%	9,159	17.0%	10,816	20.1%	11,286	21.0%	11,166	20.7%	512
都区部・指定都市	計	11,754	100.0%	900	7.7%	1,600	13.6%	2,054	17.5%	2,306	19.6%	2,421	20.6%	2,473	21.0%	112
	公 営	2,698	100.0%	160	5.9%	315	11.7%	451	16.7%	537	19.9%	595	22.1%	640	23.7%	28
	民 営	9,056	100.0%	740	8.2%	1,285	14.2%	1,603	17.7%	1,769	19.5%	1,826	20.2%	1,833	20.2%	84
県庁所在市	計	12,212	100.0%	739	6.1%	1,556	12.7%	1,973	16.2%	2,558	20.9%	2,736	22.4%	2,650	21.7%	110
	公 営	2,794	100.0%	94	3.4%	315	11.3%	452	16.2%	621	22.2%	672	24.1%	640	22.9%	29
	民 営	9,418	100.0%	645	6.8%	1,241	13.2%	1,521	16.1%	1,937	20.6%	2,064	21.9%	2,010	21.3%	81
中都市	計	14,322	100.0%	908	6.3%	1,908	13.3%	2,296	16.0%	2,878	20.1%	3,195	22.3%	3,137	21.9%	137
	公 営	3,664	100.0%	148	4.0%	383	10.5%	517	14.1%	791	21.6%	924	25.2%	901	24.6%	41
	民 営	10,658	100.0%	760	7.1%	1,525	14.3%	1,779	16.7%	2,087	19.6%	2,271	21.3%	2,236	21.0%	96
小都市A	計	24,699	100.0%	1,528	6.2%	3,111	12.6%	4,048	16.4%	5,271	21.3%	5,504	22.3%	5,237	21.2%	252
	公 営	8,149	100.0%	313	3.8%	857	10.5%	1,230	15.1%	1,848	22.7%	2,067	25.4%	1,834	22.5%	97
	民 営	16,550	100.0%	1,215	7.3%	2,254	13.6%	2,818	17.0%	3,423	20.7%	3,437	20.8%	3,403	20.6%	155
小都市B	計	5,905	100.0%	333	5.6%	715	12.1%	964	16.3%	1,239	21.0%	1,359	23.0%	1,295	21.9%	84
	公 営	2,647	100.0%	80	3.0%	249	9.4%	390	14.7%	621	23.5%	673	25.4%	634	24.0%	43
	民 営	3,258	100.0%	253	7.8%	466	14.3%	574	17.6%	618	19.0%	686	21.1%	661	20.3%	41
町・村	計	11,094	100.0%	544	4.9%	1,292	11.6%	1,747	15.7%	2,459	22.2%	2,508	22.6%	2,544	22.9%	142
	公 営	6,167	100.0%	187	3.0%	593	9.6%	883	14.3%	1,477	24.0%	1,506	24.4%	1,521	24.7%	87
	民 営	4,927	100.0%	357	7.2%	699	14.2%	864	17.5%	982	19.9%	1,002	20.3%	1,023	20.8%	55

表NO.12 地域区分別 定員充足状況

		総計		充足		欠員		超過	
全国	計	837	100.0%	64	7.6%	277	33.1%	496	59.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	29	8.9%	192	59.1%	104	32.0%
	民 営	512	100.0%	35	6.8%	85	16.6%	392	76.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	4	3.1%	46	35.9%	78	60.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	33	63.5%	17	32.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	2	2.6%	13	17.1%	61	80.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	25	14.0%	41	23.0%	112	62.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	10	13.5%	34	45.9%	30	40.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	15	14.4%	7	6.7%	82	78.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	40	41.2%	49	50.5%
	公 営	46	100.0%	3	6.5%	27	58.7%	16	34.8%
	民 営	51	100.0%	5	9.8%	13	25.5%	33	64.7%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	5	5.4%	53	57.0%	35	37.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	40	76.9%	11	21.2%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	13	31.7%	24	58.5%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	8	7.8%	26	25.5%	68	66.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	17	58.6%	6	20.7%
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	9	12.3%	62	84.9%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	5	5.1%	40	40.8%	53	54.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	28	63.6%	14	31.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	3	5.6%	12	22.2%	39	72.2%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	9	6.4%	31	22.0%	101	71.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	5	17.9%	13	46.4%	10	35.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	4	3.5%	18	15.9%	91	80.5%

表NO.13 所在地区別 定員充足状況

		総計		充足		欠員		超過	
総計	計	837	100.0%	64	7.6%	277	33.1%	496	59.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	29	8.9%	192	59.1%	104	32.0%
	民 営	512	100.0%	35	6.8%	85	16.6%	392	76.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	15	13.4%	21	18.8%	76	67.9%
	公 営	28	100.0%	8	28.6%	11	39.3%	9	32.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	10	11.9%	67	79.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	7	6.4%	22	20.0%	81	73.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	14	48.3%	12	41.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	4	4.9%	8	9.9%	69	85.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	14	10.2%	35	25.5%	88	64.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	21	51.2%	15	36.6%
	民 営	96	100.0%	9	9.4%	14	14.6%	73	76.0%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	20	7.9%	76	30.2%	156	61.9%
	公 営	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	52	53.6%	37	38.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	12	7.7%	24	15.5%	119	76.8%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	4	4.8%	46	54.8%	34	40.5%
	公 営	43	100.0%	1	2.3%	35	81.4%	7	16.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	11	26.8%	27	65.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	4	2.8%	77	54.2%	61	43.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	4	4.6%	59	67.8%	24	27.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	18	32.7%	37	67.3%

表NO.14 地域区分別 児童数（0歳児）

		総計		0人		1～5人		6～10人		11～20人		21～30人		31人以上	
全国	計	837	100.0%	161	19.2%	269	32.1%	264	31.5%	133	15.9%	10	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	325	100.0%	133	40.9%	119	36.6%	56	17.2%	16	4.9%	1	0.3%	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	28	5.5%	150	29.3%	208	40.6%	117	22.9%	9	1.8%	---	---
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	28	21.9%	38	29.7%	39	30.5%	20	15.6%	3	2.3%	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	24	46.2%	16	30.8%	10	19.2%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	4	5.3%	22	28.9%	29	38.2%	19	25.0%	2	2.6%	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	33	18.5%	39	21.9%	78	43.8%	28	15.7%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	74	100.0%	27	36.5%	21	28.4%	18	24.3%	8	10.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	6	5.8%	18	17.3%	60	57.7%	20	19.2%	---	---	---	---
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	32	33.0%	37	38.1%	20	20.6%	8	8.2%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	46	100.0%	22	47.8%	19	41.3%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	10	19.6%	18	35.3%	16	31.4%	7	13.7%	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	25	26.9%	37	39.8%	21	22.6%	10	10.8%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	24	46.2%	20	38.5%	6	11.5%	2	3.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	17	41.5%	15	36.6%	8	19.5%	---	---	---	---
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	16	15.7%	31	30.4%	29	28.4%	25	24.5%	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	13	44.8%	8	27.6%	5	17.2%	3	10.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	3	4.1%	23	31.5%	24	32.9%	22	30.1%	1	1.4%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	19	19.4%	38	38.8%	22	22.4%	18	18.4%	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	18	40.9%	20	45.5%	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	18	33.3%	17	31.5%	17	31.5%	1	1.9%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	8	5.7%	49	34.8%	55	39.0%	24	17.0%	5	3.5%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	5	17.9%	15	53.6%	8	28.6%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	3	2.7%	34	30.1%	47	41.6%	24	21.2%	5	4.4%	---	---

表NO.15 所在地区別 児童数（0歳児）

		総計		0人		1～5人		6～10人		11～20人		21～30人		31人以上	
総計	計	837	100.0%	161	19.2%	269	32.1%	264	31.5%	133	15.9%	10	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	325	100.0%	133	40.9%	119	36.6%	56	17.2%	16	4.9%	1	0.3%	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	28	5.5%	150	29.3%	208	40.6%	117	22.9%	9	1.8%	---	---
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	12	10.7%	23	20.5%	47	42.0%	27	24.1%	3	2.7%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	6	21.4%	8	28.6%	9	32.1%	5	17.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	6	7.1%	15	17.9%	38	45.2%	22	26.2%	3	3.6%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	11	10.0%	39	35.5%	42	38.2%	15	13.6%	3	2.7%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	9	31.0%	14	48.3%	6	20.7%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	2	2.5%	25	30.9%	36	44.4%	15	18.5%	3	3.7%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	22	16.1%	32	23.4%	56	40.9%	26	19.0%	1	0.7%	---	---
	公 営	41	100.0%	17	41.5%	10	24.4%	10	24.4%	4	9.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	5	5.2%	22	22.9%	46	47.9%	22	22.9%	1	1.0%	---	---
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	43	17.1%	83	32.9%	79	31.3%	45	17.9%	2	0.8%	---	---
	公 営	97	100.0%	38	39.2%	35	36.1%	20	20.6%	3	3.1%	1	1.0%	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	5	3.2%	48	31.0%	59	38.1%	42	27.1%	1	0.6%	---	---
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	24	28.6%	37	44.0%	13	15.5%	9	10.7%	1	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	18	41.9%	21	48.8%	2	4.7%	2	4.7%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	16	39.0%	11	26.8%	7	17.1%	1	2.4%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	49	34.5%	55	38.7%	27	19.0%	11	7.7%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	45	51.7%	31	35.6%	9	10.3%	2	2.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	4	7.3%	24	43.6%	18	32.7%	9	16.4%	---	---	---	---

表NO.16 地域区分別 3歳未満比率

		総計		0～9%		10～29%		30～49%		50～69%		70～100%	
全国	計	837	100.0%	24	2.9%	241	28.8%	503	60.1%	38	4.5%	31	3.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	21	6.5%	161	49.5%	124	38.2%	12	3.7%	7	2.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	3	0.6%	80	15.6%	379	74.0%	26	5.1%	24	4.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	4	3.1%	30	23.4%	79	61.7%	7	5.5%	8	6.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	4	7.7%	17	32.7%	26	50.0%	2	3.8%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	13	17.1%	53	69.7%	5	6.6%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	2	1.1%	45	25.3%	119	66.9%	4	2.2%	8	4.5%
	公 営	74	100.0%	2	2.7%	33	44.6%	36	48.6%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
	民 営	104	100.0%	---	---	12	11.5%	83	79.8%	3	2.9%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	12	12.4%	44	45.4%	37	38.1%	2	2.1%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	10	21.7%	27	58.7%	8	17.4%	1	2.2%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	17	33.3%	29	56.9%	1	2.0%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	43	46.2%	41	44.1%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	35	67.3%	13	25.0%	---	---	1	1.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	8	19.5%	28	68.3%	1	2.4%	4	9.8%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	2	2.0%	29	28.4%	63	61.8%	3	2.9%	5	4.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	16	55.2%	11	37.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	---	---	13	17.8%	52	71.2%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	---	---	31	31.6%	57	58.2%	8	8.2%	2	2.0%
	公 営	44	100.0%	---	---	23	52.3%	16	36.4%	4	9.1%	1	2.3%
	民 営	54	100.0%	---	---	8	14.8%	41	75.9%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	1	0.7%	19	13.5%	107	75.9%	13	9.2%	1	0.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	10	35.7%	14	50.0%	4	14.3%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	9	8.0%	93	82.3%	9	8.0%	1	0.9%

表NO.17 所在地区別 3歳未満比率

		総計		0～9%		10～29%		30～49%		50～69%		70～100%	
総計	計	837	100.0%	24	2.9%	241	28.8%	503	60.1%	38	4.5%	31	3.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	21	6.5%	161	49.5%	124	38.2%	12	3.7%	7	2.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	3	0.6%	80	15.6%	379	74.0%	26	5.1%	24	4.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	1	0.9%	16	14.3%	89	79.5%	1	0.9%	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	19	67.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	---	---	8	9.5%	70	83.3%	1	1.2%	5	6.0%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	1	0.9%	29	26.4%	73	66.4%	7	6.4%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	14	48.3%	12	41.4%	2	6.9%	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	15	18.5%	61	75.3%	5	6.2%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	3	2.2%	35	25.5%	90	65.7%	3	2.2%	6	4.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	22	53.7%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	1	1.0%	13	13.5%	76	79.2%	2	2.1%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	5	2.0%	74	29.4%	149	59.1%	10	4.0%	14	5.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	5	5.2%	49	50.5%	39	40.2%	---	---	4	4.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	---	---	25	16.1%	110	71.0%	10	6.5%	10	6.5%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	5	6.0%	30	35.7%	39	46.4%	7	8.3%	3	3.6%
	公 営	43	100.0%	3	7.0%	22	51.2%	15	34.9%	2	4.7%	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	8	19.5%	24	58.5%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	9	6.3%	57	40.1%	63	44.4%	10	7.0%	3	2.1%
	公 営	87	100.0%	9	10.3%	46	52.9%	25	28.7%	7	8.0%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	11	20.0%	38	69.1%	3	5.5%	3	5.5%

表NO.18 地域区分別 問1-1 知事部局より関係文書が送付されたか

		総計		送付されてきた		市町村経由で		送付されてこない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	219	26.2%	217	25.9%	308	36.8%	58	6.9%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	52	16.0%	91	28.0%	143	44.0%	21	6.5%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	167	32.6%	126	24.6%	165	32.2%	37	7.2%	17	3.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	40	31.3%	36	28.1%	38	29.7%	9	7.0%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	17	32.7%	16	30.8%	5	9.6%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	29	38.2%	19	25.0%	22	28.9%	4	5.3%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	40	22.5%	46	25.8%	72	40.4%	9	5.1%	11	6.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	9	12.2%	23	31.1%	34	45.9%	5	6.8%	3	4.1%
	民 営	104	100.0%	31	29.8%	23	22.1%	38	36.5%	4	3.8%	8	7.7%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	14	14.4%	30	30.9%	38	39.2%	11	11.3%	4	4.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	6	13.0%	12	26.1%	20	43.5%	5	10.9%	3	6.5%
	民 営	51	100.0%	8	15.7%	18	35.3%	18	35.3%	6	11.8%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	19	20.4%	20	21.5%	45	48.4%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	5	9.6%	14	26.9%	29	55.8%	3	5.8%	1	1.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	14	34.1%	6	14.6%	16	39.0%	2	4.9%	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	28	27.5%	27	26.5%	39	38.2%	6	5.9%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	5	17.2%	8	27.6%	13	44.8%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	23	31.5%	19	26.0%	26	35.6%	4	5.5%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	34	34.7%	25	25.5%	30	30.6%	4	4.1%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	10	22.7%	11	25.0%	17	38.6%	1	2.3%	5	11.4%
	民 営	54	100.0%	24	44.4%	14	25.9%	13	24.1%	3	5.6%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	44	31.2%	33	23.4%	46	32.6%	14	9.9%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	6	21.4%	6	21.4%	14	50.0%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	38	33.6%	27	23.9%	32	28.3%	14	12.4%	2	1.8%

表NO.19 所在地区別 問1-1 知事部局より関係文書が送付されたか

		総計		送付されてきた		市町村経由で		送付されてこない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	219	26.2%	217	25.9%	308	36.8%	58	6.9%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	52	16.0%	91	28.0%	143	44.0%	21	6.5%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	167	32.6%	126	24.6%	165	32.2%	37	7.2%	17	3.3%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	22	19.6%	29	25.9%	50	44.6%	7	6.3%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	7	25.0%	16	57.1%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	22	26.2%	34	40.5%	5	6.0%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	34	30.9%	36	32.7%	31	28.2%	6	5.5%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	5	17.2%	8	27.6%	14	48.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	29	35.8%	28	34.6%	17	21.0%	5	6.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	35	25.5%	31	22.6%	56	40.9%	9	6.6%	6	4.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	12	29.3%	20	48.8%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	29	30.2%	19	19.8%	36	37.5%	8	8.3%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	65	25.8%	67	26.6%	92	36.5%	16	6.3%	12	4.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	12	12.4%	31	32.0%	44	45.4%	5	5.2%	5	5.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	53	34.2%	36	23.2%	48	31.0%	11	7.1%	7	4.5%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	19	22.6%	31	36.9%	4	4.8%	8	9.5%
	公 営	43	100.0%	9	20.9%	7	16.3%	19	44.2%	3	7.0%	5	11.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	13	31.7%	12	29.3%	12	29.3%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	41	28.9%	35	24.6%	48	33.8%	16	11.3%	2	1.4%
	公 営	87	100.0%	20	23.0%	26	29.9%	30	34.5%	9	10.3%	2	2.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	21	38.2%	9	16.4%	18	32.7%	7	12.7%	---	---

表NO.20 地域区分別 問1-2 こども園の4類型

		総計		よく知っていた		一応知っていた		全く知らなかった		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	172	20.5%	595	71.1%	58	6.9%	8	1.0%	4	0.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	36	11.1%	252	77.5%	31	9.5%	5	1.5%	1	0.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	136	26.6%	343	67.0%	27	5.3%	3	0.6%	3	0.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	28	21.9%	93	72.7%	7	5.5%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	38	73.1%	3	5.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	17	22.4%	55	72.4%	4	5.3%	---	---	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	27	15.2%	137	77.0%	9	5.1%	3	1.7%	2	1.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	8	10.8%	60	81.1%	4	5.4%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	19	18.3%	77	74.0%	5	4.8%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	16	16.5%	73	75.3%	7	7.2%	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	46	100.0%	5	10.9%	37	80.4%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	11	21.6%	36	70.6%	4	7.8%	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	11	11.8%	67	72.0%	11	11.8%	3	3.2%	1	1.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	41	78.8%	6	11.5%	2	3.8%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	8	19.5%	26	63.4%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	31	30.4%	60	58.8%	10	9.8%	---	---	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	19	65.5%	7	24.1%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	28	38.4%	41	56.2%	3	4.1%	---	---	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	25	25.5%	67	68.4%	6	6.1%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	5	11.4%	35	79.5%	4	9.1%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	20	37.0%	32	59.3%	2	3.7%	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	34	24.1%	98	69.5%	8	5.7%	1	0.7%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	22	78.6%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	33	29.2%	76	67.3%	4	3.5%	---	---	---	---

表NO.21 所在地区別 問1-2 こども園の4類型

		総計		よく知っていた		一応知っていた		全く知らなかった		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	172	20.5%	595	71.1%	58	6.9%	8	1.0%	4	0.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	36	11.1%	252	77.5%	31	9.5%	5	1.5%	1	0.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	136	26.6%	343	67.0%	27	5.3%	3	0.6%	3	0.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	37	33.0%	68	60.7%	6	5.4%	1	0.9%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	23	82.1%	1	3.6%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	33	39.3%	45	53.6%	5	6.0%	1	1.2%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	21	19.1%	81	73.6%	6	5.5%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	24	82.8%	2	6.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	18	22.2%	57	70.4%	4	4.9%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	28	20.4%	103	75.2%	6	4.4%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	34	82.9%	2	4.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	23	24.0%	69	71.9%	4	4.2%	---	---	---	---
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	45	17.9%	179	71.0%	22	8.7%	3	1.2%	3	1.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	9	9.3%	72	74.2%	13	13.4%	2	2.1%	1	1.0%
	民 営	155	100.0%	36	23.2%	107	69.0%	9	5.8%	1	0.6%	2	1.3%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	17	20.2%	63	75.0%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	3	7.0%	37	86.0%	2	4.7%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	14	34.1%	26	63.4%	1	2.4%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	24	16.9%	101	71.1%	15	10.6%	2	1.4%	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	12	13.8%	62	71.3%	11	12.6%	2	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	12	21.8%	39	70.9%	4	7.3%	---	---	---	---

表NO.22 地域区分別 問1-3 認定制度（知事が認定）

		総計		よく知っていた		一応知っていた		全く知らなかった		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	180	21.5%	559	66.8%	88	10.5%	5	0.6%	5	0.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	231	71.1%	53	16.3%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	142	27.7%	328	64.1%	35	6.8%	4	0.8%	3	0.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	37	28.9%	84	65.6%	7	5.5%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	12	23.1%	37	71.2%	3	5.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	25	32.9%	47	61.8%	4	5.3%	---	---	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	29	16.3%	126	70.8%	19	10.7%	1	0.6%	3	1.7%
	公 営	74	100.0%	6	8.1%	56	75.7%	11	14.9%	---	---	1	1.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	23	22.1%	70	67.3%	8	7.7%	1	1.0%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	16	16.5%	67	69.1%	14	14.4%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	46	100.0%	5	10.9%	34	73.9%	7	15.2%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	11	21.6%	33	64.7%	7	13.7%	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	15	16.1%	61	65.6%	13	14.0%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	4	7.7%	36	69.2%	10	19.2%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	11	26.8%	25	61.0%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	26	25.5%	61	59.8%	14	13.7%	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	15	51.7%	10	34.5%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	22	30.1%	46	63.0%	4	5.5%	1	1.4%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	22	22.4%	68	69.4%	8	8.2%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	6	13.6%	33	75.0%	5	11.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	16	29.6%	35	64.8%	3	5.6%	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	35	24.8%	92	65.2%	13	9.2%	1	0.7%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	20	71.4%	7	25.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	34	30.1%	72	63.7%	6	5.3%	1	0.9%	---	---

表NO.23 所在地区別 問1-3 認定制度（知事が認定）

		総計		よく知っていた		一応知っていた		全く知らなかった		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	180	21.5%	559	66.8%	88	10.5%	5	0.6%	5	0.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	231	71.1%	53	16.3%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	142	27.7%	328	64.1%	35	6.8%	4	0.8%	3	0.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	36	32.1%	64	57.1%	12	10.7%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	19	67.9%	5	17.9%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	32	38.1%	45	53.6%	7	8.3%	---	---	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	23	20.9%	76	69.1%	10	9.1%	1	0.9%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	5	17.2%	19	65.5%	5	17.2%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	18	22.2%	57	70.4%	5	6.2%	1	1.2%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	36	26.3%	83	60.6%	16	11.7%	2	1.5%	---	---
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	28	68.3%	8	19.5%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	31	32.3%	55	57.3%	8	8.3%	2	2.1%	---	---
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	43	17.1%	181	71.8%	23	9.1%	1	0.4%	4	1.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	6	6.2%	74	76.3%	15	15.5%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%
	民 営	155	100.0%	37	23.9%	107	69.0%	8	5.2%	---	---	3	1.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	20	23.8%	58	69.0%	5	6.0%	---	---	1	1.2%
	公 営	43	100.0%	8	18.6%	29	67.4%	5	11.6%	---	---	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	12	29.3%	29	70.7%	---	---	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	22	15.5%	97	68.3%	22	15.5%	1	0.7%	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	10	11.5%	62	71.3%	15	17.2%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	12	21.8%	35	63.6%	7	12.7%	1	1.8%	---	---

表NO.24 地域区分別 問2 認定制度の運用

		総計		受付が始まっている		準備中と聞いている		情報もなく不明		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	66	7.9%	394	47.1%	272	32.5%	83	9.9%	22	2.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	18	5.5%	111	34.2%	132	40.6%	53	16.3%	11	3.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	48	9.4%	283	55.3%	140	27.3%	30	5.9%	11	2.1%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	9	7.0%	66	51.6%	31	24.2%	17	13.3%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	4	7.7%	19	36.5%	17	32.7%	9	17.3%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	5	6.6%	47	61.8%	14	18.4%	8	10.5%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	14	7.9%	73	41.0%	67	37.6%	20	11.2%	4	2.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	5	6.8%	21	28.4%	30	40.5%	16	21.6%	2	2.7%
	民 営	104	100.0%	9	8.7%	52	50.0%	37	35.6%	4	3.8%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	54	55.7%	31	32.0%	9	9.3%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	---	---	21	45.7%	18	39.1%	6	13.0%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	33	64.7%	13	25.5%	3	5.9%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	7	7.5%	31	33.3%	41	44.1%	10	10.8%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	18	34.6%	24	46.2%	6	11.5%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	13	31.7%	17	41.5%	4	9.8%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	6	5.9%	49	48.0%	35	34.3%	10	9.8%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	6	20.7%	16	55.2%	7	24.1%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	6	8.2%	43	58.9%	19	26.0%	3	4.1%	2	2.7%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	10	10.2%	49	50.0%	27	27.6%	9	9.2%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	4	9.1%	17	38.6%	15	34.1%	5	11.4%	3	6.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	6	11.1%	32	59.3%	12	22.2%	4	7.4%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	18	12.8%	72	51.1%	40	28.4%	8	5.7%	3	2.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	3	10.7%	9	32.1%	12	42.9%	4	14.3%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	15	13.3%	63	55.8%	28	24.8%	4	3.5%	3	2.7%

表NO.25 所在地区別 問2 認定制度の運用

		総計		受付が始まっている		準備中と聞いている		情報もなく不明		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	66	7.9%	394	47.1%	272	32.5%	83	9.9%	22	2.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	18	5.5%	111	34.2%	132	40.6%	53	16.3%	11	3.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	48	9.4%	283	55.3%	140	27.3%	30	5.9%	11	2.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	8	7.1%	59	52.7%	29	25.9%	10	8.9%	6	5.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	9	32.1%	8	28.6%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	51	60.7%	20	23.8%	2	2.4%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	11	10.0%	58	52.7%	29	26.4%	10	9.1%	2	1.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	12	41.4%	10	34.5%	6	20.7%	—	—
	民 営	81	100.0%	10	12.3%	46	56.8%	19	23.5%	4	4.9%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	13	9.5%	59	43.1%	51	37.2%	12	8.8%	2	1.5%
	公 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	18	43.9%	16	39.0%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	10	10.4%	41	42.7%	35	36.5%	9	9.4%	1	1.0%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	17	6.7%	119	47.2%	84	33.3%	27	10.7%	5	2.0%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	36	37.1%	42	43.3%	15	15.5%	2	2.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	15	9.7%	83	53.5%	42	27.1%	12	7.7%	3	1.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	45	53.6%	24	28.6%	10	11.9%	3	3.6%
	公 営	43	100.0%	2	4.7%	15	34.9%	15	34.9%	8	18.6%	3	7.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	—	—	30	73.2%	9	22.0%	2	4.9%	—	—
町・村	計	142	100.0%	15	10.6%	54	38.0%	55	38.7%	14	9.9%	4	2.8%
	公 営	87	100.0%	9	10.3%	22	25.3%	40	46.0%	13	14.9%	3	3.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	6	10.9%	32	58.2%	15	27.3%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%

表NO.26 地域区分別 問2-1 どのような行政説明か

		総計		認定要件の審査と適用		幼保連携型への動員		認可外施設の 地方裁量型への 移行動向		説明は 行われていない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	162	19.4%	48	5.7%	4	0.5%	375	44.8%	137	16.4%	76	9.1%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	35	10.8%	25	7.7%	1	0.3%	161	49.5%	54	16.6%	31	9.5%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	127	24.8%	23	4.5%	3	0.6%	214	41.8%	83	16.2%	45	8.8%	17	3.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	31	24.2%	8	6.3%	—	—	52	40.6%	19	14.8%	12	9.4%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	3	5.8%	—	—	22	42.3%	8	15.4%	5	9.6%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	20	26.3%	5	6.6%	—	—	30	39.5%	11	14.5%	7	9.2%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	17	9.6%	10	5.6%	2	1.1%	90	50.6%	36	20.2%	16	9.0%	7	3.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	5	6.8%	5	6.8%	—	—	36	48.6%	16	21.6%	8	10.8%	4	5.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	12	11.5%	5	4.8%	2	1.9%	54	51.9%	20	19.2%	8	7.7%	3	2.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	7	7.2%	—	—	49	50.5%	18	18.6%	13	13.4%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	3	6.5%	3	6.5%	—	—	21	45.7%	10	21.7%	7	15.2%	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	5	9.8%	4	7.8%	—	—	28	54.9%	8	15.7%	6	11.8%	—	—
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	15	16.1%	7	7.5%	—	—	46	49.5%	16	17.2%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	7	13.5%	—	—	31	59.6%	8	15.4%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	13	31.7%	—	—	—	—	15	36.6%	8	19.5%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	16	15.7%	7	6.9%	—	—	50	49.0%	16	15.7%	9	8.8%	4	3.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	—	—	20	69.0%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	—	—
	民 営	73	100.0%	15	20.5%	4	5.5%	—	—	30	41.1%	13	17.8%	7	9.6%	4	5.5%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	24	24.5%	3	3.1%	1	1.0%	38	38.8%	13	13.3%	10	10.2%	9	9.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	7	15.9%	2	4.5%	1	2.3%	16	36.4%	7	15.9%	5	11.4%	6	13.6%
	民 営	54	100.0%	17	31.5%	1	1.9%	—	—	22	40.7%	6	11.1%	5	9.3%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	51	36.2%	6	4.3%	1	0.7%	50	35.5%	19	13.5%	11	7.8%	3	2.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	—	—	15	53.6%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	45	39.8%	4	3.5%	1	0.9%	35	31.0%	17	15.0%	9	8.0%	2	1.8%

表NO.27 所在地区別 問2-1 どのような行政説明か

		総計		認定要件の 審査と適用		幼保連携型への 動員		認可外施設の 地方裁量型への 移行動向		説明は 行われていない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	162	19.4%	48	5.7%	4	0.5%	375	44.8%	137	16.4%	76	9.1%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	35	10.8%	25	7.7%	1	0.3%	161	49.5%	54	16.6%	31	9.5%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	127	24.8%	23	4.5%	3	0.6%	214	41.8%	83	16.2%	45	8.8%	17	3.3%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	22	19.6%	10	8.9%	---	---	50	44.6%	22	19.6%	5	4.5%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	---	---	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	21	25.0%	7	8.3%	---	---	35	41.7%	16	19.0%	4	4.8%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	28	25.5%	8	7.3%	---	---	38	34.5%	12	10.9%	18	16.4%	6	5.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	5	17.2%	---	---	9	31.0%	3	10.3%	6	20.7%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	26	32.1%	3	3.7%	---	---	29	35.8%	9	11.1%	12	14.8%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	20	14.6%	6	4.4%	---	---	70	51.1%	25	18.2%	11	8.0%	5	3.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%	---	---	27	65.9%	5	12.2%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	17	17.7%	4	4.2%	---	---	43	44.8%	20	20.8%	8	8.3%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	45	17.9%	12	4.8%	2	0.8%	116	46.0%	46	18.3%	21	8.3%	10	4.0%
	公 営	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	7	7.2%	1	1.0%	51	52.6%	19	19.6%	8	8.2%	3	3.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	37	23.9%	5	3.2%	1	0.6%	65	41.9%	27	17.4%	13	8.4%	7	4.5%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	16	19.0%	4	4.8%	---	---	44	52.4%	4	4.8%	8	9.5%	8	9.5%
	公 営	43	100.0%	4	9.3%	3	7.0%	---	---	25	58.1%	3	7.0%	2	4.7%	6	14.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	12	29.3%	1	2.4%	---	---	19	46.3%	1	2.4%	6	14.6%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	31	21.8%	8	5.6%	2	1.4%	57	40.1%	28	19.7%	13	9.2%	3	2.1%
	公 営	87	100.0%	17	19.5%	5	5.7%	---	---	34	39.1%	18	20.7%	11	12.6%	2	2.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	14	25.5%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	23	41.8%	10	18.2%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%

表NO.28 地域区分別 問2-2 県の認定の仕組み

		総計		特に 制限はない		自動的に 振り分け		地元市町村の 推薦制		枠があり制限		まだわからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	57	6.8%	46	5.5%	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	631	75.4%	25	3.0%	51	6.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	14	4.3%	9	2.8%	8	2.5%	5	1.5%	253	77.8%	11	3.4%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	43	8.4%	37	7.2%	8	1.6%	6	1.2%	378	73.8%	14	2.7%	26	5.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	11	8.6%	7	5.5%	4	3.1%	---	---	93	72.7%	7	5.5%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	5	9.6%	3	5.8%	1	1.9%	---	---	36	69.2%	3	5.8%	4	7.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	6	7.9%	4	5.3%	3	3.9%	---	---	57	75.0%	4	5.3%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	7	3.9%	11	6.2%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	144	80.9%	2	1.1%	12	6.7%
	公 営	74	100.0%	4	5.4%	2	2.7%	1	1.4%	---	---	60	81.1%	1	1.4%	6	8.1%
	民 営	104	100.0%	3	2.9%	9	8.7%	---	---	1	1.0%	84	80.8%	1	1.0%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%	77	79.4%	4	4.1%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	2	4.3%	36	78.3%	3	6.5%	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	---	---	1	2.0%	41	80.4%	1	2.0%	3	5.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%	4	4.3%	74	79.6%	2	2.2%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	44	84.6%	1	1.9%	4	7.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	30	73.2%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	7	6.9%	4	3.9%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	77	75.5%	3	2.9%	8	7.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	3.4%	---	---	25	86.2%	---	---	3	10.3%
	民 営	73	100.0%	7	9.6%	4	5.5%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	52	71.2%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	9	9.2%	8	8.2%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	67	68.4%	3	3.1%	8	8.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	1	2.3%	28	63.6%	2	4.5%	6	13.6%
	民 営	54	100.0%	6	11.1%	6	11.1%	---	---	---	---	39	72.2%	1	1.9%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	17	12.1%	10	7.1%	4	2.8%	1	0.7%	99	70.2%	4	2.8%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	---	---	24	85.7%	1	3.6%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	16	14.2%	9	8.0%	3	2.7%	1	0.9%	75	66.4%	3	2.7%	6	5.3%

表NO.29 所在地区別 問2-2 県の認定の仕組み

		総計		特に 制限はない		自動的に 振り分け		地元市町村の 推薦制		枠があり制限		まだわからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	57	6.8%	46	5.5%	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	631	75.4%	25	3.0%	51	6.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	14	4.3%	9	2.8%	8	2.5%	5	1.5%	253	77.8%	11	3.4%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	43	8.4%	37	7.2%	8	1.6%	6	1.2%	378	73.8%	14	2.7%	26	5.1%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	10	8.9%	9	8.0%	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	82	73.2%	3	2.7%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	---	---	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	23	82.1%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	9	10.7%	9	10.7%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	59	70.2%	3	3.6%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	9	8.2%	6	5.5%	3	2.7%	1	0.9%	76	69.1%	4	3.6%	11	10.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	3.4%	---	---	20	69.0%	2	6.9%	6	20.7%
	民 営	81	100.0%	9	11.1%	6	7.4%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	56	69.1%	2	2.5%	5	6.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	7	5.1%	12	8.8%	3	2.2%	2	1.5%	104	75.9%	2	1.5%	7	5.1%
	公 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	32	78.0%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	6	6.3%	9	9.4%	2	2.1%	1	1.0%	72	75.0%	1	1.0%	5	5.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	13	5.2%	8	3.2%	1	0.4%	5	2.0%	201	79.8%	8	3.2%	16	6.3%
	公 営	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	1	1.0%	---	---	2	2.1%	83	85.6%	3	3.1%	5	5.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	10	6.5%	7	4.5%	1	0.6%	3	1.9%	118	76.1%	5	3.2%	11	7.1%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	6	7.1%	3	3.6%	5	6.0%	1	1.2%	62	73.8%	1	1.2%	6	7.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	3	7.0%	1	2.3%	33	76.7%	---	---	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	---	---	29	70.7%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	12	8.5%	8	5.6%	2	1.4%	---	---	106	74.6%	7	4.9%	7	4.9%
	公 営	87	100.0%	8	9.2%	4	4.6%	2	2.3%	---	---	62	71.3%	5	5.7%	6	6.9%
	民 営	55	100.0%	4	7.3%	4	7.3%	---	---	---	---	44	80.0%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%

表NO.30 地域区分別 問2-2-1 制限的な枠付けの理由

		総計		要望が強い 市部への配慮		財政負担の軽減		財政の効率化と 適正配置		その他		未回答	
全国	計	11	100.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	---	---
	公 営	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	6	100.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	---	---
北海道・ 東北地区	計	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
関東地区	計	1	100.0%	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
東海地区	計	3	100.0%	---	---	---	---	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---
北信越地区	計	4	100.0%	1	25.0%	---	---	3	75.0%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	---	---	---	---
近畿地区	計	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
中国・ 四国地区	計	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---

表NO.31 所在地区別 問2-2-1 制限的な枠付けの理由

		総計		要望が強い 市部への配慮		財政負担の軽減		財政の効率化と 適正配置		その他		未回答	
総計	計	11	100.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	---	---
	公 営	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	6	100.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	---	---
都区部・ 指定都市	計	2	100.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
県庁所在市	計	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---
中都市	計	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
小都市A	計	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	---	---	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	---	---	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	---	---
小都市B	計	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

表NO.32 地域区分別 問3 幼児教育の実施を要件とすることへの印象

		総計		乳幼児保育を 分解する		教育課程として 編成することに 違和感		福祉組織から 教育組織に 移転させる		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	440	52.6%	241	28.8%	237	28.3%	108	12.9%	64	7.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	161	49.5%	93	28.6%	66	20.3%	41	12.6%	38	11.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	279	54.5%	148	28.9%	171	33.4%	67	13.1%	26	5.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	65	50.8%	43	33.6%	35	27.3%	16	12.5%	15	11.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	21	40.4%	19	36.5%	9	17.3%	7	13.5%	10	19.2%
	民 営	76	100.0%	44	57.9%	24	31.6%	26	34.2%	9	11.8%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	89	50.0%	49	27.5%	64	36.0%	22	12.4%	10	5.6%
	公 営	74	100.0%	36	48.6%	23	31.1%	20	27.0%	8	10.8%	6	8.1%
	民 営	104	100.0%	53	51.0%	26	25.0%	44	42.3%	14	13.5%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	52	53.6%	25	25.8%	21	21.6%	16	16.5%	7	7.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	25	54.3%	9	19.6%	5	10.9%	10	21.7%	6	13.0%
	民 営	51	100.0%	27	52.9%	16	31.4%	16	31.4%	6	11.8%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	56	60.2%	34	36.6%	18	19.4%	6	6.5%	5	5.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	28	53.8%	17	32.7%	9	17.3%	3	5.8%	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	28	68.3%	17	41.5%	9	22.0%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	55	53.9%	30	29.4%	30	29.4%	13	12.7%	7	6.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	17	58.6%	6	20.7%	6	20.7%	4	13.8%	5	17.2%
	民 営	73	100.0%	38	52.1%	24	32.9%	24	32.9%	9	12.3%	2	2.7%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	53	54.1%	19	19.4%	24	24.5%	15	15.3%	10	10.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	21	47.7%	9	20.5%	11	25.0%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%
	民 営	54	100.0%	32	59.3%	10	18.5%	13	24.1%	10	18.5%	5	9.3%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	70	49.6%	41	29.1%	45	31.9%	20	14.2%	10	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	13	46.4%	10	35.7%	6	21.4%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	57	50.4%	31	27.4%	39	34.5%	16	14.2%	7	6.2%

表NO.33 所在地区別 問3 幼児教育の実施を要件とすることへの印象

		総計		乳幼児保育を 分解する		教育課程として 編成することに 違和感		福祉組織から 教育組織に 移転させる		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	440	52.6%	241	28.8%	237	28.3%	108	12.9%	64	7.6%
	公 営	325	100.0%	161	49.5%	93	28.6%	66	20.3%	41	12.6%	38	11.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	279	54.5%	148	28.9%	171	33.4%	67	13.1%	26	5.1%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	65	58.0%	29	25.9%	37	33.0%	18	16.1%	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	7	25.0%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%
	民 営	84	100.0%	50	59.5%	23	27.4%	30	35.7%	14	16.7%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	57	51.8%	37	33.6%	25	22.7%	19	17.3%	8	7.3%
	公 営	29	100.0%	10	34.5%	11	37.9%	4	13.8%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	47	58.0%	26	32.1%	21	25.9%	12	14.8%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	69	50.4%	39	28.5%	47	34.3%	18	13.1%	11	8.0%
	公 営	41	100.0%	17	41.5%	12	29.3%	10	24.4%	7	17.1%	5	12.2%
	民 営	96	100.0%	52	54.2%	27	28.1%	37	38.5%	11	11.5%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	139	55.2%	68	27.0%	69	27.4%	25	9.9%	19	7.5%
	公 営	97	100.0%	56	57.7%	24	24.7%	19	19.6%	4	4.1%	10	10.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	83	53.5%	44	28.4%	50	32.3%	21	13.5%	9	5.8%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	45	53.6%	26	31.0%	26	31.0%	6	7.1%	7	8.3%
	公 営	43	100.0%	22	51.2%	16	37.2%	9	20.9%	4	9.3%	6	14.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	23	56.1%	10	24.4%	17	41.5%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	65	45.8%	42	29.6%	33	23.2%	22	15.5%	14	9.9%
	公 営	87	100.0%	41	47.1%	24	27.6%	17	19.5%	15	17.2%	8	9.2%
	民 営	55	100.0%	24	43.6%	18	32.7%	16	29.1%	7	12.7%	6	10.9%

表NO.34 地域区分別 問3-1 保育所保育指針

		総計		保育所は実績を 持っている		再編・強化する 必要がある		系統性の視点から 検討が求められる		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	586	70.0%	73	8.7%	106	12.7%	26	3.1%	46	5.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	212	65.2%	27	8.3%	52	16.0%	13	4.0%	21	6.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	374	73.0%	46	9.0%	54	10.5%	13	2.5%	25	4.9%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	90	70.3%	14	10.9%	13	10.2%	6	4.7%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	31	59.6%	8	15.4%	7	13.5%	3	5.8%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	59	77.6%	6	7.9%	6	7.9%	3	3.9%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	122	68.5%	16	9.0%	25	14.0%	5	2.8%	10	5.6%
	公 営	74	100.0%	50	67.6%	4	5.4%	12	16.2%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	72	69.2%	12	11.5%	13	12.5%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	68	70.1%	9	9.3%	12	12.4%	4	4.1%	4	4.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	31	67.4%	3	6.5%	5	10.9%	3	6.5%	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	37	72.5%	6	11.8%	7	13.7%	1	2.0%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	64	68.8%	5	5.4%	15	16.1%	1	1.1%	8	8.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	37	71.2%	2	3.8%	10	19.2%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	27	65.9%	3	7.3%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%	5	12.2%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	75	73.5%	12	11.8%	12	11.8%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	17	58.6%	6	20.7%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	58	79.5%	6	8.2%	7	9.6%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	68	69.4%	7	7.1%	12	12.2%	2	2.0%	9	9.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	30	68.2%	3	6.8%	8	18.2%	---	---	3	6.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	38	70.4%	4	7.4%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%	6	11.1%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	99	70.2%	10	7.1%	17	12.1%	6	4.3%	9	6.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	16	57.1%	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	83	73.5%	9	8.0%	12	10.6%	3	2.7%	6	5.3%

表NO.35 所在地区別 問3-1 保育所保育指針

		総計		保育所は実績を 持っている		再編・強化する 必要がある		系統性の視点から 検討が求められる		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	586	70.0%	73	8.7%	106	12.7%	26	3.1%	46	5.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	212	65.2%	27	8.3%	52	16.0%	13	4.0%	21	6.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	374	73.0%	46	9.0%	54	10.5%	13	2.5%	25	4.9%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	86	76.8%	8	7.1%	13	11.6%	3	2.7%	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	21	75.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	65	77.4%	7	8.3%	10	11.9%	2	2.4%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	72	65.5%	16	14.5%	9	8.2%	7	6.4%	6	5.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	16	55.2%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	56	69.1%	10	12.3%	7	8.6%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	100	73.0%	8	5.8%	22	16.1%	1	0.7%	6	4.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	31	75.6%	---	---	8	19.5%	---	---	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	69	71.9%	8	8.3%	14	14.6%	1	1.0%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	173	68.7%	23	9.1%	31	12.3%	6	2.4%	19	7.5%
	公 営	97	100.0%	61	62.9%	9	9.3%	18	18.6%	3	3.1%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	112	72.3%	14	9.0%	13	8.4%	3	1.9%	13	8.4%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	64	76.2%	4	4.8%	11	13.1%	2	2.4%	3	3.6%
	公 営	43	100.0%	29	67.4%	3	7.0%	9	20.9%	---	---	2	4.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	35	85.4%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	91	64.1%	14	9.9%	20	14.1%	7	4.9%	10	7.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	54	62.1%	8	9.2%	12	13.8%	6	6.9%	7	8.0%
	民 営	55	100.0%	37	67.3%	6	10.9%	8	14.5%	1	1.8%	3	5.5%

表NO.36 地域区分別 問3-2 幼稚園教育要領

		総計		保育指針で 対応できている		保育計画と 指導計画の 修整・適合		幼稚園教育要領の 保育内容に 組み替える		詳しい事が わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	356	42.5%	185	22.1%	11	1.3%	204	24.4%	19	2.3%	62	7.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	100	30.8%	93	28.6%	5	1.5%	81	24.9%	10	3.1%	36	11.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	256	50.0%	92	18.0%	6	1.2%	123	24.0%	9	1.8%	26	5.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	46	35.9%	23	18.0%	2	1.6%	40	31.3%	3	2.3%	14	10.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	9	17.3%	1	1.9%	17	32.7%	2	3.8%	12	23.1%
	民 営	76	100.0%	35	46.1%	14	18.4%	1	1.3%	23	30.3%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	69	38.8%	47	26.4%	4	2.2%	39	21.9%	5	2.8%	14	7.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	26	35.1%	23	31.1%	2	2.7%	14	18.9%	2	2.7%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	43	41.3%	24	23.1%	2	1.9%	25	24.0%	3	2.9%	7	6.7%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	40	41.2%	23	23.7%	2	2.1%	23	23.7%	2	2.1%	7	7.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	15	32.6%	13	28.3%	1	2.2%	10	21.7%	1	2.2%	6	13.0%
	民 営	51	100.0%	25	49.0%	10	19.6%	1	2.0%	13	25.5%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	36	38.7%	23	24.7%	2	2.2%	26	28.0%	---	---	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	16	30.8%	14	26.9%	1	1.9%	18	34.6%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	20	48.8%	9	22.0%	1	2.4%	8	19.5%	---	---	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	49	48.0%	18	17.6%	---	---	28	27.5%	3	2.9%	4	3.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	9	31.0%	8	27.6%	---	---	8	27.6%	2	6.8%	2	6.9%
	民 営	73	100.0%	40	54.8%	10	13.7%	---	---	20	27.4%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	47	48.0%	25	25.5%	---	---	18	18.4%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	13	29.5%	21	47.7%	---	---	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	4	9.1%
	民 営	54	100.0%	34	63.0%	4	7.4%	---	---	13	24.1%	---	---	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	69	48.9%	26	18.4%	1	0.7%	30	21.3%	5	3.5%	10	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	10	35.7%	5	17.9%	---	---	9	32.1%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	59	52.2%	21	18.6%	1	0.9%	21	18.6%	3	2.7%	8	7.1%

表NO.37 所在地区別 問3-2 幼稚園教育要領

		総計		保育指針で 対応できている		保育計画と 指導計画の 修整・適合		幼稚園教育要領の 保育内容に 組み替える		詳しい事が わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	356	42.5%	185	22.1%	11	1.3%	204	24.4%	19	2.3%	62	7.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	100	30.8%	93	28.6%	5	1.5%	81	24.9%	10	3.1%	36	11.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	256	50.0%	92	18.0%	6	1.2%	123	24.0%	9	1.8%	26	5.1%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	54	48.2%	22	19.6%	2	1.8%	21	18.8%	5	4.5%	8	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	---	---	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	45	53.6%	12	14.3%	2	2.4%	17	20.2%	2	2.4%	6	7.1%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	44	40.0%	23	20.9%	2	1.8%	30	27.3%	3	2.7%	8	7.3%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	10	34.5%	---	---	7	24.1%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	38	46.9%	13	16.0%	2	2.5%	23	28.4%	1	1.2%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	66	48.2%	20	14.6%	2	1.5%	38	27.7%	2	1.5%	9	6.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	17	41.5%	7	17.1%	1	2.4%	13	31.7%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	49	51.0%	13	13.5%	1	1.0%	25	26.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	110	43.7%	64	25.4%	3	1.2%	58	23.0%	3	1.2%	14	5.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	29	29.9%	31	32.0%	3	3.1%	25	25.8%	1	1.0%	8	8.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	81	52.3%	33	21.3%	---	---	33	21.3%	2	1.3%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	35	41.7%	21	25.0%	1	1.2%	20	23.8%	2	2.4%	5	6.0%
	公 営	43	100.0%	15	34.9%	12	27.9%	1	2.3%	10	23.3%	1	2.3%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	20	48.8%	9	22.0%	---	---	10	24.4%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	47	33.1%	35	24.6%	1	0.7%	37	26.1%	4	2.8%	18	12.7%
	公 営	87	100.0%	24	27.6%	23	26.4%	---	---	22	25.3%	2	2.3%	16	18.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	23	41.8%	12	21.8%	1	1.8%	15	27.3%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%

表NO.38 地域区分別 問4 子育て支援事業を認定要件とした方針への評価

		総計		サービスを総合的に 供給でき効果的		子育て支援を 矮小化する危険性		生活の場という 視点が失われる		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	229	27.4%	185	22.1%	222	26.5%	81	9.7%	120	14.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	112	34.5%	67	20.6%	76	23.4%	21	6.5%	49	15.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	117	22.9%	118	23.0%	146	28.5%	60	11.7%	71	13.9%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	33	25.8%	28	21.9%	35	27.3%	12	9.4%	20	15.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	18	34.6%	7	13.5%	14	26.9%	2	3.8%	11	21.2%
	民 営	76	100.0%	15	19.7%	21	27.6%	21	27.6%	10	13.2%	9	11.8%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	50	28.1%	38	21.3%	50	28.1%	18	10.1%	22	12.4%
	公 営	74	100.0%	24	32.4%	19	25.7%	15	20.3%	7	9.5%	9	12.2%
	民 営	104	100.0%	26	25.0%	19	18.3%	35	33.7%	11	10.6%	13	12.5%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	34	35.1%	21	21.6%	27	27.8%	6	6.2%	9	9.3%
	公 営	46	100.0%	21	45.7%	6	13.0%	12	26.1%	3	6.5%	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	13	25.5%	15	29.4%	15	29.4%	3	5.9%	5	9.8%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	30	32.3%	18	19.4%	22	23.7%	9	9.7%	14	15.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	15	28.8%	12	23.1%	13	25.0%	3	5.8%	9	17.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	15	36.6%	6	14.6%	9	22.0%	6	14.6%	5	12.2%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	30	29.4%	23	22.5%	24	23.5%	11	10.8%	14	13.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	10	34.5%	5	17.2%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%
	民 営	73	100.0%	20	27.4%	18	24.7%	17	23.3%	7	9.6%	11	15.1%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	25	25.5%	28	28.6%	21	21.4%	8	8.2%	16	16.3%
	公 営	44	100.0%	15	34.1%	12	27.3%	10	22.7%	---	---	7	15.9%
	民 営	54	100.0%	10	18.5%	16	29.6%	11	20.4%	8	14.8%	9	16.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	27	19.1%	29	20.6%	43	30.5%	17	12.1%	25	17.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	9	32.1%	6	21.4%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	6	21.4%
	民 営	113	100.0%	18	15.9%	23	20.4%	38	33.6%	15	13.3%	19	16.8%

表NO.39 所在地区別 問4 子育て支援事業を認定要件とした方針への評価

		総計		サービスを総合的に 供給でき効果的		子育て支援を 矮小化する危険性		生活の場という 視点が失われる		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	229	27.4%	185	22.1%	222	26.5%	81	9.7%	120	14.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	112	34.5%	67	20.6%	76	23.4%	21	6.5%	49	15.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	117	22.9%	118	23.0%	146	28.5%	60	11.7%	71	13.9%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	27	24.1%	30	26.8%	36	32.1%	11	9.8%	8	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	9	32.1%	8	28.6%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	18	21.4%	22	26.2%	30	35.7%	9	10.7%	5	6.0%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	26	23.6%	31	28.2%	32	29.1%	10	9.1%	11	10.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	10	34.5%	8	27.6%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	16	19.8%	23	28.4%	27	33.3%	8	9.9%	7	8.6%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	49	35.8%	29	21.2%	27	19.7%	13	9.5%	19	13.9%
	公 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	4	9.8%	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	3	7.3%
	民 営	96	100.0%	28	29.2%	25	26.0%	18	18.8%	9	9.4%	16	16.7%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	64	25.4%	48	19.0%	73	29.0%	25	9.9%	42	16.7%
	公 営	97	100.0%	29	29.9%	20	20.6%	29	29.9%	5	5.2%	14	14.4%
	民 営	155	100.0%	35	22.6%	28	18.1%	44	28.4%	20	12.9%	28	18.1%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	17	20.2%	25	29.8%	17	20.2%	9	10.7%	16	19.0%
	公 営	43	100.0%	10	23.3%	14	32.6%	8	18.6%	2	4.7%	9	20.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	7	17.1%	11	26.8%	9	22.0%	7	17.1%	7	17.1%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	46	32.4%	22	15.5%	37	26.1%	13	9.2%	24	16.9%
	公 営	87	100.0%	33	37.9%	13	14.9%	19	21.8%	6	6.9%	16	18.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	13	23.6%	9	16.4%	18	32.7%	7	12.7%	8	14.5%

表NO.40 地域区分別 問4-1-1 貴園の自己評定〈母親の就労支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	9	1.1%	15	1.8%	104	12.4%	268	32.0%	406	48.5%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	5	1.5%	8	2.5%	50	15.4%	114	35.1%	129	39.7%	19	5.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	4	0.8%	7	1.4%	54	10.5%	154	30.1%	277	54.1%	16	3.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	1	0.8%	4	3.1%	18	14.1%	43	33.6%	57	44.5%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	7	13.5%	18	34.6%	20	38.5%	4	7.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	2	2.6%	11	14.5%	25	32.9%	37	48.7%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	---	---	2	1.1%	20	11.2%	58	32.6%	89	50.0%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	---	---	---	---	13	17.6%	23	31.1%	31	41.9%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	---	---	2	1.9%	7	6.7%	35	33.7%	58	55.8%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	17	17.5%	26	26.8%	46	47.4%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%	12	26.1%	10	21.7%	19	41.3%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	5	9.8%	16	31.4%	27	52.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	1	1.1%	---	---	10	10.8%	36	38.7%	41	44.1%	5	5.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	---	---	6	11.5%	24	46.2%	19	36.5%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	4	9.8%	12	29.3%	22	53.7%	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	15	14.7%	30	29.4%	53	52.0%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	---	---	7	24.1%	9	31.0%	12	41.4%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	8	11.0%	21	28.8%	41	56.2%	1	1.4%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	1	1.0%	---	---	12	12.2%	43	43.9%	37	37.8%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	11.4%	25	56.8%	12	27.3%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	---	---	7	13.0%	18	33.3%	25	46.3%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	1	0.7%	4	2.8%	12	8.5%	32	22.7%	83	58.9%	9	6.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	3	10.7%	---	---	5	17.9%	16	57.1%	4	14.3%
	民 営	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	12	10.6%	27	23.9%	67	59.3%	5	4.4%

表NO.41 所在地区別 問4-1-1 貴園の自己評定〈母親の就労支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	9	1.1%	15	1.8%	104	12.4%	268	32.0%	406	48.5%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	5	1.5%	8	2.5%	50	15.4%	114	35.1%	129	39.7%	19	5.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	4	0.8%	7	1.4%	54	10.5%	154	30.1%	277	54.1%	16	3.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	---	---	2	1.8%	9	8.0%	27	24.1%	70	62.5%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	---	---	4	14.3%	6	21.4%	16	57.1%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	---	---	2	2.4%	5	6.0%	21	25.0%	54	64.3%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	16	14.5%	37	33.6%	49	44.5%	4	3.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	12	41.4%	11	37.9%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	1	1.2%	15	18.5%	25	30.9%	38	46.9%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	2	1.5%	3	2.2%	11	8.0%	46	33.6%	70	51.1%	5	3.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	---	---	5	12.2%	13	31.7%	20	48.8%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%	6	6.3%	33	34.4%	50	52.1%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	4	1.6%	3	1.2%	32	12.7%	74	29.4%	127	50.4%	12	4.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%	17	17.5%	32	33.0%	37	38.1%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	2	1.3%	---	---	15	9.7%	42	27.1%	90	58.1%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	---	---	1	1.2%	10	11.9%	29	34.5%	39	46.4%	5	6.0%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	1	2.3%	7	16.3%	16	37.2%	16	37.2%	3	7.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	3	7.3%	13	31.7%	23	56.1%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	1	0.7%	4	2.8%	26	18.3%	55	38.7%	51	35.9%	5	3.5%
	公 営	87	100.0%	---	---	3	3.4%	16	18.4%	35	40.2%	29	33.3%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	10	18.2%	20	36.4%	22	40.0%	1	1.8%

表NO.42 地域区分別 問4-1-2 貴園の自己評定〈仕事と子育ての両立支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	8	1.0%	14	1.7%	158	18.9%	338	40.4%	286	34.2%	33	3.9%
	公 営	325	100.0%	4	1.2%	9	2.8%	70	21.5%	147	45.2%	76	23.4%	19	5.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	4	0.8%	5	1.0%	88	17.2%	191	37.3%	210	41.0%	14	2.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	2	1.6%	3	2.3%	26	20.3%	56	43.8%	36	28.1%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	7	13.5%	26	50.0%	12	23.1%	4	7.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	19	25.0%	30	39.5%	24	31.6%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	1	0.6%	3	1.7%	29	16.3%	68	38.2%	69	38.8%	8	4.5%
	公 営	74	100.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	14	18.9%	30	40.5%	21	28.4%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	---	---	2	1.9%	15	14.4%	38	36.5%	48	46.2%	1	1.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	---	---	4	4.1%	25	25.8%	39	40.2%	28	28.9%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	---	---	3	6.5%	17	37.0%	16	34.8%	10	21.7%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	1	2.0%	8	15.7%	23	45.1%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	15	16.1%	41	44.1%	29	31.2%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	10	19.2%	28	53.8%	9	17.3%	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	12.2%	13	31.7%	20	48.8%	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	24	23.5%	31	30.4%	43	42.2%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	---	---	11	37.9%	7	24.1%	10	34.5%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	13	17.8%	24	32.9%	33	45.2%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	21	21.4%	47	48.0%	24	24.5%	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	---	---	1	2.3%	9	20.5%	27	61.4%	5	11.4%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	---	---	12	22.2%	20	37.0%	19	35.2%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	18	12.8%	56	39.7%	57	40.4%	8	5.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	13	46.4%	9	32.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	---	---	16	14.2%	43	38.1%	48	42.5%	5	4.4%

表NO.43 所在地区別 問4-1-2 貴園の自己評定〈仕事と子育ての両立支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	8	1.0%	14	1.7%	158	18.9%	338	40.4%	286	34.2%	33	3.9%
	公 営	325	100.0%	4	1.2%	9	2.8%	70	21.5%	147	45.2%	76	23.4%	19	5.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	4	0.8%	5	1.0%	88	17.2%	191	37.3%	210	41.0%	14	2.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	---	---	1	0.9%	14	12.5%	41	36.6%	52	46.4%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	17.9%	10	35.7%	11	39.3%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	---	---	1	1.2%	9	10.7%	31	36.9%	41	48.8%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	2	1.8%	---	---	26	23.6%	39	35.5%	40	36.4%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	---	---	5	17.2%	12	41.4%	9	31.0%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	---	---	21	25.9%	27	33.3%	31	38.3%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	1	0.7%	4	2.9%	24	17.5%	49	35.8%	55	40.1%	4	2.9%
	公 営	41	100.0%	---	---	1	2.4%	8	19.5%	18	43.9%	12	29.3%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%	16	16.7%	31	32.3%	43	44.8%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	3	1.2%	6	2.4%	43	17.1%	103	40.9%	85	33.7%	12	4.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%	19	19.6%	45	46.4%	20	20.6%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	24	15.5%	58	37.4%	65	41.9%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	1	1.2%	---	---	16	19.0%	40	47.6%	22	26.2%	5	6.0%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	---	---	11	25.6%	20	46.5%	8	18.6%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	---	---	5	12.2%	20	48.8%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	1	0.7%	3	2.1%	35	24.6%	66	46.5%	32	22.5%	5	3.5%
	公 営	87	100.0%	---	---	3	3.4%	22	25.3%	42	48.3%	16	18.4%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	1	1.8%	---	---	13	23.6%	24	43.6%	16	29.1%	1	1.8%

表NO.44 地域区分別 問4-1-3 貴園の自己評定〈地域の子育て支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	18	2.2%	76	9.1%	267	31.9%	295	35.2%	143	17.1%	38	4.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	7	2.2%	31	9.5%	109	33.5%	121	37.2%	37	11.4%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	11	2.1%	45	8.8%	158	30.9%	174	34.0%	106	20.7%	18	3.5%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	6	4.7%	17	13.3%	44	34.4%	41	32.0%	15	11.7%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	7	13.5%	12	23.1%	25	48.1%	3	5.8%	4	7.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	5	6.6%	10	13.2%	32	42.1%	16	21.1%	12	15.8%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	4	2.2%	19	10.7%	57	32.0%	54	30.3%	35	19.7%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	1	1.4%	9	12.2%	23	31.1%	22	29.7%	12	16.2%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	3	2.9%	10	9.6%	34	32.7%	32	30.8%	23	22.1%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	---	---	8	8.2%	34	35.1%	42	43.3%	11	11.3%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	---	---	4	8.7%	22	47.8%	15	32.6%	5	10.9%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	4	7.8%	12	23.5%	27	52.9%	6	11.8%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	1	1.1%	4	4.3%	27	29.0%	36	38.7%	18	19.4%	7	7.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	16	30.8%	22	42.3%	8	15.4%	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	2	4.9%	11	26.8%	14	34.1%	10	24.4%	4	9.8%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	1	1.0%	8	7.8%	32	31.4%	41	40.2%	18	17.6%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	4	13.8%	13	44.8%	9	31.0%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	4	5.5%	19	26.0%	32	43.8%	16	21.9%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	4	4.1%	10	10.2%	30	30.6%	38	38.8%	11	11.2%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	4	9.1%	14	31.8%	18	40.9%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	6	11.1%	16	29.6%	20	37.0%	8	14.8%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	2	1.4%	10	7.1%	43	30.5%	43	30.5%	35	24.8%	8	5.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	9	8.0%	34	30.1%	33	29.2%	31	27.4%	5	4.4%

表NO.45 所在地区別 問4-1-3 貴園の自己評定〈地域の子育て支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	18	2.2%	76	9.1%	267	31.9%	295	35.2%	143	17.1%	38	4.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	7	2.2%	31	9.5%	109	33.5%	121	37.2%	37	11.4%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	11	2.1%	45	8.8%	158	30.9%	174	34.0%	106	20.7%	18	3.5%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	1	0.9%	12	10.7%	37	33.0%	40	35.7%	18	16.1%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	2	7.1%	11	39.3%	10	35.7%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	1	1.2%	10	11.9%	26	31.0%	30	35.7%	15	17.9%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	1	0.9%	14	12.7%	30	27.3%	42	38.2%	20	18.2%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	5	17.2%	7	24.1%	11	37.9%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	1	1.2%	9	11.1%	23	28.4%	31	38.3%	15	18.5%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	5	3.6%	11	8.0%	44	32.1%	48	35.0%	24	17.5%	5	3.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	11	26.8%	18	43.9%	6	14.6%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	4	4.2%	8	8.3%	33	34.4%	30	31.3%	18	18.8%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	6	2.4%	19	7.5%	76	30.2%	87	34.5%	50	19.8%	14	5.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	10	10.3%	34	35.1%	34	35.1%	10	10.3%	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	4	2.6%	9	5.8%	42	27.1%	53	34.2%	40	25.8%	7	4.5%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	---	---	10	11.9%	27	32.1%	26	31.0%	15	17.9%	6	7.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	5	11.6%	16	37.2%	11	25.6%	7	16.3%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	5	12.2%	11	26.8%	15	36.6%	8	19.5%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	5	3.5%	10	7.0%	53	37.3%	52	36.6%	16	11.3%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	4	4.6%	6	6.9%	30	34.5%	37	42.5%	6	6.9%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	1	1.8%	4	7.3%	23	41.8%	15	27.3%	10	18.2%	2	3.6%

表NO.46 地域区分別 問4-1-4 貴園の自己評定〈子どもの発達支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	5	0.6%	12	1.4%	120	14.3%	346	41.3%	317	37.9%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	2	0.6%	4	1.2%	48	14.8%	160	49.2%	91	28.0%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	3	0.6%	8	1.6%	72	14.1%	186	36.3%	226	44.1%	17	3.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	1	0.8%	6	4.7%	20	15.6%	55	43.0%	41	32.0%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	3	5.8%	7	13.5%	26	50.0%	11	21.2%	4	7.7%
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	3	3.9%	13	17.1%	29	38.2%	30	39.5%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	1	0.6%	2	1.1%	18	10.1%	75	42.1%	71	39.9%	11	6.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	---	---	---	---	12	16.2%	26	35.1%	28	37.8%	8	10.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	1	1.0%	2	1.9%	6	5.8%	49	47.1%	43	41.3%	3	2.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	---	---	1	1.0%	20	20.6%	43	44.3%	32	33.0%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	---	---	---	---	12	26.1%	27	58.7%	7	15.2%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	1	2.0%	8	15.7%	16	31.4%	25	49.0%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	11	11.8%	45	48.4%	29	31.2%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	---	---	4	7.7%	30	57.7%	14	26.9%	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	1	2.4%	7	17.1%	15	36.6%	15	36.6%	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%	22	21.6%	40	39.2%	36	35.3%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	1	3.4%	7	24.1%	13	44.8%	8	27.6%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	15	20.5%	27	37.0%	28	38.4%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	---	---	---	---	13	13.3%	43	43.9%	37	37.8%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	---	---	---	---	3	6.8%	25	56.8%	14	31.8%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	---	---	---	---	10	18.5%	18	33.3%	23	42.6%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	1	0.7%	---	---	16	11.3%	45	31.9%	71	50.4%	8	5.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	---	---	3	10.7%	13	46.4%	9	32.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	---	---	13	11.5%	32	28.3%	62	54.9%	5	4.4%

表NO.47 所在地区別 問4-1-4 貴園の自己評定〈子どもの発達支援〉

		総計		1		2		3		4		5		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	5	0.6%	12	1.4%	120	14.3%	346	41.3%	317	37.9%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	2	0.6%	4	1.2%	48	14.8%	160	49.2%	91	28.0%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	3	0.6%	8	1.6%	72	14.1%	186	36.3%	226	44.1%	17	3.3%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	---	---	2	1.8%	11	9.8%	35	31.3%	60	53.6%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	---	---	2	7.1%	11	39.3%	13	46.4%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	---	---	2	2.4%	9	10.7%	24	28.6%	47	56.0%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	---	---	3	2.7%	16	14.5%	42	38.2%	46	41.8%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	13	44.8%	11	37.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	1	1.2%	14	17.3%	29	35.8%	35	43.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	---	---	1	0.7%	19	13.9%	59	43.1%	52	38.0%	6	4.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	12.2%	23	56.1%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%
	民 営	96	100.0%	---	---	1	1.0%	14	14.6%	36	37.5%	41	42.7%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	2	0.8%	3	1.2%	33	13.1%	108	42.9%	93	36.9%	13	5.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	---	---	---	---	17	17.5%	47	48.5%	26	26.8%	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	2	1.3%	3	1.9%	16	10.3%	61	39.4%	67	43.2%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	---	---	1	1.2%	16	19.0%	29	34.5%	32	38.1%	6	7.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	1	2.3%	7	16.3%	15	34.9%	16	37.2%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	9	22.0%	14	34.1%	16	39.0%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	3	2.1%	2	1.4%	25	17.6%	73	51.4%	34	23.9%	5	3.5%
	公 営	87	100.0%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	15	17.2%	51	58.6%	14	16.1%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	10	18.2%	22	40.0%	20	36.4%	1	1.8%

表NO.48 地域区分別 問5-1 公立保育所の民営化

		総計		かなり移行		一部移行		あまり移行していない		まったく移行していない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	73	8.7%	281	33.6%	71	8.5%	319	38.1%	18	2.2%	60	7.2%	15	1.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	12	3.7%	98	30.2%	28	8.6%	146	44.9%	8	2.5%	26	8.0%	7	2.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	61	11.9%	183	35.7%	43	8.4%	173	33.8%	10	2.0%	34	6.6%	8	1.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	12	9.4%	49	38.3%	10	7.8%	36	28.1%	5	3.9%	14	10.9%	2	1.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	13	25.0%	5	9.6%	22	42.3%	2	3.8%	7	13.5%	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	11	14.5%	36	47.4%	5	6.6%	14	18.4%	3	3.9%	7	9.2%	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	14	7.9%	71	39.9%	13	7.3%	60	33.7%	5	2.8%	12	6.7%	3	1.7%
	公 営	74	100.0%	4	5.4%	31	41.9%	4	5.4%	25	33.8%	2	2.7%	6	8.1%	2	2.7%
	民 営	104	100.0%	10	9.6%	40	38.5%	9	8.7%	35	33.7%	3	2.9%	6	5.8%	1	1.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	5	5.2%	29	29.9%	9	9.3%	47	48.5%	2	2.1%	4	4.1%	1	1.0%
	公 営	46	100.0%	1	2.2%	15	32.6%	5	10.9%	21	45.7%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	4	7.8%	14	27.5%	4	7.8%	26	51.0%	---	---	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	24	25.8%	15	16.1%	44	47.3%	2	2.2%	3	3.2%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	13	25.0%	3	5.8%	30	57.7%	1	1.9%	3	5.8%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	11	26.8%	12	29.3%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	10	9.8%	34	33.3%	7	6.9%	41	40.2%	---	---	9	8.8%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	7	24.1%	2	6.9%	15	51.7%	---	---	4	13.8%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	9	12.3%	27	37.0%	5	6.8%	26	35.6%	---	---	5	6.8%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	8	8.2%	29	29.6%	9	9.2%	43	43.9%	1	1.0%	6	6.1%	2	2.0%
	公 営	44	100.0%	1	2.3%	12	27.3%	6	13.6%	21	47.7%	---	---	2	4.5%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	7	13.0%	17	31.5%	3	5.6%	22	40.7%	1	1.9%	4	7.4%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	21	14.9%	45	31.9%	8	5.7%	48	34.0%	3	2.1%	12	8.5%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	2	7.1%	7	25.0%	3	10.7%	12	42.9%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	19	16.8%	38	33.6%	5	4.4%	36	31.9%	2	1.8%	10	8.8%	3	2.7%

表NO.49 所在地区別 問5-1 公立保育所の民営化

		総計		かなり移行		一部移行		あまり移行していない		まったく移行していない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	73	8.7%	281	33.6%	71	8.5%	319	38.1%	18	2.2%	60	7.2%	15	1.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	12	3.7%	98	30.2%	28	8.6%	146	44.9%	8	2.5%	26	8.0%	7	2.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	61	11.9%	183	35.7%	43	8.4%	173	33.8%	10	2.0%	34	6.6%	8	1.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	23	20.5%	56	50.0%	10	8.9%	13	11.6%	3	2.7%	4	3.6%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	2	7.1%	15	53.6%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	---	---	3	10.7%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	21	25.0%	41	48.8%	6	7.1%	11	13.1%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%
県庁所在地	計	110	100.0%	9	8.2%	47	42.7%	13	11.8%	28	25.5%	4	3.6%	7	6.4%	2	1.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	15	51.7%	1	3.4%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	6	7.4%	32	39.5%	12	14.8%	24	29.6%	2	2.5%	3	3.7%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	10	7.3%	58	42.3%	12	8.8%	40	29.2%	2	1.5%	11	8.0%	4	2.9%
	公 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	16	39.0%	5	12.2%	10	24.4%	1	2.4%	6	14.6%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	8	8.3%	42	43.8%	7	7.3%	30	31.3%	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	17	6.7%	80	31.7%	22	8.7%	111	44.0%	3	1.2%	16	6.3%	3	1.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	34	35.1%	7	7.2%	46	47.4%	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	1	1.0%
	民 営	155	100.0%	14	9.0%	46	29.7%	15	9.7%	65	41.9%	2	1.3%	11	7.1%	2	1.3%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	8	9.5%	20	23.8%	7	8.3%	39	46.4%	2	2.4%	7	8.3%	1	1.2%
	公 営	43	100.0%	2	4.7%	7	16.3%	6	14.0%	23	53.5%	---	---	4	9.3%	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	13	31.7%	1	2.4%	16	39.0%	2	4.9%	3	7.3%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	6	4.2%	20	14.1%	7	4.9%	88	62.0%	4	2.8%	15	10.6%	2	1.4%
	公 営	87	100.0%	---	---	11	12.6%	5	5.7%	61	70.1%	4	4.6%	4	4.6%	2	2.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	6	10.9%	9	16.4%	2	3.6%	27	49.1%	---	---	11	20.0%	---	---

表NO.50 地域区分別 問5-2 民営化による保育の質の変化

		総計		質が上がる		質が下がる		質には関係ない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	195	23.3%	139	16.6%	288	34.4%	129	15.4%	65	7.8%	21	2.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	10	3.1%	118	36.3%	88	27.1%	72	22.2%	28	8.6%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	185	36.1%	21	4.1%	200	39.1%	57	11.1%	37	7.2%	12	2.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	21	16.4%	20	15.6%	50	39.1%	22	17.2%	10	7.8%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	16	30.8%	9	17.3%	15	28.8%	6	11.5%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	18	23.7%	4	5.3%	41	53.9%	7	9.2%	4	5.3%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	41	23.0%	29	16.3%	61	34.3%	24	13.5%	19	10.7%	4	2.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	1	1.4%	26	35.1%	27	36.5%	13	17.6%	7	9.5%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	40	38.5%	3	2.9%	34	32.7%	11	10.6%	12	11.5%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	16	16.5%	19	19.6%	33	34.0%	18	18.6%	9	9.3%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	2	4.3%	17	37.0%	9	19.6%	12	26.1%	5	10.9%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	14	27.5%	2	3.9%	24	47.1%	6	11.8%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	21	22.6%	22	23.7%	31	33.3%	13	14.0%	4	4.3%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	22	42.3%	17	32.7%	8	15.4%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	19	46.3%	---	---	14	34.1%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	31	30.4%	16	15.7%	32	31.4%	20	19.6%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	11	37.9%	7	24.1%	9	31.0%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	31	42.5%	5	6.8%	25	34.2%	11	15.1%	1	1.4%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	22	22.4%	20	20.4%	28	28.6%	14	14.3%	11	11.2%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	1	2.3%	18	40.9%	12	27.3%	8	18.2%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	21	38.9%	2	3.7%	16	29.6%	6	11.1%	8	14.8%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	43	30.5%	13	9.2%	53	37.6%	18	12.8%	10	7.1%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	7	25.0%	7	25.0%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	42	37.2%	5	4.4%	46	40.7%	11	9.7%	6	5.3%	3	2.7%

表NO.51 所在地区別 問5-2 民営化による保育の質の変化

		総計		質が上がる		質が下がる		質には関係ない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	195	23.3%	139	16.6%	288	34.4%	129	15.4%	65	7.8%	21	2.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	10	3.1%	118	36.3%	88	27.1%	72	22.2%	28	8.6%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	185	36.1%	21	4.1%	200	39.1%	57	11.1%	37	7.2%	12	2.3%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	23	20.5%	17	15.2%	42	37.5%	16	14.3%	13	11.6%	1	0.9%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	10	35.7%	7	25.0%	3	10.7%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	7	8.3%	35	41.7%	13	15.5%	7	8.3%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	30	27.3%	12	10.9%	44	40.0%	9	8.2%	8	7.3%	7	6.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	10	34.5%	9	31.0%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	29	35.8%	2	2.5%	35	43.2%	6	7.4%	6	7.4%	3	3.7%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	33	24.1%	19	13.9%	50	36.5%	18	13.1%	14	10.2%	3	2.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	---	---	15	36.6%	9	22.0%	11	26.8%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	33	34.4%	4	4.2%	41	42.7%	7	7.3%	9	9.4%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	62	24.6%	43	17.1%	88	34.9%	42	16.7%	11	4.4%	6	2.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	38	39.2%	29	29.9%	20	20.6%	5	5.2%	2	2.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	59	38.1%	5	3.2%	59	38.1%	22	14.2%	6	3.9%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	18	21.4%	18	21.4%	28	33.3%	13	15.5%	6	7.1%	1	1.2%
	公 営	43	100.0%	1	2.3%	17	39.5%	13	30.2%	8	18.6%	4	9.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	17	41.5%	1	2.4%	15	36.6%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	29	20.4%	30	21.1%	36	25.4%	31	21.8%	13	9.2%	3	2.1%
	公 営	87	100.0%	4	4.6%	28	32.2%	21	24.1%	27	31.0%	6	6.9%	1	1.1%
	民 営	55	100.0%	25	45.5%	2	3.6%	15	27.3%	4	7.3%	7	12.7%	2	3.6%

表NO.52 地域区分別 問5-3-1 民営化は家庭への個別援助が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	207	24.7%	165	19.7%	275	32.9%	144	17.2%	26	3.1%	20	2.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	33	10.2%	128	39.4%	68	20.9%	75	23.1%	12	3.7%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	174	34.0%	37	7.2%	207	40.4%	69	13.5%	14	2.7%	11	2.1%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	27	21.1%	21	16.4%	43	33.6%	32	25.0%	1	0.8%	4	3.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	7	13.5%	16	30.8%	8	15.4%	19	36.5%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	20	26.3%	5	6.6%	35	46.1%	13	17.1%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	38	21.3%	40	22.5%	58	32.6%	29	16.3%	10	5.6%	3	1.7%
	公 営	74	100.0%	10	13.5%	33	44.6%	17	23.0%	11	14.9%	3	4.1%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	28	26.9%	7	6.7%	41	39.4%	18	17.3%	7	6.7%	3	2.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	20	20.6%	21	21.6%	32	33.0%	18	18.6%	3	3.1%	3	3.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	5	10.9%	19	41.3%	10	21.7%	10	21.7%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	15	29.4%	2	3.9%	22	43.1%	8	15.7%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	26	28.0%	19	20.4%	30	32.3%	13	14.0%	3	3.2%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	6	11.5%	18	34.6%	12	23.1%	12	23.1%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	20	48.8%	1	2.4%	18	43.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	---	---
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	22	21.6%	24	23.5%	35	34.3%	15	14.7%	4	3.9%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	15	51.7%	4	13.8%	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	22	30.1%	9	12.3%	31	42.5%	10	13.7%	---	---	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	23	23.5%	21	21.4%	27	27.6%	19	19.4%	4	4.1%	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	17	38.6%	9	20.5%	11	25.0%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	20	37.0%	4	7.4%	18	33.3%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	51	36.2%	19	13.5%	50	35.5%	18	12.8%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	2	7.1%	10	35.7%	8	28.6%	7	25.0%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	49	43.4%	9	8.0%	42	37.2%	11	9.7%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%

表NO.53 所在地区別 問5-3-1 民営化は家庭への個別援助が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	207	24.7%	165	19.7%	275	32.9%	144	17.2%	26	3.1%	20	2.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	33	10.2%	128	39.4%	68	20.9%	75	23.1%	12	3.7%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	174	34.0%	37	7.2%	207	40.4%	69	13.5%	14	2.7%	11	2.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	26	23.2%	24	21.4%	43	38.4%	13	11.6%	4	3.6%	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	14	50.0%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	10	11.9%	37	44.0%	11	13.1%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	26	23.6%	16	14.5%	40	36.4%	17	15.5%	5	4.5%	6	5.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	11	37.9%	6	20.7%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	5	17.2%
	民 営	81	100.0%	26	32.1%	5	6.2%	34	42.0%	11	13.6%	4	4.9%	1	1.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	33	24.1%	24	17.5%	50	36.5%	22	16.1%	5	3.6%	3	2.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	18	43.9%	8	19.5%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	28	29.2%	6	6.3%	42	43.8%	14	14.6%	4	4.2%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	62	24.6%	55	21.8%	86	34.1%	38	15.1%	5	2.0%	6	2.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	44	45.4%	23	23.7%	17	17.5%	3	3.1%	2	2.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	54	34.8%	11	7.1%	63	40.6%	21	13.5%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	14	16.7%	28	33.3%	15	17.9%	4	4.8%	1	1.2%
	公 営	43	100.0%	6	14.0%	11	25.6%	10	23.3%	12	27.9%	4	9.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	16	39.0%	3	7.3%	18	43.9%	3	7.3%	---	---	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	38	26.8%	32	22.5%	28	19.7%	39	27.5%	3	2.1%	2	1.4%
	公 営	87	100.0%	10	11.5%	30	34.5%	15	17.2%	30	34.5%	2	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	28	50.9%	2	3.6%	13	23.6%	9	16.4%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%

表NO.54 地域区分別 問5-3-2 民営化は地域子育て支援が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	250	29.9%	90	10.8%	294	35.1%	158	18.9%	25	3.0%	20	2.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	43	13.2%	74	22.8%	96	29.5%	88	27.1%	14	4.3%	10	3.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	207	40.4%	16	3.1%	198	38.7%	70	13.7%	11	2.1%	10	2.0%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	23	18.0%	13	10.2%	47	36.7%	34	26.6%	5	3.9%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	9	17.3%	13	25.0%	21	40.4%	3	5.8%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	20	26.3%	4	5.3%	34	44.7%	13	17.1%	2	2.6%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	56	31.5%	19	10.7%	55	30.9%	37	20.8%	9	5.1%	2	1.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	18	24.3%	17	23.0%	20	27.0%	15	20.3%	4	5.4%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	38	36.5%	2	1.9%	35	33.7%	22	21.2%	5	4.8%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	31	32.0%	10	10.3%	33	34.0%	20	20.6%	1	1.0%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	9	19.6%	10	21.7%	12	26.1%	13	28.3%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	22	43.1%	---	---	21	41.2%	7	13.7%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	23	24.7%	10	10.8%	41	44.1%	16	17.2%	---	---	3	3.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	10	19.2%	25	48.1%	14	26.9%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	22	53.7%	---	---	16	39.0%	2	4.9%	---	---	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	29	28.4%	15	14.7%	39	38.2%	11	10.8%	6	5.9%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	12	41.4%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	29	39.7%	3	4.1%	32	43.8%	7	9.6%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	32	32.7%	13	13.3%	28	28.6%	20	20.4%	2	2.0%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	5	11.4%	11	25.0%	12	27.3%	13	29.5%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	27	50.0%	2	3.7%	16	29.6%	7	13.0%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	56	39.7%	10	7.1%	51	36.2%	20	14.2%	2	1.4%	2	1.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	5	17.9%	7	25.0%	8	28.6%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	49	43.4%	5	4.4%	44	38.9%	12	10.6%	2	1.8%	1	0.9%

表NO.55 所在地区別 問5-3-2 民営化は地域子育て支援が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	250	29.9%	90	10.8%	294	35.1%	158	18.9%	25	3.0%	20	2.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	43	13.2%	74	22.8%	96	29.5%	88	27.1%	14	4.3%	10	3.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	207	40.4%	16	3.1%	198	38.7%	70	13.7%	11	2.1%	10	2.0%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	36	32.1%	9	8.0%	42	37.5%	16	14.3%	6	5.4%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	6	21.4%	6	21.4%	7	25.0%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	30	35.7%	3	3.6%	35	41.7%	10	11.9%	4	4.8%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	34	30.9%	7	6.4%	42	38.2%	19	17.3%	2	1.8%	6	5.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	7	24.1%	8	27.6%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	30	37.0%	---	---	34	42.0%	15	18.5%	---	---	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	44	32.1%	9	6.6%	49	35.8%	28	20.4%	5	3.6%	2	1.5%
	公 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	5	12.2%	12	29.3%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	38	39.6%	4	4.2%	37	38.5%	13	13.5%	3	3.1%	1	1.0%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	76	30.2%	36	14.3%	92	36.5%	34	13.5%	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	13	13.4%	30	30.9%	30	30.9%	16	16.5%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	63	40.6%	6	3.9%	62	40.0%	18	11.6%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	28	33.3%	9	10.7%	29	34.5%	17	20.2%	1	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	7	16.3%	7	16.3%	13	30.2%	15	34.9%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	2	4.9%	16	39.0%	2	4.9%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	32	22.5%	20	14.1%	40	28.2%	44	31.0%	4	2.8%	2	1.4%
	公 営	87	100.0%	7	8.0%	19	21.8%	26	29.9%	32	36.8%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%
	民 営	55	100.0%	25	45.5%	1	1.8%	14	25.5%	12	21.8%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%

表NO.56 地域区分別 問5-3-3 民営化は子育て中の住民グループへの支援が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	212	25.3%	85	10.2%	291	34.8%	205	24.5%	20	2.4%	24	2.9%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	69	21.2%	83	25.5%	117	36.0%	7	2.2%	11	3.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	174	34.0%	16	3.1%	208	40.6%	88	17.2%	13	2.5%	13	2.5%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	23	18.0%	12	9.4%	45	35.2%	41	32.0%	2	1.6%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	10	19.2%	11	21.2%	25	48.1%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	20	26.3%	2	2.6%	34	44.7%	16	21.1%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	48	27.0%	10	5.6%	56	31.5%	51	28.7%	10	5.6%	3	1.7%
	公 営	74	100.0%	16	21.6%	9	12.2%	19	25.7%	26	35.1%	4	5.4%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	32	30.8%	1	1.0%	37	35.6%	25	24.0%	6	5.8%	3	2.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	25	25.8%	13	13.4%	31	32.0%	26	26.8%	---	---	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	7	15.2%	11	23.9%	10	21.7%	17	37.0%	---	---	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	18	35.3%	2	3.9%	21	41.2%	9	17.6%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	16	17.2%	11	11.8%	37	39.8%	25	26.9%	1	1.1%	3	3.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	10	19.2%	19	36.5%	20	38.5%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	15	36.6%	1	2.4%	18	43.9%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	22	21.6%	13	12.7%	44	43.1%	17	16.7%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	10	34.5%	8	27.6%	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	22	30.1%	3	4.1%	36	49.3%	9	12.3%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	28	28.6%	17	17.3%	27	27.6%	19	19.4%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	7	15.9%	14	31.8%	9	20.5%	11	25.0%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	21	38.9%	3	5.6%	18	33.3%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	50	35.5%	9	6.4%	51	36.2%	26	18.4%	1	0.7%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	7	25.0%	10	35.7%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	46	40.7%	4	3.5%	44	38.9%	16	14.2%	1	0.9%	2	1.8%

表NO.57 所在地別 問5-3-3 民営化は子育て中の住民グループへの支援が

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	212	25.3%	85	10.2%	291	34.8%	205	24.5%	20	2.4%	24	2.9%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	69	21.2%	83	25.5%	117	36.0%	7	2.2%	11	3.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	174	34.0%	16	3.1%	208	40.6%	88	17.2%	13	2.5%	13	2.5%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	30	26.8%	10	8.9%	40	35.7%	23	20.5%	6	5.4%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	5	17.9%	8	28.6%	4	14.3%	8	28.6%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	25	29.8%	2	2.4%	36	42.9%	15	17.9%	4	4.8%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	31	28.2%	7	6.4%	41	37.3%	24	21.8%	---	---	7	6.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	7	24.1%	8	27.6%	6	20.7%	---	---	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	27	33.3%	---	---	33	40.7%	18	22.2%	---	---	3	3.7%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	34	24.8%	10	7.3%	48	35.0%	35	25.5%	7	5.1%	3	2.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	5	12.2%	10	24.4%	20	48.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	30	31.3%	5	5.2%	38	39.6%	15	15.6%	6	6.3%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	65	25.8%	28	11.1%	93	36.9%	55	21.8%	3	1.2%	8	3.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	13	13.4%	22	22.7%	27	27.8%	31	32.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	52	33.5%	6	3.9%	66	42.6%	24	15.5%	2	1.3%	5	3.2%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	25	29.8%	11	13.1%	29	34.5%	18	21.4%	1	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	6	14.0%	10	23.3%	10	23.3%	16	37.2%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	19	46.3%	1	2.4%	19	46.3%	2	4.9%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	27	19.0%	19	13.4%	40	28.2%	50	35.2%	3	2.1%	3	2.1%
	公 営	87	100.0%	6	6.9%	17	19.5%	24	27.6%	36	41.4%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	21	38.2%	2	3.6%	16	29.1%	14	25.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%

表NO.58 地域区分別 問5-3-4 他の組織との連携

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	87	10.4%	176	21.0%	404	48.3%	135	16.1%	16	1.9%	19	2.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	5	1.5%	123	37.8%	109	33.5%	72	22.2%	6	1.8%	10	3.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	82	16.0%	53	10.4%	295	57.6%	63	12.3%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	8	6.3%	24	18.8%	65	50.8%	26	20.3%	---	---	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	16	30.8%	18	34.6%	15	28.8%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	8	10.5%	8	10.5%	47	61.8%	11	14.5%	---	---	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	18	10.1%	36	20.2%	80	44.9%	34	19.1%	8	4.5%	2	1.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	3	4.1%	25	33.8%	26	35.1%	17	23.0%	3	4.1%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	15	14.4%	11	10.6%	54	51.9%	17	16.3%	5	4.8%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	12	12.4%	30	30.9%	38	39.2%	15	15.5%	---	---	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	2	4.3%	23	50.0%	12	26.1%	8	17.4%	---	---	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	10	19.6%	7	13.7%	26	51.0%	7	13.7%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	6	6.5%	26	28.0%	39	41.9%	17	18.3%	3	3.2%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	22	42.3%	13	25.0%	13	25.0%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	4	9.8%	26	63.4%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	---	---
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	11	10.8%	24	23.5%	54	52.9%	8	7.8%	2	2.0%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	16	55.2%	8	27.6%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	11	15.1%	8	11.0%	46	63.0%	5	6.8%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	11	11.2%	18	18.4%	45	45.9%	19	19.4%	2	2.0%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	---	---	15	34.1%	16	36.4%	11	25.0%	---	---	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	11	20.4%	3	5.6%	29	53.7%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	21	14.9%	18	12.8%	83	58.9%	16	11.3%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	6	21.4%	16	57.1%	5	17.9%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	21	18.6%	12	10.6%	67	59.3%	11	9.7%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%

表NO.59 所在地区別 問5-3-4 他の組織との連携

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	87	10.4%	176	21.0%	404	48.3%	135	16.1%	16	1.9%	19	2.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	5	1.5%	123	37.8%	109	33.5%	72	22.2%	6	1.8%	10	3.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	82	16.0%	53	10.4%	295	57.6%	63	12.3%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	13	11.6%	20	17.9%	60	53.6%	15	13.4%	2	1.8%	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	11	39.3%	8	28.6%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	12	14.3%	9	10.7%	52	61.9%	9	10.7%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	17	15.5%	22	20.0%	53	48.2%	12	10.9%	---	---	6	5.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	13	44.8%	9	31.0%	2	6.9%	---	---	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	16	19.8%	9	11.1%	44	54.3%	10	12.3%	---	---	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	14	10.2%	24	17.5%	71	51.8%	21	15.3%	4	2.9%	3	2.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	---	---	15	36.6%	14	34.1%	11	26.8%	---	---	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	14	14.6%	9	9.4%	57	59.4%	10	10.4%	4	4.2%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	24	9.5%	56	22.2%	123	48.8%	39	15.5%	4	1.6%	6	2.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	39	40.2%	33	34.0%	19	19.6%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	22	14.2%	17	11.0%	90	58.1%	20	12.9%	3	1.9%	3	1.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	9	10.7%	21	25.0%	37	44.0%	14	16.7%	3	3.6%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	17	39.5%	12	27.9%	11	25.6%	3	7.0%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	25	61.0%	3	7.3%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	10	7.0%	33	23.2%	60	42.3%	34	23.9%	3	2.1%	2	1.4%
	公 営	87	100.0%	1	1.1%	28	32.2%	33	37.9%	23	26.4%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
	民 営	55	100.0%	9	16.4%	5	9.1%	27	49.1%	11	20.0%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%

表NO.60 地域区分別 問5-3-5 多様な保育サービスの提供

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	456	54.5%	71	8.5%	156	18.6%	113	13.5%	23	2.7%	18	2.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	137	42.2%	51	15.7%	48	14.8%	67	20.6%	13	4.0%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	319	62.3%	20	3.9%	108	21.1%	46	9.0%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	55	43.0%	12	9.4%	30	23.4%	25	19.5%	2	1.6%	4	3.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	19	36.5%	7	13.5%	6	11.5%	18	34.6%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	36	47.4%	5	6.6%	24	31.6%	7	9.2%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	101	56.7%	8	4.5%	28	15.7%	30	16.9%	9	5.1%	2	1.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	37	50.0%	8	10.8%	12	16.2%	12	16.2%	5	6.8%	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	64	61.5%	---	---	16	15.4%	18	17.3%	4	3.8%	2	1.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	56	57.7%	14	14.4%	16	16.5%	8	8.2%	1	1.0%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	23	50.0%	11	23.9%	4	8.7%	6	13.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	33	64.7%	3	5.9%	12	23.5%	2	3.9%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	56	60.2%	10	10.8%	12	12.9%	11	11.8%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	24	46.2%	10	19.2%	5	9.6%	11	21.2%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
	民 営	41	100.0%	32	78.0%	---	---	7	17.1%	---	---	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	51	50.0%	14	13.7%	21	20.6%	9	8.8%	5	4.9%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	8	27.6%	6	20.7%	3	10.3%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	45	61.6%	6	8.2%	15	20.5%	6	8.2%	---	---	1	1.4%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	54	55.1%	5	5.1%	19	19.4%	14	14.3%	3	3.1%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	19	43.2%	4	9.1%	8	18.2%	10	22.7%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	35	64.8%	1	1.9%	11	20.4%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	83	58.9%	8	5.7%	30	21.3%	16	11.3%	1	0.7%	3	2.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	9	32.1%	3	10.7%	7	25.0%	7	25.0%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	74	65.5%	5	4.4%	23	20.4%	9	8.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%

表NO.61 所在地区別 問5-3-5 多様な保育サービスの提供

		総計		行いやすくなる		行いにくくなる		変化はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	456	54.5%	71	8.5%	156	18.6%	113	13.5%	23	2.7%	18	2.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	137	42.2%	51	15.7%	48	14.8%	67	20.6%	13	4.0%	9	2.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	319	62.3%	20	3.9%	108	21.1%	46	9.0%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	61	54.5%	5	4.5%	24	21.4%	14	12.5%	6	5.4%	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	15	53.6%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	46	54.8%	4	4.8%	21	25.0%	9	10.7%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	63	57.3%	8	7.3%	22	20.0%	10	9.1%	2	1.8%	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	12	41.4%	5	17.2%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	51	63.0%	3	3.7%	17	21.0%	8	9.9%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	82	59.9%	9	6.6%	21	15.3%	17	12.4%	5	3.6%	3	2.2%
	公 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	6	14.6%	3	7.3%	8	19.5%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	61	63.5%	3	3.1%	18	18.8%	9	9.4%	3	3.1%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	137	54.4%	28	11.1%	52	20.6%	24	9.5%	4	1.6%	7	2.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	43	44.3%	21	21.6%	15	15.5%	13	13.4%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	94	60.6%	7	4.5%	37	23.9%	11	7.1%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	53	63.1%	7	8.3%	10	11.9%	12	14.3%	2	2.4%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	21	48.8%	6	14.0%	3	7.0%	11	25.6%	2	4.7%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	32	78.0%	1	2.4%	7	17.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	60	42.3%	14	9.9%	27	19.0%	36	25.4%	4	2.8%	1	0.7%
	公 営	87	100.0%	25	28.7%	12	13.8%	19	21.8%	28	32.2%	3	3.4%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	35	63.6%	2	3.6%	8	14.5%	8	14.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%

表NO.62 地域区分別 問5-3-6 児童福祉としての保育所運営には関係がない

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	235	28.1%	121	14.5%	187	22.3%	137	16.4%	84	10.0%	16	1.9%	57	6.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	45	13.8%	105	32.3%	68	20.9%	41	12.6%	4	1.2%	24	7.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	197	38.5%	76	14.8%	82	16.0%	69	13.5%	43	8.4%	12	2.3%	33	6.4%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	35	27.3%	20	15.6%	26	20.3%	21	16.4%	14	10.9%	2	1.6%	10	7.8%
	公 営	52	100.0%	4	7.7%	11	21.2%	12	23.1%	11	21.2%	7	13.5%	2	3.8%	5	9.6%
	民 営	76	100.0%	31	40.8%	9	11.8%	14	18.4%	10	13.2%	7	9.2%	---	---	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	38	21.3%	30	16.9%	39	21.9%	35	19.7%	20	11.2%	2	1.1%	14	7.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	9	12.2%	11	14.9%	20	27.0%	20	27.0%	7	9.5%	---	---	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	29	27.9%	19	18.3%	19	18.3%	15	14.4%	13	12.5%	2	1.9%	7	6.7%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	26	26.8%	8	8.2%	30	30.9%	15	15.5%	11	11.3%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	8	17.4%	3	6.5%	16	34.8%	8	17.4%	6	13.0%	1	2.2%	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	18	35.3%	5	9.8%	14	27.5%	7	13.7%	5	9.8%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	17	18.3%	18	19.4%	25	26.9%	14	15.1%	11	11.8%	1	1.1%	7	7.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	6	11.5%	8	15.4%	18	34.6%	10	19.2%	8	15.4%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	11	26.8%	10	24.4%	7	17.1%	4	9.8%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	5	12.2%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	35	34.3%	15	14.7%	21	20.6%	17	16.7%	7	6.9%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	12	41.4%	4	13.8%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	32	43.8%	12	16.4%	9	12.3%	13	17.8%	2	2.7%	---	---	5	6.8%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	26	26.5%	12	12.2%	23	23.5%	18	18.4%	9	9.2%	3	3.1%	7	7.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%	17	38.6%	11	25.0%	3	6.8%	---	---	3	6.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	21	38.9%	7	13.0%	6	11.1%	7	13.0%	6	11.1%	3	5.6%	4	7.4%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	58	41.1%	18	12.8%	23	16.3%	17	12.1%	12	8.5%	5	3.5%	8	5.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	10	35.7%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	55	48.7%	14	12.4%	13	11.5%	13	11.5%	7	6.2%	5	4.4%	6	5.3%

表NO.63 所在地区別 問5-3-6 児童福祉としての保育所運営には関係がない

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	235	28.1%	121	14.5%	187	22.3%	137	16.4%	84	10.0%	16	1.9%	57	6.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	38	11.7%	45	13.8%	105	32.3%	68	20.9%	41	12.6%	4	1.2%	24	7.4%
	民 営	512	100.0%	197	38.5%	76	14.8%	82	16.0%	69	13.5%	43	8.4%	12	2.3%	33	6.4%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	42	37.5%	16	14.3%	22	19.6%	17	15.2%	7	6.3%	---	---	8	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	10	35.7%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	39	46.4%	12	14.3%	12	14.3%	12	14.3%	3	3.6%	---	---	6	7.1%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	32	29.1%	26	23.6%	26	23.6%	10	9.1%	8	7.3%	1	0.9%	7	6.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	4	13.8%	14	48.3%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	28	34.6%	22	27.2%	12	14.8%	7	8.6%	7	8.6%	---	---	5	6.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	42	30.7%	16	11.7%	23	16.8%	29	21.2%	13	9.5%	2	1.5%	12	8.8%
	公 営	41	100.0%	7	17.1%	4	9.8%	4	9.8%	15	36.6%	7	17.1%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	35	36.5%	12	12.5%	19	19.8%	14	14.6%	6	6.3%	2	2.1%	8	8.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	64	25.4%	35	13.9%	57	22.6%	43	17.1%	26	10.3%	9	3.6%	18	7.1%
	公 営	97	100.0%	7	7.2%	18	18.6%	35	36.1%	20	20.6%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%	9	9.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	57	36.8%	17	11.0%	22	14.2%	23	14.8%	21	13.5%	6	3.9%	9	5.8%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	26	31.0%	6	7.1%	24	28.6%	16	19.0%	9	10.7%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%
	公 営	43	100.0%	5	11.6%	1	2.3%	15	34.9%	12	27.9%	9	20.9%	---	---	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	5	12.2%	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	---	---	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	29	20.4%	22	15.5%	35	24.6%	22	15.5%	21	14.8%	3	2.1%	10	7.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	12	13.8%	14	16.1%	27	31.0%	13	14.9%	15	17.2%	---	---	6	6.9%
	民 営	55	100.0%	17	30.9%	8	14.5%	8	14.5%	9	16.4%	6	10.9%	3	5.5%	4	7.3%

表NO.64 地域区分別 問6 民営化に必要と考えられるプロセス

		総計		保護者への 周知、理解の 確認後に実施		保育士の交代を 最低限に抑える		保育内容の 急激な変化を 避ける		新しい 運営母体の理念や 長所を示す		特に必要ない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	747	89.2%	275	32.9%	470	56.2%	540	64.5%	18	2.2%	23	2.7%	42	5.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	132	40.6%	218	67.1%	187	57.5%	3	0.9%	6	1.8%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	452	88.3%	143	27.9%	252	49.2%	353	68.9%	15	2.9%	17	3.3%	24	4.7%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	112	87.5%	45	35.2%	70	54.7%	80	62.5%	2	1.6%	4	3.1%	8	6.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	48	92.3%	26	50.0%	35	67.3%	26	50.0%	---	---	1	1.9%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	64	84.2%	19	25.0%	35	46.1%	54	71.1%	2	2.6%	3	3.9%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	163	91.6%	46	25.8%	113	63.5%	120	67.4%	2	1.1%	6	3.4%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	68	91.9%	20	27.0%	51	68.9%	47	63.5%	1	1.4%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	95	91.3%	26	25.0%	62	59.6%	73	70.2%	1	1.0%	3	2.9%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	83	85.6%	30	30.9%	52	53.6%	60	61.9%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	8	8.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	37	80.4%	16	34.8%	30	65.2%	25	54.3%	---	---	1	2.2%	6	13.0%
	民 営	51	100.0%	46	90.2%	14	27.5%	22	43.1%	35	68.6%	3	5.9%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	82	88.2%	34	36.6%	53	57.0%	59	63.4%	3	3.2%	1	1.1%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	46	88.5%	25	48.1%	31	59.6%	31	59.6%	1	1.9%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	36	87.8%	9	22.0%	22	53.7%	28	68.3%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	92	90.2%	35	34.3%	64	62.7%	63	61.8%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	27	93.1%	13	44.8%	23	79.3%	14	48.3%	1	3.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	65	89.0%	22	30.1%	41	56.2%	49	67.1%	1	1.4%	4	5.5%	3	4.1%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	88	89.8%	34	34.7%	49	50.0%	65	66.3%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	42	95.5%	16	36.4%	31	70.5%	29	65.9%	---	---	1	2.3%	1	2.3%
	民 営	54	100.0%	46	85.2%	18	33.3%	18	33.3%	36	66.7%	2	3.7%	1	1.9%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	127	90.1%	51	36.2%	69	48.9%	93	66.0%	4	2.8%	2	1.4%	7	5.0%
	公 営	28	100.0%	27	96.4%	16	57.1%	17	60.7%	15	53.6%	---	---	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	100	88.5%	35	31.0%	52	46.0%	78	69.0%	4	3.5%	2	1.8%	6	5.3%

表NO.65 所在地区別 問6 民営化に必要と考えられるプロセス

		総計		保護者への 周知、理解の 確認後に実施		保育士の交代を 最低限に抑える		保育内容の 急激な変化を 避ける		新しい 運営母体の理念や 長所を示す		特に必要ない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	747	89.2%	275	32.9%	470	56.2%	540	64.5%	18	2.2%	23	2.7%	42	5.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	132	40.6%	218	67.1%	187	57.5%	3	0.9%	6	1.8%	18	5.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	452	88.3%	143	27.9%	252	49.2%	353	68.9%	15	2.9%	17	3.3%	24	4.7%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	98	87.5%	40	35.7%	66	58.9%	66	58.9%	2	1.8%	5	4.5%	6	5.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	26	92.9%	9	32.1%	18	64.3%	15	53.6%	---	---	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	72	85.7%	31	36.9%	48	57.1%	51	60.7%	2	2.4%	4	4.8%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	100	90.9%	36	32.7%	69	62.7%	70	63.6%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	28	96.6%	14	48.3%	26	89.7%	17	58.6%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	72	88.9%	22	27.2%	43	53.1%	53	65.4%	2	2.5%	3	3.7%	3	3.7%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	116	84.7%	35	25.5%	75	54.7%	89	65.0%	7	5.1%	3	2.2%	10	7.3%
	公 営	41	100.0%	37	90.2%	12	29.3%	28	68.3%	23	56.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	79	82.3%	23	24.0%	47	49.0%	66	68.8%	6	6.3%	3	3.1%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	227	90.1%	87	34.5%	143	56.7%	163	64.7%	5	2.0%	7	2.8%	13	5.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	84	86.6%	46	47.4%	69	71.1%	46	47.4%	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	143	92.3%	41	26.5%	74	47.7%	117	75.5%	3	1.9%	5	3.2%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	79	94.0%	24	28.6%	44	52.4%	62	73.8%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%
	公 営	43	100.0%	42	97.7%	15	34.9%	26	60.5%	33	76.7%	---	---	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	37	90.2%	9	22.0%	18	43.9%	29	70.7%	1	2.4%	---	---	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	127	89.4%	53	37.3%	73	51.4%	90	63.4%	1	0.7%	4	2.8%	8	5.6%
	公 営	87	100.0%	78	89.7%	36	41.4%	51	58.6%	53	60.9%	---	---	2	2.3%	5	5.7%
	民 営	55	100.0%	49	89.1%	17	30.9%	22	40.0%	37	67.3%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%

表NO.66 地域区分別 問7-1 市町村を通じての情報開示

		総計		すでにかなり実施		一部実施		あまり 実施されてない		まったく 実施されてない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	460	55.0%	237	28.3%	57	6.8%	19	2.3%	24	2.9%	5	0.6%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	168	51.7%	91	28.0%	25	7.7%	9	2.8%	15	4.6%	2	0.6%	15	4.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	292	57.0%	146	28.5%	32	6.3%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%	3	0.6%	20	3.9%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	66	51.6%	38	29.7%	12	9.4%	4	3.1%	3	2.3%	---	---	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	25	48.1%	15	28.8%	7	13.5%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	41	53.9%	23	30.3%	5	6.6%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	---	---	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	99	55.6%	52	29.2%	11	6.2%	5	2.8%	3	1.7%	---	---	8	4.5%
	公 営	74	100.0%	36	48.6%	22	29.7%	7	9.5%	2	2.7%	3	4.1%	---	---	4	5.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	63	60.6%	30	28.8%	4	3.8%	3	2.9%	---	---	---	---	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	52	53.6%	27	27.8%	9	9.3%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%	---	---	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	26	56.5%	9	19.6%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	2	4.3%	---	---	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	26	51.0%	18	35.3%	5	9.8%	---	---	1	2.0%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	55	59.1%	21	22.6%	3	3.2%	2	2.2%	5	5.4%	1	1.1%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	30	57.7%	12	23.1%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	4	7.7%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	25	61.0%	9	22.0%	2	4.9%	---	---	1	2.4%	---	---	4	9.8%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	59	57.8%	27	26.5%	6	5.9%	5	4.9%	---	---	1	1.0%	4	3.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	17	58.6%	8	27.6%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	---	---	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	42	57.5%	19	26.0%	5	6.8%	3	4.1%	---	---	1	1.4%	3	4.1%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	54	55.1%	34	34.7%	5	5.1%	---	---	2	2.0%	---	---	3	3.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	23	52.3%	17	38.6%	2	4.5%	---	---	1	2.3%	---	---	1	2.3%
	民 営	54	100.0%	31	57.4%	17	31.5%	3	5.6%	---	---	1	1.9%	---	---	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	75	53.2%	38	27.0%	11	7.8%	2	1.4%	8	5.7%	3	2.1%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	11	39.3%	8	28.6%	3	10.7%	---	---	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	64	56.6%	30	26.5%	8	7.1%	2	1.8%	4	3.5%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%

表NO.67 所在地区別 問7-1 市町村を通じての情報開示

		総計		すでにかなり実施		一部実施		あまり実施されてない		まったく実施されてない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	460	55.0%	237	28.3%	57	6.8%	19	2.3%	24	2.9%	5	0.6%	35	4.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	168	51.7%	91	28.0%	25	7.7%	9	2.8%	15	4.6%	2	0.6%	15	4.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	292	57.0%	146	28.5%	32	6.3%	10	2.0%	9	1.8%	3	0.6%	20	3.9%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	74	66.1%	24	21.4%	7	6.3%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	21	75.0%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	53	63.1%	18	21.4%	6	7.1%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	60	54.5%	39	35.5%	6	5.5%	1	0.9%	2	1.8%	---	---	2	1.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	15	51.7%	12	41.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	45	55.6%	27	33.3%	5	6.2%	---	---	2	2.5%	---	---	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	89	65.0%	28	20.4%	6	4.4%	3	2.2%	2	1.5%	---	---	9	6.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	27	65.9%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	62	64.6%	23	24.0%	4	4.2%	2	2.1%	---	---	---	---	5	5.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	131	52.0%	78	31.0%	16	6.3%	4	1.6%	8	3.2%	1	0.4%	14	5.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	43	44.3%	34	35.1%	7	7.2%	2	2.1%	4	4.1%	---	---	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	88	56.8%	44	28.4%	9	5.8%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%	1	0.6%	7	4.5%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	45	53.6%	21	25.0%	7	8.3%	3	3.6%	6	7.1%	2	2.4%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	24	55.8%	10	23.3%	3	7.0%	---	---	5	11.6%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	11	26.8%	4	9.8%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	61	43.0%	47	33.1%	15	10.6%	7	4.9%	5	3.5%	1	0.7%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	38	43.7%	24	27.6%	11	12.6%	5	5.7%	4	4.6%	1	1.1%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	23	41.8%	23	41.8%	4	7.3%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	---	---	2	3.6%

表NO.68 地域区分別 問7-2 情報開示の実態をどう考えるか

		総計		賛成		条件付で賛成		あまり賛成できない		反対		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	392	46.8%	289	34.5%	40	4.8%	1	0.1%	73	8.7%	4	0.5%	38	4.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	149	45.8%	118	36.3%	13	4.0%	---	---	28	8.6%	1	0.3%	16	4.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	243	47.5%	171	33.4%	27	5.3%	1	0.2%	45	8.8%	3	0.6%	22	4.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	61	47.7%	43	33.6%	2	1.6%	---	---	16	12.5%	1	0.8%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	30	57.7%	17	32.7%	1	1.9%	---	---	2	3.8%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	31	40.8%	26	34.2%	1	1.3%	---	---	14	18.4%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	76	42.7%	64	36.0%	13	7.3%	---	---	15	8.4%	1	0.6%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	34	45.9%	22	29.7%	3	4.1%	---	---	10	13.5%	---	---	5	6.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	42	40.4%	42	40.4%	10	9.6%	---	---	5	4.8%	1	1.0%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	50	51.5%	30	30.9%	4	4.1%	---	---	8	8.2%	---	---	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	20	43.5%	17	37.0%	2	4.3%	---	---	3	6.5%	---	---	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	30	58.8%	13	25.5%	2	3.9%	---	---	5	9.8%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	43	46.2%	31	33.3%	4	4.3%	1	1.1%	9	9.7%	---	---	5	5.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	24	46.2%	20	38.5%	3	5.8%	---	---	3	5.8%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	19	46.3%	11	26.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	6	14.6%	---	---	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	45	44.1%	41	40.2%	7	6.9%	---	---	4	3.9%	1	1.0%	4	3.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	9	31.0%	14	48.3%	2	6.9%	---	---	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	36	49.3%	27	37.0%	5	6.8%	---	---	2	2.7%	---	---	3	4.1%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	45	45.9%	38	38.8%	3	3.1%	---	---	6	6.1%	1	1.0%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	17	38.6%	21	47.7%	2	4.5%	---	---	3	6.8%	---	---	1	2.3%
	民 営	54	100.0%	28	51.9%	17	31.5%	1	1.9%	---	---	3	5.6%	1	1.9%	4	7.4%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	72	51.1%	42	29.8%	7	5.0%	---	---	15	10.6%	---	---	5	3.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	15	53.6%	7	25.0%	---	---	---	---	5	17.9%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	57	50.4%	35	31.0%	7	6.2%	---	---	10	8.8%	---	---	4	3.5%

表NO.69 所在地区別 問7-2 情報開示の実態をどう考えるか

		総計		賛成		条件付で賛成		あまり賛成できない		反対		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	392	46.8%	289	34.5%	40	4.8%	1	0.1%	73	8.7%	4	0.5%	38	4.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	149	45.8%	118	36.3%	13	4.0%	---	---	28	8.6%	1	0.3%	16	4.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	243	47.5%	171	33.4%	27	5.3%	1	0.2%	45	8.8%	3	0.6%	22	4.3%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	52	46.4%	41	36.6%	7	6.3%	---	---	7	6.3%	---	---	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	19	67.9%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	---	---	1	3.6%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	33	39.3%	35	41.7%	6	7.1%	---	---	6	7.1%	---	---	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	54	49.1%	38	34.5%	3	2.7%	1	0.9%	11	10.0%	1	0.9%	2	1.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	17	58.6%	11	37.9%	---	---	---	---	1	3.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	37	45.7%	27	33.3%	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	10	12.3%	1	1.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	62	45.3%	45	32.8%	9	6.6%	---	---	10	7.3%	1	0.7%	10	7.3%
	公 営	41	100.0%	21	51.2%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	1	2.4%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	41	42.7%	31	32.3%	8	8.3%	---	---	9	9.4%	1	1.0%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	113	44.8%	89	35.3%	13	5.2%	---	---	20	7.9%	2	0.8%	15	6.0%
	公 営	97	100.0%	29	29.9%	44	45.4%	7	7.2%	---	---	9	9.3%	1	1.0%	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	84	54.2%	45	29.0%	6	3.9%	---	---	11	7.1%	1	0.6%	8	5.2%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	42	50.0%	32	38.1%	4	4.8%	---	---	6	7.1%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	18	41.9%	20	46.5%	1	2.3%	---	---	4	9.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	24	58.5%	12	29.3%	3	7.3%	---	---	2	4.9%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	69	48.6%	44	31.0%	4	2.8%	---	---	19	13.4%	---	---	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	45	51.7%	23	26.4%	3	3.4%	---	---	12	13.8%	---	---	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	24	43.6%	21	38.2%	1	1.8%	---	---	7	12.7%	---	---	2	3.6%

表NO.70 地域区分別 問8-1 第三者評価受審の進行状況

		総計		かなり受審		一部受審		あまり受審していない		まったく受審していない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	29	3.5%	163	19.5%	210	25.1%	297	35.5%	88	10.5%	16	1.9%	34	4.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	13	4.0%	47	14.5%	63	19.4%	149	45.8%	30	9.2%	7	2.2%	16	4.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	16	3.1%	116	22.7%	147	28.7%	148	28.9%	58	11.3%	9	1.8%	18	3.5%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	4	3.1%	20	15.6%	36	28.1%	47	36.7%	14	10.9%	1	0.8%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	8	15.4%	13	25.0%	24	46.2%	4	7.7%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	4	5.3%	12	15.8%	23	30.3%	23	30.3%	10	13.2%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	12	6.7%	50	28.1%	45	25.3%	41	23.0%	17	9.6%	4	2.2%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	8	10.8%	19	25.7%	10	13.5%	24	32.4%	5	6.8%	2	2.7%	6	8.1%
	民 営	104	100.0%	4	3.8%	31	29.8%	35	33.7%	17	16.3%	12	11.5%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	1	1.0%	24	24.7%	23	23.7%	33	34.0%	6	6.2%	5	5.2%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	1	2.2%	7	15.2%	6	13.0%	21	45.7%	5	10.9%	2	4.3%	4	8.7%
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	17	33.3%	17	33.3%	12	23.5%	1	2.0%	3	5.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	1	1.1%	12	12.9%	26	28.0%	43	46.2%	7	7.5%	---	---	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	4	7.7%	13	25.0%	28	53.8%	4	7.7%	---	---	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	8	19.5%	13	31.7%	15	36.6%	3	7.3%	---	---	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	2	2.0%	20	19.6%	26	25.5%	33	32.4%	15	14.7%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	2	6.9%	5	17.2%	15	51.7%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	18	24.7%	21	28.8%	18	24.7%	9	12.3%	2	2.7%	3	4.1%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	4	4.1%	14	14.3%	25	25.5%	39	39.8%	13	13.3%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	12	27.3%	24	54.5%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	2	3.7%	12	22.2%	13	24.1%	15	27.8%	10	18.5%	---	---	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	5	3.5%	23	16.3%	29	20.6%	61	43.3%	16	11.3%	2	1.4%	5	3.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	13	46.4%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	4	3.5%	18	15.9%	25	22.1%	48	42.5%	13	11.5%	1	0.9%	4	3.5%

表NO.71 所在地区別 問8-1 第三者評価受審の進行状況

		総計		かなり受審		一部受審		あまり受審していない		まったく受審していない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	29	3.5%	163	19.5%	210	25.1%	297	35.5%	88	10.5%	16	1.9%	34	4.1%
	公 営	325	100.0%	13	4.0%	47	14.5%	63	19.4%	149	45.8%	30	9.2%	7	2.2%	16	4.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	16	3.1%	116	22.7%	147	28.7%	148	28.9%	58	11.3%	9	1.8%	18	3.5%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	10	8.9%	47	42.0%	26	23.2%	12	10.7%	10	8.9%	2	1.8%	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	5	17.9%	12	42.9%	5	17.9%	5	17.9%	---	---	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	5	6.0%	35	41.7%	21	25.0%	7	8.3%	10	11.9%	2	2.4%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	5	4.5%	28	25.5%	37	33.6%	24	21.8%	10	9.1%	3	2.7%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	9	31.0%	6	20.7%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	4	4.9%	19	23.5%	31	38.3%	17	21.0%	6	7.4%	2	2.5%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	5	3.6%	38	27.7%	34	24.8%	34	24.8%	16	11.7%	2	1.5%	8	5.8%
	公 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	7	17.1%	9	22.0%	12	29.3%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	2	2.1%	31	32.3%	25	26.0%	22	22.9%	11	11.5%	1	1.0%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	5	2.0%	28	11.1%	66	26.2%	103	40.9%	34	13.5%	5	2.0%	11	4.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	7	7.2%	21	21.6%	49	50.5%	10	10.3%	2	2.1%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	3	1.9%	21	13.5%	45	29.0%	54	34.8%	24	15.5%	3	1.9%	5	3.2%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	---	---	7	8.3%	18	21.4%	50	59.5%	7	8.3%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	3	7.0%	5	11.6%	28	65.1%	6	14.0%	1	2.3%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	4	9.8%	13	31.7%	22	53.7%	1	2.4%	---	---	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	4	2.8%	15	10.6%	29	20.4%	74	52.1%	11	7.7%	3	2.1%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	2	2.3%	9	10.3%	17	19.5%	48	55.2%	5	5.7%	2	2.3%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	2	3.6%	6	10.9%	12	21.8%	26	47.3%	6	10.9%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%

表NO.72 地域区分別 問8-2 第三者評価結果の公開の進行状況

		総計		かなり行われている		一部行われている		あまり行われていない		まったく行われていない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	36	4.3%	106	12.7%	199	23.8%	253	30.2%	168	20.1%	22	2.6%	53	6.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	16	4.9%	32	9.8%	65	20.0%	110	33.8%	59	18.2%	12	3.7%	31	9.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	20	3.9%	74	14.5%	134	26.2%	143	27.9%	109	21.3%	10	2.0%	22	4.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	3	2.3%	12	9.4%	33	25.8%	42	32.8%	25	19.5%	6	4.7%	7	5.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	5	9.6%	14	26.9%	22	42.3%	7	13.5%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	3	3.9%	7	9.2%	19	25.0%	20	26.3%	18	23.7%	4	5.3%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	16	9.0%	32	18.0%	44	24.7%	36	20.2%	28	15.7%	4	2.2%	18	10.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	10	13.5%	11	14.9%	13	17.6%	15	20.3%	11	14.9%	2	2.7%	12	16.2%
	民 営	104	100.0%	6	5.8%	21	20.2%	31	29.8%	21	20.2%	17	16.3%	2	1.9%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	5	5.2%	15	15.5%	27	27.8%	32	33.0%	13	13.4%	1	1.0%	4	4.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	3	6.5%	6	13.0%	6	13.0%	17	37.0%	10	21.7%	1	2.2%	3	6.5%
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	9	17.6%	21	41.2%	15	29.4%	3	5.9%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	4	4.3%	18	19.4%	34	36.6%	23	24.7%	5	5.4%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	11	21.2%	18	34.6%	11	21.2%	5	9.6%	4	7.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	7	17.1%	16	39.0%	12	29.3%	---	---	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	2	2.0%	15	14.7%	24	23.5%	29	28.4%	26	25.5%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	---	---	2	6.9%	7	24.1%	9	31.0%	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	13	17.8%	17	23.3%	20	27.4%	18	24.7%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	2	2.0%	12	12.2%	21	21.4%	29	29.6%	24	24.5%	1	1.0%	9	9.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	11	25.0%	17	38.6%	7	15.9%	---	---	6	13.6%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	10	18.5%	10	18.5%	12	22.2%	17	31.5%	1	1.9%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	5	3.5%	16	11.3%	32	22.7%	51	36.2%	29	20.6%	2	1.4%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	12	42.9%	5	17.9%	---	---	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	5	4.4%	11	9.7%	29	25.7%	39	34.5%	24	21.2%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%

表NO.73 所在地区別 問8-2 第三者評価結果の公開の進行状況

		総計		かなり 行われている		一部 行われている		あまり 行われていない		まったく 行われていない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	36	4.3%	106	12.7%	199	23.8%	253	30.2%	168	20.1%	22	2.6%	53	6.3%
	公 営	325	100.0%	16	4.9%	32	9.8%	65	20.0%	110	33.8%	59	18.2%	12	3.7%	31	9.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	20	3.9%	74	14.5%	134	26.2%	143	27.9%	109	21.3%	10	2.0%	22	4.3%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	17	15.2%	28	25.0%	30	26.8%	11	9.8%	24	21.4%	---	---	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	8	28.6%	8	28.6%	4	14.3%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	9	10.7%	20	23.8%	26	31.0%	7	8.3%	21	25.0%	---	---	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	4	3.6%	18	16.4%	35	31.8%	19	17.3%	29	26.4%	---	---	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	5	17.2%	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	10	34.5%	---	---	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	3	3.7%	13	16.0%	27	33.3%	17	21.0%	19	23.5%	---	---	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	11	8.0%	27	19.7%	26	19.0%	29	21.2%	28	20.4%	3	2.2%	13	9.5%
	公 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	7	17.1%	6	14.6%	10	24.4%	7	17.1%	2	4.9%	3	7.3%
	民 営	96	100.0%	5	5.2%	20	20.8%	20	20.8%	19	19.8%	21	21.9%	1	1.0%	10	10.4%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	2	0.8%	21	8.3%	54	21.4%	103	40.9%	50	19.8%	9	3.6%	13	5.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	19	19.6%	38	39.2%	20	20.6%	4	4.1%	10	10.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	16	10.3%	35	22.6%	65	41.9%	30	19.4%	5	3.2%	3	1.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	---	---	2	2.4%	17	20.2%	32	38.1%	15	17.9%	6	7.1%	12	14.3%
	公 営	43	100.0%	---	---	---	---	7	16.3%	18	41.9%	7	16.3%	3	7.0%	8	18.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	2	4.9%	10	24.4%	14	34.1%	8	19.5%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	2	1.4%	10	7.0%	37	26.1%	59	41.5%	22	15.5%	4	2.8%	8	5.6%
	公 営	87	100.0%	---	---	7	8.0%	21	24.1%	38	43.7%	12	13.8%	3	3.4%	6	6.9%
	民 営	55	100.0%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	16	29.1%	21	38.2%	10	18.2%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%

表NO.74 地域区分別 問8-3 第三者評価受審は、保育サービスの質の向上に役立つか

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	157	18.8%	322	38.5%	217	25.9%	49	5.9%	55	6.6%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	73	22.5%	137	42.2%	61	18.8%	11	3.4%	21	6.5%	22	6.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	84	16.4%	185	36.1%	156	30.5%	38	7.4%	34	6.6%	15	2.9%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	24	18.8%	39	30.5%	38	29.7%	9	7.0%	13	10.2%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	8	15.4%	24	46.2%	12	23.1%	1	1.9%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	16	21.1%	15	19.7%	26	34.2%	8	10.5%	8	10.5%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	26	14.6%	69	38.8%	46	25.8%	17	9.6%	9	5.1%	11	6.2%
	公 営	74	100.0%	17	23.0%	29	39.2%	13	17.6%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	9	8.7%	40	38.5%	33	31.7%	14	13.5%	4	3.8%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	24	24.7%	38	39.2%	23	23.7%	4	4.1%	4	4.1%	4	4.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	14	30.4%	19	41.3%	6	13.0%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%
	民 営	51	100.0%	10	19.6%	19	37.3%	17	33.3%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	21	22.6%	37	39.8%	25	26.9%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	13	25.0%	21	40.4%	12	23.1%	---	---	4	7.7%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	8	19.5%	16	39.0%	13	31.7%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	18	17.6%	48	47.1%	22	21.6%	3	2.9%	8	7.8%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	7	24.1%	14	48.3%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	11	15.1%	34	46.6%	17	23.3%	2	2.7%	7	9.6%	2	2.7%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	17	17.3%	35	35.7%	23	23.5%	8	8.2%	9	9.2%	6	6.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	7	15.9%	19	43.2%	7	15.9%	3	6.8%	3	6.8%	5	11.4%
	民 営	54	100.0%	10	18.5%	16	29.6%	16	29.6%	5	9.3%	6	11.1%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	27	19.1%	56	39.7%	40	28.4%	7	5.0%	7	5.0%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	11	39.3%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	20	17.7%	45	39.8%	34	30.1%	6	5.3%	6	5.3%	2	1.8%

表NO.75 所在地区別 問8-3 第三者評価受審は、保育サービスの質の向上に役立つか

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	157	18.8%	322	38.5%	217	25.9%	49	5.9%	55	6.6%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	73	22.5%	137	42.2%	61	18.8%	11	3.4%	21	6.5%	22	6.8%
	民 営	512	100.0%	84	16.4%	185	36.1%	156	30.5%	38	7.4%	34	6.6%	15	2.9%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	20	17.9%	45	40.2%	33	29.5%	7	6.3%	5	4.5%	2	1.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	17	60.7%	3	10.7%	---	---	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	13	15.5%	28	33.3%	30	35.7%	7	8.3%	5	6.0%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	28	25.5%	38	34.5%	26	23.6%	6	5.5%	7	6.4%	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	10	34.5%	10	34.5%	4	13.8%	---	---	2	6.9%	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	18	22.2%	28	34.6%	22	27.2%	6	7.4%	5	6.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	26	19.0%	49	35.8%	37	27.0%	9	6.6%	9	6.6%	7	5.1%
	公 営	41	100.0%	12	29.3%	19	46.3%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	14	14.6%	30	31.3%	32	33.3%	7	7.3%	7	7.3%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	43	17.1%	103	40.9%	64	25.4%	15	6.0%	14	5.6%	13	5.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	23	23.7%	38	39.2%	18	18.6%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%	10	10.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	20	12.9%	65	41.9%	46	29.7%	10	6.5%	11	7.1%	3	1.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	13	15.5%	31	36.9%	22	26.2%	8	9.5%	4	4.8%	6	7.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	6	14.0%	17	39.5%	12	27.9%	2	4.7%	2	4.7%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	7	17.1%	14	34.1%	10	24.4%	6	14.6%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	27	19.0%	56	39.4%	35	24.6%	4	2.8%	16	11.3%	4	2.8%
	公 営	87	100.0%	15	17.2%	36	41.4%	19	21.8%	2	2.3%	12	13.8%	3	3.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	12	21.8%	20	36.4%	16	29.1%	2	3.6%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%

表NO.76 地域区分別 問8-4 第三者評価結果の公開は、保育サービスの質の向上に役立つか

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	114	13.6%	269	32.1%	293	35.0%	50	6.0%	74	8.8%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	55	16.9%	111	34.2%	96	29.5%	13	4.0%	30	9.2%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	59	11.5%	158	30.9%	197	38.5%	37	7.2%	44	8.6%	17	3.3%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	21	16.4%	35	27.3%	43	33.6%	10	7.8%	14	10.9%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	8	15.4%	20	38.5%	15	28.8%	2	3.8%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	13	17.1%	15	19.7%	28	36.8%	8	10.5%	9	11.8%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	24	13.5%	54	30.3%	66	37.1%	12	6.7%	13	7.3%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	16	21.6%	21	28.4%	23	31.1%	2	2.7%	7	9.5%	5	6.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	8	7.7%	33	31.7%	43	41.3%	10	9.6%	6	5.8%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	20	20.6%	32	33.0%	31	32.0%	3	3.1%	8	8.2%	3	3.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	11	23.9%	16	34.8%	11	23.9%	1	2.2%	5	10.9%	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	9	17.6%	16	31.4%	20	39.2%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	14	15.1%	23	24.7%	41	44.1%	3	3.2%	8	8.6%	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	7	13.5%	15	28.8%	21	40.4%	2	3.8%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	7	17.1%	8	19.5%	20	48.8%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	15	14.7%	42	41.2%	28	27.5%	5	4.9%	9	8.8%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	15	51.7%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	9	12.3%	27	37.0%	25	34.2%	3	4.1%	7	9.6%	2	2.7%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	7	7.1%	33	33.7%	30	30.6%	8	8.2%	13	13.3%	7	7.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	15	34.1%	13	29.5%	3	6.8%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%
	民 営	54	100.0%	4	7.4%	18	33.3%	17	31.5%	5	9.3%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	13	9.2%	50	35.5%	54	38.3%	9	6.4%	9	6.4%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	9	8.0%	41	36.3%	44	38.9%	8	7.1%	8	7.1%	3	2.7%

表NO.77 所在地区別 問8-4 第三者評価結果の公開は、保育サービスの質の向上に役立つか

		総計		そう思う		ややそう思う		あまり そう思わない		まったく そう思わない		わからない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	114	13.6%	269	32.1%	293	35.0%	50	6.0%	74	8.8%	37	4.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	55	16.9%	111	34.2%	96	29.5%	13	4.0%	30	9.2%	20	6.2%
	民 営	512	100.0%	59	11.5%	158	30.9%	197	38.5%	37	7.2%	44	8.6%	17	3.3%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	15	13.4%	41	36.6%	41	36.6%	6	5.4%	6	5.4%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	8	9.5%	26	31.0%	35	41.7%	6	7.1%	6	7.1%	3	3.6%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	20	18.2%	38	34.5%	40	36.4%	3	2.7%	5	4.5%	4	3.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	8	27.6%	12	41.4%	5	17.2%	---	---	2	6.9%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	12	14.8%	26	32.1%	35	43.2%	3	3.7%	3	3.7%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	23	16.8%	36	26.3%	51	37.2%	7	5.1%	14	10.2%	6	4.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	11	26.8%	12	29.3%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	12	12.5%	24	25.0%	40	41.7%	5	5.2%	10	10.4%	5	5.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	28	11.1%	80	31.7%	93	36.9%	18	7.1%	20	7.9%	13	5.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	12	12.4%	30	30.9%	33	34.0%	7	7.2%	6	6.2%	9	9.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	16	10.3%	50	32.3%	60	38.7%	11	7.1%	14	9.0%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	29	34.5%	26	31.0%	7	8.3%	9	10.7%	6	7.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	5	11.6%	14	32.6%	16	37.2%	1	2.3%	3	7.0%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	15	36.6%	10	24.4%	6	14.6%	6	14.6%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	21	14.8%	45	31.7%	42	29.6%	9	6.3%	20	14.1%	5	3.5%
	公 営	87	100.0%	12	13.8%	28	32.2%	25	28.7%	3	3.4%	15	17.2%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	9	16.4%	17	30.9%	17	30.9%	6	10.9%	5	9.1%	1	1.8%

表NO.78 地域区分別 問9 貴園の市町村では合併が行われたか

		総計		行われた		行われない		計画中		わからない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	417	49.8%	350	41.8%	23	2.7%	18	2.2%	29	3.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	155	47.7%	139	42.8%	11	3.4%	5	1.5%	15	4.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	262	51.2%	211	41.2%	12	2.3%	13	2.5%	14	2.7%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	60	46.9%	55	43.0%	4	3.1%	5	3.9%	4	3.1%
	公 営	52	100.0%	18	34.6%	29	55.8%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%
	民 営	76	100.0%	42	55.3%	26	34.2%	2	2.6%	3	3.9%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	56	31.5%	100	56.2%	8	4.5%	5	2.8%	9	5.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	25	33.8%	41	55.4%	3	4.1%	1	1.4%	4	5.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	31	29.8%	59	56.7%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	5	4.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	46	47.4%	43	44.3%	4	4.1%	1	1.0%	3	3.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	23	50.0%	17	37.0%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	23	45.1%	26	51.0%	1	2.0%	---	---	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	65	69.9%	23	24.7%	1	1.1%	---	---	4	4.3%
	公 営	52	100.0%	34	65.4%	14	26.9%	1	1.9%	---	---	3	5.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	31	75.6%	9	22.0%	---	---	---	---	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	39	38.2%	55	53.9%	2	2.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	12	41.4%	16	55.2%	---	---	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	27	37.0%	39	53.4%	2	2.7%	3	4.1%	2	2.7%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	76	77.6%	15	15.3%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	31	70.5%	9	20.5%	---	---	1	2.3%	3	6.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	45	83.3%	6	11.1%	2	3.7%	---	---	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	75	53.2%	59	41.8%	2	1.4%	3	2.1%	2	1.4%
	公 営	28	100.0%	12	42.9%	13	46.4%	2	7.1%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	63	55.8%	46	40.7%	---	---	3	2.7%	1	0.9%

表NO.79 所在地区別 問9 貴園の市町村では合併が行われたか

		総計		行われた		行われない		計画中		わからない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	417	49.8%	350	41.8%	23	2.7%	18	2.2%	29	3.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	155	47.7%	139	42.8%	11	3.4%	5	1.5%	15	4.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	262	51.2%	211	41.2%	12	2.3%	13	2.5%	14	2.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	19	17.0%	84	75.0%	1	0.9%	5	4.5%	3	2.7%
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	23	82.1%	---	---	1	3.6%	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	15	17.9%	61	72.6%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%	3	3.6%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	71	64.5%	25	22.7%	8	7.3%	1	0.9%	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	17	58.6%	6	20.7%	3	10.3%	---	---	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	54	66.7%	19	23.5%	5	6.2%	1	1.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	80	58.4%	44	32.1%	3	2.2%	3	2.2%	7	5.1%
	公 営	41	100.0%	25	61.0%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%	---	---	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	55	57.3%	30	31.3%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	159	63.1%	81	32.1%	2	0.8%	2	0.8%	8	3.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	64	66.0%	25	25.8%	1	1.0%	---	---	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	95	61.3%	56	36.1%	1	0.6%	2	1.3%	1	0.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	52	61.9%	29	34.5%	---	---	1	1.2%	2	2.4%
	公 営	43	100.0%	28	65.1%	14	32.6%	---	---	---	---	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	24	58.5%	15	36.6%	---	---	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	36	25.4%	87	61.3%	9	6.3%	6	4.2%	4	2.8%
	公 営	87	100.0%	17	19.5%	57	65.5%	6	6.9%	4	4.6%	3	3.4%
	民 営	55	100.0%	19	34.5%	30	54.5%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%

表NO.80 地域区分別 問10-1 延長保育事業（ソフト交付金）による変化

		総計		増額		減額		変化なし		その他		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	7	1.4%	153	29.9%	262	51.2%	33	6.4%	57	11.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	7	1.4%	153	29.9%	262	51.2%	33	6.4%	57	11.1%
北海道・東北地区	計	76	100.0%	1	1.3%	25	32.9%	31	40.8%	8	10.5%	11	14.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	1	1.3%	25	32.9%	31	40.8%	8	10.5%	11	14.5%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	1	1.0%	37	35.6%	48	46.2%	6	5.8%	12	11.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	1	1.0%	37	35.6%	48	46.2%	6	5.8%	12	11.5%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	1	2.0%	10	19.6%	32	62.7%	3	5.9%	5	9.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	1	2.0%	10	19.6%	32	62.7%	3	5.9%	5	9.8%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	---	---	12	29.3%	22	53.7%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	12	29.3%	22	53.7%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	23	31.5%	39	53.4%	1	1.4%	8	11.0%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	23	31.5%	39	53.4%	1	1.4%	8	11.0%
中国・四国地区	計	54	100.0%	2	3.7%	14	25.9%	27	50.0%	2	3.7%	9	16.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	2	3.7%	14	25.9%	27	50.0%	2	3.7%	9	16.7%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	---	---	32	28.3%	63	55.8%	8	7.1%	10	8.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	---	---	32	28.3%	63	55.8%	8	7.1%	10	8.8%

表NO.81 所在地区別 問10-1 延長保育事業（ソフト交付金）による変化

		総計		増額		減額		変化なし		その他		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	7	1.4%	153	29.9%	262	51.2%	33	6.4%	57	11.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	7	1.4%	153	29.9%	262	51.2%	33	6.4%	57	11.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	23	27.4%	47	56.0%	4	4.8%	8	9.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	23	27.4%	47	56.0%	4	4.8%	8	9.5%
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	1	1.2%	18	22.2%	52	64.2%	5	6.2%	5	6.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	1	1.2%	18	22.2%	52	64.2%	5	6.2%	5	6.2%
中都市	計	96	100.0%	3	3.1%	35	36.5%	35	36.5%	6	6.3%	17	17.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	3	3.1%	35	36.5%	35	36.5%	6	6.3%	17	17.7%
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	43	27.7%	86	55.5%	12	7.7%	13	8.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	43	27.7%	86	55.5%	12	7.7%	13	8.4%
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	---	---	13	31.7%	17	41.5%	4	9.8%	7	17.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	13	31.7%	17	41.5%	4	9.8%	7	17.1%
町・村	計	55	100.0%	---	---	21	38.2%	25	45.5%	2	3.6%	7	12.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	21	38.2%	25	45.5%	2	3.6%	7	12.7%

表NO.82 地域区分別 問10-2 施設整備（ハード交付金）による変化

		総計		増額		減額		変化なし		その他		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	2	0.4%	181	35.4%	198	38.7%	63	12.3%	68	13.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	2	0.4%	181	35.4%	198	38.7%	63	12.3%	68	13.3%
北海道・東北地区	計	76	100.0%	---	---	24	31.6%	24	31.6%	14	18.4%	14	18.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	24	31.6%	24	31.6%	14	18.4%	14	18.4%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	1	1.0%	46	44.2%	36	34.6%	8	7.7%	13	12.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	1	1.0%	46	44.2%	36	34.6%	8	7.7%	13	12.5%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	---	---	12	23.5%	29	56.9%	6	11.8%	4	7.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	12	23.5%	29	56.9%	6	11.8%	4	7.8%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	---	---	17	41.5%	17	41.5%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	17	41.5%	17	41.5%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	30	41.1%	29	39.7%	3	4.1%	10	13.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	1	1.4%	30	41.1%	29	39.7%	3	4.1%	10	13.7%
中国・四国地区	計	54	100.0%	---	---	23	42.6%	14	25.9%	9	16.7%	8	14.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	---	---	23	42.6%	14	25.9%	9	16.7%	8	14.8%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	---	---	29	25.7%	49	43.4%	20	17.7%	15	13.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	---	---	29	25.7%	49	43.4%	20	17.7%	15	13.3%

表NO.83 所在地区別 問10-2 施設整備（ハード交付金）による変化

		総計		増額		減額		変化なし		その他		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	2	0.4%	181	35.4%	198	38.7%	63	12.3%	68	13.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	2	0.4%	181	35.4%	198	38.7%	63	12.3%	68	13.3%
都区部・指定都市	計	84	100.0%	1	1.2%	25	29.8%	44	52.4%	6	7.1%	8	9.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	1	1.2%	25	29.8%	44	52.4%	6	7.1%	8	9.5%
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	---	---	33	40.7%	32	39.5%	8	9.9%	8	9.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	33	40.7%	32	39.5%	8	9.9%	8	9.9%
中都市	計	96	100.0%	---	---	46	47.9%	22	22.9%	10	10.4%	18	18.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	---	---	46	47.9%	22	22.9%	10	10.4%	18	18.8%
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	50	32.3%	62	40.0%	24	15.5%	18	11.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	1	0.6%	50	32.3%	62	40.0%	24	15.5%	18	11.6%
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	---	---	9	22.0%	15	36.6%	10	24.4%	7	17.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	9	22.0%	15	36.6%	10	24.4%	7	17.1%
町・村	計	55	100.0%	---	---	18	32.7%	23	41.8%	5	9.1%	9	16.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	18	32.7%	23	41.8%	5	9.1%	9	16.4%

表NO.84 地域区分別 問11-1 保育所の統廃合

		総計		すでに行われた		行われることが確定		計画が進められている		今のところ計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	66	7.9%	48	5.7%	137	16.4%	394	47.1%	132	15.8%	12	1.4%	48	5.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	36	11.1%	31	9.5%	74	22.8%	124	38.2%	28	8.6%	5	1.5%	27	8.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	30	5.9%	17	3.3%	63	12.3%	270	52.7%	104	20.3%	7	1.4%	21	4.1%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	17	13.3%	7	5.5%	20	15.6%	52	40.6%	24	18.8%	2	1.6%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	5	9.6%	12	23.1%	17	32.7%	2	3.8%	---	---	5	9.6%
	民 営	76	100.0%	6	7.9%	2	2.6%	8	10.5%	35	46.1%	22	28.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	10	5.6%	7	3.9%	24	13.5%	95	53.4%	25	14.0%	2	1.1%	15	8.4%
	公 営	74	100.0%	4	5.4%	5	6.8%	11	14.9%	35	47.3%	8	10.8%	1	1.4%	10	13.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	6	5.8%	2	1.9%	13	12.5%	60	57.7%	17	16.3%	1	1.0%	5	4.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	11	11.3%	5	5.2%	15	15.5%	46	47.4%	14	14.4%	1	1.0%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	6	13.0%	5	10.9%	7	15.2%	21	45.7%	4	8.7%	---	---	3	6.5%
	民 営	51	100.0%	5	9.8%	---	---	8	15.7%	25	49.0%	10	19.6%	1	2.0%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	9	9.7%	10	10.8%	25	26.9%	25	26.9%	16	17.2%	2	2.2%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	5	9.6%	8	15.4%	15	28.8%	13	25.0%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%	4	7.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	2	4.9%	10	24.4%	12	29.3%	11	26.8%	---	---	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	5	4.9%	4	3.9%	14	13.7%	58	56.9%	15	14.7%	---	---	6	5.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	6	20.7%	14	48.3%	3	10.3%	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	2	2.7%	8	11.0%	44	60.3%	12	16.4%	---	---	5	6.8%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	7	7.1%	10	10.2%	20	20.4%	36	36.7%	16	16.3%	2	2.0%	7	7.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	6	13.6%	3	6.8%	14	31.8%	13	29.5%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	4	9.1%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	7	13.0%	6	11.1%	23	42.6%	13	24.1%	1	1.9%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	7	5.0%	5	3.5%	19	13.5%	82	58.2%	22	15.6%	3	2.1%	3	2.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	9	32.1%	11	39.3%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	6	5.3%	2	1.8%	10	8.8%	71	62.8%	19	16.8%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%

表NO.85 所在地区別 問11-1 保育所の統廃合

		総計		すでに行われた		行われることが確定		計画が進められている		今のところ計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	66	7.9%	48	5.7%	137	16.4%	394	47.1%	132	15.8%	12	1.4%	48	5.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	36	11.1%	31	9.5%	74	22.8%	124	38.2%	28	8.6%	5	1.5%	27	8.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	30	5.9%	17	3.3%	63	12.3%	270	52.7%	104	20.3%	7	1.4%	21	4.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	6	5.4%	3	2.7%	10	8.9%	57	50.9%	28	25.0%	1	0.9%	7	6.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	14	50.0%	6	21.4%	---	---	3	10.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	6	7.1%	---	---	8	9.5%	43	51.2%	22	26.2%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	5	4.5%	6	5.5%	23	20.9%	53	48.2%	19	17.3%	---	---	4	3.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	10	34.5%	12	41.4%	2	6.9%	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	3	3.7%	4	4.9%	13	16.0%	41	50.6%	17	21.0%	---	---	3	3.7%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	13	9.5%	8	5.8%	15	10.9%	65	47.4%	26	19.0%	2	1.5%	8	5.8%
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	5	12.2%	7	17.1%	14	34.1%	6	14.6%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	8	8.3%	3	3.1%	8	8.3%	51	53.1%	20	20.8%	2	2.1%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	22	8.7%	14	5.6%	43	17.1%	118	46.8%	36	14.3%	1	0.4%	18	7.1%
	公 営	97	100.0%	13	13.4%	7	7.2%	25	25.8%	34	35.1%	8	8.2%	---	---	10	10.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	9	5.8%	7	4.5%	18	11.6%	84	54.2%	28	18.1%	1	0.6%	8	5.2%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	9	10.7%	7	8.3%	17	20.2%	30	35.7%	13	15.5%	6	7.1%	2	2.4%
	公 営	43	100.0%	7	16.3%	6	14.0%	11	25.6%	13	30.2%	2	4.7%	3	7.0%	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	6	14.6%	17	41.5%	11	26.8%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	11	7.7%	10	7.0%	29	20.4%	71	50.0%	10	7.0%	2	1.4%	9	6.3%
	公 営	87	100.0%	9	10.3%	8	9.2%	19	21.8%	37	42.5%	4	4.6%	2	2.3%	8	9.2%
	民 営	55	100.0%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	10	18.2%	34	61.8%	6	10.9%	---	---	1	1.8%

表NO.86 地域区分別 問11-2 社会福祉法人以外の保育所の設置

		総計		設置が計画されている		今のところ計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	83	9.9%	447	53.4%	233	27.8%	24	2.9%	50	6.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	21	6.5%	185	56.9%	80	24.6%	8	2.5%	31	9.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	62	12.1%	262	51.2%	153	29.9%	16	3.1%	19	3.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	7	5.5%	62	48.4%	48	37.5%	4	3.1%	7	5.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	33	63.5%	11	21.2%	1	1.9%	6	11.5%
	民 営	76	100.0%	6	7.9%	29	38.2%	37	48.7%	3	3.9%	1	1.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	39	21.9%	83	46.6%	35	19.7%	7	3.9%	14	7.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	12	16.2%	34	45.9%	16	21.6%	2	2.7%	10	13.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	27	26.0%	49	47.1%	19	18.3%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	55	56.7%	27	27.8%	7	7.2%	5	5.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	1	2.2%	30	65.2%	10	21.7%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	25	49.0%	17	33.3%	5	9.8%	2	3.9%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	8	8.6%	55	59.1%	25	26.9%	---	---	5	5.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	31	59.6%	14	26.9%	---	---	4	7.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	24	58.5%	11	26.8%	---	---	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	6	5.9%	60	58.8%	31	30.4%	1	1.0%	4	3.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	2	6.9%	17	58.6%	8	27.6%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	4	5.5%	43	58.9%	23	31.5%	---	---	3	4.1%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	6	6.1%	49	50.0%	29	29.6%	3	3.1%	11	11.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	22	50.0%	12	27.3%	2	4.5%	6	13.6%
	民 営	54	100.0%	4	7.4%	27	50.0%	17	31.5%	1	1.9%	5	9.3%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	14	9.9%	83	58.9%	38	27.0%	2	1.4%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	18	64.3%	9	32.1%	---	---	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	14	12.4%	65	57.5%	29	25.7%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%

表NO.87 所在地区別 問11-2 社会福祉法人以外の保育所の設置

		総計		設置が 計画されている		今のところ 計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	83	9.9%	447	53.4%	233	27.8%	24	2.9%	50	6.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	21	6.5%	185	56.9%	80	24.6%	8	2.5%	31	9.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	62	12.1%	262	51.2%	153	29.9%	16	3.1%	19	3.7%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	34	30.4%	34	30.4%	34	30.4%	5	4.5%	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	3	10.7%	9	32.1%	11	39.3%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	31	36.9%	25	29.8%	23	27.4%	3	3.6%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	15	13.6%	45	40.9%	43	39.1%	3	2.7%	4	3.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	11	37.9%	11	37.9%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	12	14.8%	34	42.0%	32	39.5%	1	1.2%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	17	12.4%	62	45.3%	39	28.5%	9	6.6%	10	7.3%
	公 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	21	51.2%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	5	12.2%
	民 営	96	100.0%	11	11.5%	41	42.7%	31	32.3%	8	8.3%	5	5.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	14	5.6%	147	58.3%	69	27.4%	5	2.0%	17	6.7%
	公 営	97	100.0%	7	7.2%	46	47.4%	31	32.0%	2	2.1%	11	11.3%
	民 営	155	100.0%	7	4.5%	101	65.2%	38	24.5%	3	1.9%	6	3.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	55	65.5%	21	25.0%	2	2.4%	4	4.8%
	公 営	43	100.0%	2	4.7%	32	74.4%	7	16.3%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	23	56.1%	14	34.1%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	1	0.7%	104	73.2%	27	19.0%	---	---	10	7.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	---	---	66	75.9%	12	13.8%	---	---	9	10.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	1	1.8%	38	69.1%	15	27.3%	---	---	1	1.8%

表NO.88 地域区分別 問11-3 公立保育所の民営化①

		総計		指定管理者による 民営化が行われた		指定管理者による 民営化が計画		社会福祉法人へ 移管		社会福祉法人へ 移管される計画		学校法人に移管		学校法人へ 移管される計画	
全国	計	837	100.0%	65	7.8%	44	5.3%	203	24.3%	117	14.0%	15	1.8%	10	1.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	19	5.8%	17	5.2%	44	13.5%	38	11.7%	2	0.6%	2	0.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	46	9.0%	27	5.3%	159	31.1%	79	15.4%	13	2.5%	8	1.6%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	8	6.3%	3	2.3%	34	26.6%	16	12.5%	3	2.3%	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	1	1.9%	3	5.8%	6	11.5%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	8	10.5%	2	2.6%	31	40.8%	10	13.2%	3	3.9%	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	28	15.7%	16	9.0%	37	20.8%	25	14.0%	2	1.1%	7	3.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	13	17.6%	6	8.1%	8	10.8%	10	13.5%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%
	民 営	104	100.0%	15	14.4%	10	9.6%	29	27.9%	15	14.4%	1	1.0%	5	4.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	4	4.1%	4	4.1%	18	18.6%	14	14.4%	1	1.0%	---	---
	公 営	46	100.0%	2	4.3%	1	2.2%	8	17.4%	8	17.4%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	10	19.6%	6	11.8%	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	7	7.5%	19	20.4%	10	10.8%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	---	---	3	5.8%	8	15.4%	4	7.7%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%	11	26.8%	6	14.6%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	6	5.9%	5	4.9%	34	33.3%	14	13.7%	---	---	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	5	6.8%	2	2.7%	27	37.0%	10	13.7%	---	---	1	1.4%
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	6	6.1%	2	2.0%	16	16.3%	16	16.3%	2	2.0%	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	5	11.4%	4	9.1%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	3	5.6%	1	1.9%	11	20.4%	12	22.2%	2	3.7%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	10	7.1%	7	5.0%	45	31.9%	22	15.6%	6	4.3%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	2	7.1%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	10	8.8%	5	4.4%	40	35.4%	20	17.7%	6	5.3%	---	---

表NO.88-2 地域区分別 問11-3 公立保育所の民営化②

		その他の 経営主体に移管		その他の経営主体に 移管される計画		移管ではなくて 委託された		今のところ民営化の 計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	25	3.0%	235	28.1%	123	14.7%	49	5.9%	57	6.8%
	公 営	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	11	3.4%	124	38.2%	43	13.2%	23	7.1%	29	8.9%
	民 営	13	2.5%	8	1.6%	14	2.7%	111	21.7%	80	15.6%	26	5.1%	28	5.5%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	2	1.6%	1	0.8%	4	3.1%	33	25.8%	22	17.2%	8	6.3%	10	7.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	2	3.8%	23	44.2%	10	19.2%	3	5.8%	5	9.6%
	民 営	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	10	13.2%	12	15.8%	5	6.6%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	8	4.5%	3	1.7%	7	3.9%	44	24.7%	27	15.2%	13	7.3%	11	6.2%
	公 営	2	2.7%	1	1.4%	4	5.4%	22	29.7%	9	12.2%	6	8.1%	7	9.5%
	民 営	6	5.8%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	22	21.2%	18	17.3%	7	6.7%	4	3.8%
東海地区	計	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	39	40.2%	12	12.4%	4	4.1%	5	5.2%
	公 営	---	---	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	19	41.3%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	4	8.7%
	民 営	1	2.0%	---	---	---	---	20	39.2%	8	15.7%	3	5.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	2	2.2%	---	---	3	3.2%	35	37.6%	13	14.0%	5	5.4%	8	8.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	2	3.8%	24	46.2%	6	11.5%	4	7.7%	5	9.6%
	民 営	2	4.9%	---	---	1	2.4%	11	26.8%	7	17.1%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%
近畿地区	計	---	---	---	---	4	3.9%	31	30.4%	11	10.8%	2	2.0%	6	5.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	12	41.4%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%
	民 営	---	---	---	---	4	5.5%	19	26.0%	8	11.0%	1	1.4%	4	5.5%
中国・ 四国地区	計	2	2.0%	4	4.1%	3	3.1%	21	21.4%	15	15.3%	8	8.2%	10	10.2%
	公 営	1	2.3%	---	---	1	2.3%	14	31.8%	8	18.2%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%
	民 営	1	1.9%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%	7	13.0%	7	13.0%	3	5.6%	5	9.3%
九州地区	計	1	0.7%	2	1.4%	3	2.1%	32	22.7%	23	16.3%	9	6.4%	7	5.0%
	公 営	---	---	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	10	35.7%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%
	民 営	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	2	1.8%	22	19.5%	20	17.7%	6	5.3%	6	5.3%

表NO.89 所在地区別 問11-3 公立保育所の民営化①

		総計		指定管理者による 民営化が行われた		指定管理者による 民営化が計画		社会福祉法人へ 移管		社会福祉法人へ 移管される計画		学校法人に移管		学校法人へ 移管される計画	
総計	計	837	100.0%	65	7.8%	44	5.3%	203	24.3%	117	14.0%	15	1.8%	10	1.2%
	公 営	325	100.0%	19	5.8%	17	5.2%	44	13.5%	38	11.7%	2	0.6%	2	0.6%
	民 営	512	100.0%	46	9.0%	27	5.3%	159	31.1%	79	15.4%	13	2.5%	8	1.6%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	24	21.4%	11	9.8%	49	43.8%	23	20.5%	3	2.7%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	2	7.1%	8	28.6%	8	28.6%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	17	20.2%	9	10.7%	41	48.8%	15	17.9%	3	3.6%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	10	9.1%	5	4.5%	37	33.6%	21	19.1%	6	5.5%	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%	10	34.5%	6	20.7%	---	---	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	6	7.4%	2	2.5%	27	33.3%	15	18.5%	6	7.4%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	10	7.3%	5	3.6%	44	32.1%	25	18.2%	3	2.2%	4	2.9%
	公 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	6	14.6%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	民 営	96	100.0%	6	6.3%	4	4.2%	38	39.6%	17	17.7%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	11	4.4%	16	6.3%	52	20.6%	30	11.9%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	2	2.1%	7	7.2%	13	13.4%	8	8.2%	1	1.0%	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	9	5.8%	9	5.8%	39	25.2%	22	14.2%	---	---	1	0.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	4	4.8%	12	14.3%	10	11.9%	1	1.2%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	1	2.3%	3	7.0%	4	9.3%	6	14.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	1	2.4%	8	19.5%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	3	2.1%	3	2.1%	9	6.3%	8	5.6%	1	0.7%	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	3	3.4%	2	2.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	6	10.9%	6	10.9%	1	1.8%	---	---

表NO.89-2 所在地区別 問11-3 公立保育所の民営化②

		その他の 経営主体に移管		その他の経営主体に 移管される計画		移管ではなくて 委託された		今のところ民営化の 計画はない		わからない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	25	3.0%	235	28.1%	123	14.7%	49	5.9%	57	6.8%
	公 営	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	11	3.4%	124	38.2%	43	13.2%	23	7.1%	29	8.9%
	民 営	13	2.5%	8	1.6%	14	2.7%	111	21.7%	80	15.6%	26	5.1%	28	5.5%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	7	6.3%	4	3.6%	5	4.5%	9	8.0%	10	8.9%	5	4.5%	6	5.4%
	公 営	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%
	民 営	5	6.0%	3	3.6%	4	4.8%	7	8.3%	7	8.3%	3	3.6%	3	3.6%
県庁所在市	計	4	3.6%	---	---	2	1.8%	20	18.2%	18	16.4%	4	3.6%	6	5.5%
	公 営	1	3.4%	---	---	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%
	民 営	3	3.7%	---	---	---	---	16	19.8%	15	18.5%	2	2.5%	5	6.2%
中都市	計	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	8	5.8%	26	19.0%	21	15.3%	6	4.4%	7	5.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	3	7.3%	8	19.5%	7	17.1%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
	民 営	1	1.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%	18	18.8%	14	14.6%	3	3.1%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	3	1.2%	1	0.4%	4	1.6%	77	30.6%	47	18.7%	10	4.0%	21	8.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	3	3.1%	35	36.1%	18	18.6%	5	5.2%	11	11.3%
	民 営	3	1.9%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	42	27.1%	29	18.7%	5	3.2%	10	6.5%
小都市B	計	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	4	4.8%	31	36.9%	9	10.7%	6	7.1%	3	3.6%
	公 営	---	---	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	20	46.5%	3	7.0%	4	9.3%	1	2.3%
	民 営	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	11	26.8%	6	14.6%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	---	---	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	72	50.7%	18	12.7%	18	12.7%	14	9.9%
	公 営	---	---	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	55	63.2%	9	10.3%	7	8.0%	9	10.3%
	民 営	---	---	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	17	30.9%	9	16.4%	11	20.0%	5	9.1%

表NO.90 地域区分別 問12-1 交付金化の補助事業への影響

		総計		今までの事業が 打ち切られた		事業予算が減額		今までの 基準よりも 厳しくなった		今までどおりに 継続		その他の事業に 再編成		その他		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	52	10.2%	168	32.8%	119	23.2%	128	25.0%	5	1.0%	11	2.1%	29	5.7%
	公 営	512	100.0%	52	10.2%	168	32.8%	119	23.2%	128	25.0%	5	1.0%	11	2.1%	29	5.7%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	76	100.0%	4	5.3%	31	40.8%	15	19.7%	18	23.7%	1	1.3%	4	5.3%	3	3.9%
	公 営	76	100.0%	4	5.3%	31	40.8%	15	19.7%	18	23.7%	1	1.3%	4	5.3%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	9	8.7%	30	28.8%	24	23.1%	29	27.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	8	7.7%
	公 営	104	100.0%	9	8.7%	30	28.8%	24	23.1%	29	27.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	8	7.7%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	6	11.8%	8	15.7%	19	37.3%	12	23.5%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	4	7.8%
	公 営	51	100.0%	6	11.8%	8	15.7%	19	37.3%	12	23.5%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	4	7.8%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	8	19.5%	17	41.5%	9	22.0%	6	14.6%	---	---	---	---	1	2.4%
	公 営	41	100.0%	8	19.5%	17	41.5%	9	22.0%	6	14.6%	---	---	---	---	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	6	8.2%	24	32.9%	21	28.8%	16	21.9%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	3	4.1%
	公 営	73	100.0%	6	8.2%	24	32.9%	21	28.8%	16	21.9%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	3	4.1%
中国・ 四国地区	計	54	100.0%	8	14.8%	23	42.6%	11	20.4%	9	16.7%	---	---	---	---	3	5.6%
	公 営	54	100.0%	8	14.8%	23	42.6%	11	20.4%	9	16.7%	---	---	---	---	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	11	9.7%	35	31.0%	20	17.7%	38	33.6%	---	---	2	1.8%	7	6.2%
	公 営	113	100.0%	11	9.7%	35	31.0%	20	17.7%	38	33.6%	---	---	2	1.8%	7	6.2%

表NO.91 所在地区別 問12-1 交付金化の補助事業への影響

		総計		今までの事業が打ち切られた		事業予算が減額		今までの基準よりも厳しくなった		今までどおりに継続		その他の事業に再編成		その他		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	52	10.2%	168	32.8%	119	23.2%	128	25.0%	5	1.0%	11	2.1%	29	5.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
都区部・指定都市	計	84	100.0%	5	6.0%	21	25.0%	23	27.4%	26	31.0%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%	4	4.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	8	9.9%	27	33.3%	17	21.0%	25	30.9%	---	---	1	1.2%	3	3.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
中都市	計	96	100.0%	8	8.3%	34	35.4%	24	25.0%	20	20.8%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	8	8.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	20	12.9%	53	34.2%	33	21.3%	35	22.6%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%	8	5.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	14	34.1%	11	26.8%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	55	100.0%	8	14.5%	19	34.5%	11	20.0%	14	25.5%	---	---	---	---	3	5.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

表NO.92 地域区分別 問12-2 実施している交付金対象事業①

		総計		つどいの広場事業		育児支援 家庭訪問事業		乳幼児健康支援 一時預かり事業		延長保育 促進事業		食育等推進事業	
全国	計	512	100.0%	17	3.3%	3	0.6%	131	25.6%	400	78.1%	17	3.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
北海道・東北地区	計	76	100.0%	1	1.3%	---	---	11	14.5%	57	75.0%	2	2.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	7	6.7%	---	---	30	28.8%	76	73.1%	10	9.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	12	23.5%	33	64.7%	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	---	---	---	---	13	31.7%	32	78.0%	2	4.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	6	8.2%	1	1.4%	25	34.2%	62	84.9%	1	1.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	54	100.0%	---	---	---	---	15	27.8%	47	87.0%	1	1.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	25	22.1%	93	82.3%	1	0.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

表NO.92-2 地域区分別 問12-2 実施している交付金対象事業②

		育児支援 家庭訪問事業		子育て 短期支援事業		保育所 地域活動事業		その他		未回答	
全国	計	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	---	---	2	2.6%	47	61.8%	9	11.8%	10	13.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	2	2.6%	47	61.8%	9	11.8%	10	13.2%
関東地区	計	1	1.0%	5	4.8%	68	65.4%	4	3.8%	9	8.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.0%	5	4.8%	68	65.4%	4	3.8%	9	8.7%
東海地区	計	1	2.0%	3	5.9%	35	68.6%	1	2.0%	6	11.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	2.0%	3	5.9%	35	68.6%	1	2.0%	6	11.8%
北信越地区	計	---	---	3	7.3%	32	78.0%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	3	7.3%	32	78.0%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	---	---	3	4.1%	51	69.9%	4	5.5%	3	4.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	3	4.1%	51	69.9%	4	5.5%	3	4.1%
中国・四国地区	計	---	---	---	---	45	83.3%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	45	83.3%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	1	0.9%	3	2.7%	87	77.0%	8	7.1%	8	7.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	0.9%	3	2.7%	87	77.0%	8	7.1%	8	7.1%

表NO.93 所在地区別 問12-2 実施している交付金対象事業①

		育児支援 家庭訪問事業		子育て 短期支援事業		保育所 地域活動事業		その他		未回答	
総計	計	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	52	61.9%	5	6.0%	11	13.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	52	61.9%	5	6.0%	11	13.1%
県庁所在市	計	1	1.2%	3	3.7%	62	76.5%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.2%	3	3.7%	62	76.5%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	65	67.7%	5	5.2%	7	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	65	67.7%	5	5.2%	7	7.3%
小都市A	計	---	---	6	3.9%	111	71.6%	10	6.5%	9	5.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	6	3.9%	111	71.6%	10	6.5%	9	5.8%
小都市B	計	---	---	3	7.3%	31	75.6%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	3	7.3%	31	75.6%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
町・村	計	---	---	1	1.8%	44	80.0%	8	14.5%	4	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	1	1.8%	44	80.0%	8	14.5%	4	7.3%

表NO.93-2 所在地区別 問12-2 実施している交付金対象事業②

		育児支援 家庭訪問事業		子育て 短期支援事業		保育所 地域活動事業		その他		未回答	
総計	計	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	0.6%	19	3.7%	365	71.3%	35	6.8%	39	7.6%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	52	61.9%	5	6.0%	11	13.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	52	61.9%	5	6.0%	11	13.1%
県庁所在市	計	1	1.2%	3	3.7%	62	76.5%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.2%	3	3.7%	62	76.5%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%
中都市	計	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	65	67.7%	5	5.2%	7	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	1.0%	5	5.2%	65	67.7%	5	5.2%	7	7.3%
小都市A	計	---	---	6	3.9%	111	71.6%	10	6.5%	9	5.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	6	3.9%	111	71.6%	10	6.5%	9	5.8%
小都市B	計	---	---	3	7.3%	31	75.6%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	3	7.3%	31	75.6%	3	7.3%	4	9.8%
町・村	計	---	---	1	1.8%	44	80.0%	8	14.5%	4	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	1	1.8%	44	80.0%	8	14.5%	4	7.3%

表NO.94 地域区分別 問12-2-1 実施している保育所地域活動事業の内容

		総計		世代間交流等事業		異年齢児交流事業		育児講座・育児と 仕事両立支援事業		小学校低学年 児童受け入れ		未回答	
全国	計	365	100.0%	271	74.2%	215	58.9%	142	38.9%	66	18.1%	27	7.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	365	100.0%	271	74.2%	215	58.9%	142	38.9%	66	18.1%	27	7.4%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	47	100.0%	36	76.6%	29	61.7%	9	19.1%	7	14.9%	3	6.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	47	100.0%	36	76.6%	29	61.7%	9	19.1%	7	14.9%	3	6.4%
関東地区	計	68	100.0%	42	61.8%	41	60.3%	30	44.1%	14	20.6%	5	7.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	68	100.0%	42	61.8%	41	60.3%	30	44.1%	14	20.6%	5	7.4%
東海地区	計	35	100.0%	26	74.3%	19	54.3%	9	25.7%	6	17.1%	2	5.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	35	100.0%	26	74.3%	19	54.3%	9	25.7%	6	17.1%	2	5.7%
北信越地区	計	32	100.0%	24	75.0%	18	56.3%	15	46.9%	4	12.5%	4	12.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	32	100.0%	24	75.0%	18	56.3%	15	46.9%	4	12.5%	4	12.5%
近畿地区	計	51	100.0%	37	72.5%	31	60.8%	18	35.3%	6	11.8%	6	11.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	37	72.5%	31	60.8%	18	35.3%	6	11.8%	6	11.8%
中国・ 四国地区	計	45	100.0%	37	82.2%	31	68.9%	17	37.8%	8	17.8%	2	4.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	45	100.0%	37	82.2%	31	68.9%	17	37.8%	8	17.8%	2	4.4%
九州地区	計	87	100.0%	69	79.3%	46	52.9%	44	50.6%	21	24.1%	5	5.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	87	100.0%	69	79.3%	46	52.9%	44	50.6%	21	24.1%	5	5.7%

表NO.95 所在地区別 問12-2-1 実施している保育所地域活動事業の内容

		総計		世代間交流等事業		異年齢児交流事業		育児講座・育児と 仕事両立支援事業		小学校低学年 児童受け入れ		未回答	
総計	計	365	100.0%	271	74.2%	215	58.9%	142	38.9%	66	18.1%	27	7.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	365	100.0%	271	74.2%	215	58.9%	142	38.9%	66	18.1%	27	7.4%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	52	100.0%	36	69.2%	32	61.5%	29	55.8%	7	13.5%	5	9.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	52	100.0%	36	69.2%	32	61.5%	29	55.8%	7	13.5%	5	9.6%
県庁所在市	計	62	100.0%	50	80.6%	39	62.9%	24	38.7%	7	11.3%	5	8.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	62	100.0%	50	80.6%	39	62.9%	24	38.7%	7	11.3%	5	8.1%
中都市	計	65	100.0%	45	69.2%	37	56.9%	20	30.8%	10	15.4%	5	7.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	65	100.0%	45	69.2%	37	56.9%	20	30.8%	10	15.4%	5	7.7%
小都市A	計	111	100.0%	86	77.5%	65	58.6%	43	38.7%	26	23.4%	9	8.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	111	100.0%	86	77.5%	65	58.6%	43	38.7%	26	23.4%	9	8.1%
小都市B	計	31	100.0%	22	71.0%	18	58.1%	10	32.3%	6	19.4%	---	---
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	31	100.0%	22	71.0%	18	58.1%	10	32.3%	6	19.4%	---	---
町・村	計	44	100.0%	32	72.7%	24	54.5%	16	36.4%	10	22.7%	3	6.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	44	100.0%	32	72.7%	24	54.5%	16	36.4%	10	22.7%	3	6.8%

表NO.96 地域区分別 問13-1 定員の弾力的運用（貴園の在籍児童数）

		総計		定員どおり		定員を上回っている		定員に満たない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	67	8.0%	499	59.6%	267	31.9%	4	0.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	33	10.2%	106	32.6%	183	56.3%	3	0.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	34	6.6%	393	76.8%	84	16.4%	1	0.2%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	4	3.1%	78	60.9%	46	35.9%	---	---
	公 営	52	100.0%	2	3.8%	17	32.7%	33	63.5%	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	2	2.6%	61	80.3%	13	17.1%	---	---
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	26	14.6%	115	64.6%	35	19.7%	2	1.1%
	公 営	74	100.0%	14	18.9%	30	40.5%	29	39.2%	1	1.4%
	民 営	104	100.0%	12	11.5%	85	81.7%	6	5.8%	1	1.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	9	9.3%	50	51.5%	38	39.2%	---	---
	公 営	46	100.0%	4	8.7%	17	37.0%	25	54.3%	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	5	9.8%	33	64.7%	13	25.5%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	5	5.4%	35	37.6%	51	54.8%	2	2.2%
	公 営	52	100.0%	1	1.9%	11	21.2%	38	73.1%	2	3.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	24	58.5%	13	31.7%	---	---
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	8	7.8%	68	66.7%	26	25.5%	---	---
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	6	20.7%	17	58.6%	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	2	2.7%	62	84.9%	9	12.3%	---	---
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	6	6.1%	52	53.1%	40	40.8%	---	---
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	14	31.8%	28	63.6%	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	4	7.4%	38	70.4%	12	22.2%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	9	6.4%	101	71.6%	31	22.0%	---	---
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	11	39.3%	13	46.4%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	5	4.4%	90	79.6%	18	15.9%	---	---

表NO.97 所在地区別 問13-1 定員の弾力的運用（貴園の在籍児童数）

		総計		定員どおり		定員を上回っている		定員に満たない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	67	8.0%	499	59.6%	267	31.9%	4	0.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	33	10.2%	106	32.6%	183	56.3%	3	0.9%
	民 営	512	100.0%	34	6.6%	393	76.8%	84	16.4%	1	0.2%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	19	17.0%	77	68.8%	15	13.4%	1	0.9%
	公 営	28	100.0%	12	42.9%	9	32.1%	7	25.0%	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	68	81.0%	8	9.5%	1	1.2%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	7	6.4%	81	73.6%	21	19.1%	1	0.9%
	公 営	29	100.0%	3	10.3%	12	41.4%	13	44.8%	1	3.4%
	民 営	81	100.0%	4	4.9%	69	85.2%	8	9.9%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	13	9.5%	89	65.0%	35	25.5%	---	---
	公 営	41	100.0%	5	12.2%	15	36.6%	21	51.2%	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	8	8.3%	74	77.1%	14	14.6%	---	---
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	20	7.9%	157	62.3%	73	29.0%	2	0.8%
	公 営	97	100.0%	8	8.2%	38	39.2%	49	50.5%	2	2.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	12	7.7%	119	76.8%	24	15.5%	---	---
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	4	4.8%	34	40.5%	46	54.8%	---	---
	公 営	43	100.0%	1	2.3%	7	16.3%	35	81.4%	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	3	7.3%	27	65.9%	11	26.8%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	4	2.8%	61	43.0%	77	54.2%	---	---
	公 営	87	100.0%	4	4.6%	25	28.7%	58	66.7%	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	36	65.5%	19	34.5%	---	---

表NO.98 地域区分別 問13-2 定員の弾力的運用の影響

		総計		地域の要望に 応えやすくなった		園舎が手狭に なっている		定員以上の 入所で 会計上ゆとり		人数が増えて 保育がやりにくい		園児の 獲得競争が みられる		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	408	48.7%	246	29.4%	115	13.7%	138	16.5%	56	6.7%	79	9.4%	163	19.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	111	34.2%	84	25.8%	---	---	52	16.0%	13	4.0%	40	12.3%	109	33.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	297	58.0%	162	31.6%	115	22.5%	86	16.8%	43	8.4%	39	7.6%	54	10.5%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	52	40.6%	42	32.8%	15	11.7%	15	11.7%	12	9.4%	10	7.8%	28	21.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	12	23.1%	14	26.9%	---	---	7	13.5%	4	7.7%	5	9.6%	21	40.4%
	民 営	76	100.0%	40	52.6%	28	36.8%	15	19.7%	8	10.5%	8	10.5%	5	6.6%	7	9.2%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	90	50.6%	40	22.5%	18	10.1%	35	19.7%	4	2.2%	20	11.2%	41	23.0%
	公 営	74	100.0%	28	37.8%	16	21.6%	---	---	14	18.9%	1	1.4%	12	16.2%	25	33.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	62	59.6%	24	23.1%	18	17.3%	21	20.2%	3	2.9%	8	7.7%	16	15.4%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	43	44.3%	36	37.1%	8	8.2%	19	19.6%	5	5.2%	8	8.2%	20	20.6%
	公 営	46	100.0%	18	39.1%	15	32.6%	---	---	10	21.7%	2	4.3%	4	8.7%	13	28.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	25	49.0%	21	41.2%	8	15.7%	9	17.6%	3	5.9%	4	7.8%	7	13.7%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	40	43.0%	23	24.7%	8	8.6%	8	8.6%	4	4.3%	8	8.6%	27	29.0%
	公 営	52	100.0%	16	30.8%	10	19.2%	---	---	4	7.7%	---	---	6	11.5%	21	40.4%
	民 営	41	100.0%	24	58.5%	13	31.7%	8	19.5%	4	9.8%	4	9.8%	2	4.9%	6	14.6%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	54	52.9%	33	32.4%	25	24.5%	23	22.5%	6	5.9%	6	5.9%	14	13.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	9	31.0%	7	24.1%	---	---	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	8	27.6%
	民 営	73	100.0%	45	61.6%	26	35.6%	25	34.2%	17	23.3%	4	5.5%	3	4.1%	6	8.2%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	43	43.9%	29	29.6%	12	12.2%	17	17.3%	6	6.1%	17	17.3%	19	19.4%
	公 営	44	100.0%	13	29.5%	14	31.8%	---	---	8	18.2%	2	4.5%	9	20.5%	13	29.5%
	民 営	54	100.0%	30	55.6%	15	27.8%	12	22.2%	9	16.7%	4	7.4%	8	14.8%	6	11.1%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	86	61.0%	43	30.5%	29	20.6%	21	14.9%	19	13.5%	10	7.1%	14	9.9%
	公 営	28	100.0%	15	53.6%	8	28.6%	---	---	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	8	28.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	71	62.8%	35	31.0%	29	25.7%	18	15.9%	17	15.0%	9	8.0%	6	5.3%

表NO.99 所在地区別 問13-2 定員の弾力的運用の影響

		総計		地域の要望に 応えやすくなった		園舎が手狭に なっている		定員以上の 入所で 会計上ゆとり		人数が増えて 保育がやりにくい		園児の 獲得競争が みられる		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	408	48.7%	246	29.4%	115	13.7%	138	16.5%	56	6.7%	79	9.4%	163	19.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	111	34.2%	84	25.8%	---	---	52	16.0%	13	4.0%	40	12.3%	109	33.5%
	民 営	512	100.0%	297	58.0%	162	31.6%	115	22.5%	86	16.8%	43	8.4%	39	7.6%	54	10.5%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	52	46.4%	36	32.1%	17	15.2%	21	18.8%	3	2.7%	8	7.1%	23	20.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	8	28.6%	7	25.0%	---	---	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	10	35.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	44	52.4%	29	34.5%	17	20.2%	16	19.0%	2	2.4%	5	6.0%	13	15.5%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	63	57.3%	42	38.2%	13	11.8%	20	18.2%	5	4.5%	9	8.2%	15	13.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	9	31.0%	12	41.4%	---	---	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	8	27.6%
	民 営	81	100.0%	54	66.7%	30	37.0%	13	16.0%	12	14.8%	3	3.7%	8	9.9%	7	8.6%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	75	54.7%	37	27.0%	23	16.8%	25	18.2%	9	6.6%	15	10.9%	20	14.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	18	43.9%	11	26.8%	---	---	8	19.5%	2	4.9%	6	14.6%	11	26.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	57	59.4%	26	27.1%	23	24.0%	17	17.7%	7	7.3%	9	9.4%	9	9.4%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	125	49.6%	77	30.6%	38	15.1%	46	18.3%	14	5.6%	22	8.7%	44	17.5%
	公 営	97	100.0%	32	33.0%	25	25.8%	---	---	16	16.5%	4	4.1%	13	13.4%	28	28.9%
	民 営	155	100.0%	93	60.0%	52	33.5%	38	24.5%	30	19.4%	10	6.5%	9	5.8%	16	10.3%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	36	42.9%	17	20.2%	10	11.9%	7	8.3%	11	13.1%	10	11.9%	21	25.0%
	公 営	43	100.0%	14	32.6%	4	9.3%	---	---	2	4.7%	2	4.7%	6	14.0%	19	44.2%
	民 営	41	100.0%	22	53.7%	13	31.7%	10	24.4%	5	12.2%	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	57	40.1%	37	26.1%	14	9.9%	19	13.4%	14	9.9%	15	10.6%	40	28.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	30	34.5%	25	28.7%	---	---	13	14.9%	2	2.3%	11	12.6%	33	37.9%
	民 営	55	100.0%	27	49.1%	12	21.8%	14	25.5%	6	10.9%	12	21.8%	4	7.3%	7	12.7%

表NO.100 地域区分別 問14-1 短時間保育士を採用しているか

		総計		採用している		採用していない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	470	56.2%	328	39.2%	39	4.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	144	44.3%	158	48.6%	23	7.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	326	63.7%	170	33.2%	16	3.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	67	52.3%	56	43.8%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	21	40.4%	30	57.7%	1	1.9%
	民 営	76	100.0%	46	60.5%	26	34.2%	4	5.3%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	100	56.2%	65	36.5%	13	7.3%
	公 営	74	100.0%	37	50.0%	30	40.5%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	63	60.6%	35	33.7%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	61	62.9%	34	35.1%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	22	47.8%	22	47.8%	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	39	76.5%	12	23.5%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	59	63.4%	28	30.1%	6	6.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	34	65.4%	13	25.0%	5	9.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	25	61.0%	15	36.6%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	67	65.7%	33	32.4%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	14	48.3%	13	44.8%	2	6.9%
	民 営	73	100.0%	53	72.6%	20	27.4%	---	---
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	37	37.8%	56	57.1%	5	5.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	10	22.7%	30	68.2%	4	9.1%
	民 営	54	100.0%	27	50.0%	26	48.1%	1	1.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	79	56.0%	56	39.7%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	6	21.4%	20	71.4%	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	73	64.6%	36	31.9%	4	3.5%

表NO.101 所在地区別 問14-1 短時間保育士を採用しているか

		総計		採用している		採用していない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	470	56.2%	328	39.2%	39	4.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	144	44.3%	158	48.6%	23	7.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	326	63.7%	170	33.2%	16	3.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	71	63.4%	37	33.0%	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	16	57.1%	10	35.7%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	55	65.5%	27	32.1%	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	69	62.7%	38	34.5%	3	2.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	15	51.7%	11	37.9%	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	54	66.7%	27	33.3%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	89	65.0%	40	29.2%	8	5.8%
	公 営	41	100.0%	18	43.9%	19	46.3%	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	71	74.0%	21	21.9%	4	4.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	141	56.0%	103	40.9%	8	3.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	49	50.5%	44	45.4%	4	4.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	92	59.4%	59	38.1%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	36	42.9%	39	46.4%	9	10.7%
	公 営	43	100.0%	16	37.2%	21	48.8%	6	14.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	20	48.8%	18	43.9%	3	7.3%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	64	45.1%	71	50.0%	7	4.9%
	公 営	87	100.0%	30	34.5%	53	60.9%	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	34	61.8%	18	32.7%	3	5.5%

表NO.102 地域区別 問14-1-1 短時間保育士を採用した理由

		総計		常勤の希望者がいない		人件費を抑える		常勤の勤務条件をよくする		適切な保育士数を配置		その他		未回答	
全国	計	470	100.0%	43	9.1%	163	34.7%	182	38.7%	321	68.3%	29	6.2%	5	1.1%
	公 営	144	100.0%	11	7.6%	32	22.2%	32	22.2%	93	64.6%	13	9.0%	3	2.1%
	民 営	326	100.0%	32	9.8%	131	40.2%	150	46.0%	228	69.9%	16	4.9%	2	0.6%
北海道・東北地区	計	67	100.0%	4	6.0%	23	34.3%	26	38.8%	55	82.1%	4	6.0%	1	1.5%
	公 営	21	100.0%	1	4.8%	7	33.3%	7	33.3%	16	76.2%	2	9.5%	---	---
	民 営	46	100.0%	3	6.5%	16	34.8%	19	41.3%	39	84.8%	2	4.3%	1	2.2%
関東地区	計	100	100.0%	8	8.0%	25	25.0%	35	35.0%	66	66.0%	7	7.0%	3	3.0%
	公 営	37	100.0%	5	13.5%	5	13.5%	6	16.2%	22	59.5%	5	13.5%	2	5.4%
	民 営	63	100.0%	3	4.8%	20	31.7%	29	46.0%	44	69.8%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%
東海地区	計	61	100.0%	4	6.6%	17	27.9%	24	39.3%	41	67.2%	6	9.8%	---	---
	公 営	22	100.0%	1	4.5%	4	18.2%	4	18.2%	17	77.3%	1	4.5%	---	---
	民 営	39	100.0%	3	7.7%	13	33.3%	20	51.3%	24	61.5%	5	12.8%	---	---
北信越地区	計	59	100.0%	7	11.9%	26	44.1%	12	20.3%	41	69.5%	2	3.4%	---	---
	公 営	34	100.0%	2	5.9%	9	26.5%	6	17.6%	22	64.7%	2	5.9%	---	---
	民 営	25	100.0%	5	20.0%	17	68.0%	6	24.0%	19	76.0%	---	---	---	---
近畿地区	計	67	100.0%	4	6.0%	31	46.3%	31	46.3%	48	71.6%	3	4.5%	---	---
	公 営	14	100.0%	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	9	64.3%	1	7.1%	---	---
	民 営	53	100.0%	3	5.7%	25	47.2%	28	52.8%	39	73.6%	2	3.8%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	37	100.0%	8	21.6%	8	21.6%	17	45.9%	21	56.8%	5	13.5%	1	2.7%
	公 営	10	100.0%	1	10.0%	---	---	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
	民 営	27	100.0%	7	25.9%	8	29.6%	12	44.4%	18	66.7%	3	11.1%	---	---
九州地区	計	79	100.0%	8	10.1%	33	41.8%	37	46.8%	49	62.0%	2	2.5%	---	---
	公 営	6	100.0%	---	---	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	8	11.0%	32	43.8%	36	49.3%	45	61.6%	2	2.7%	---	---

表NO.103 所在地区別 問14-1-1 短時間保育士を採用した理由

		総計		常勤の 希望者がいない		人件費を抑える		常勤の 勤務条件をよくする		適切な 保育士数を配置		その他		未回答	
総計	計	470	100.0%	43	9.1%	163	34.7%	182	38.7%	321	68.3%	29	6.2%	5	1.1%
	公 営	144	100.0%	11	7.6%	32	22.2%	32	22.2%	93	64.6%	13	9.0%	3	2.1%
	民 営	326	100.0%	32	9.8%	131	40.2%	150	46.0%	228	69.9%	16	4.9%	2	0.6%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	71	100.0%	---	---	24	33.8%	31	43.7%	49	69.0%	5	7.0%	2	2.8%
	公 営	16	100.0%	---	---	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	12	75.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	21	38.2%	29	52.7%	37	67.3%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%
県庁所在市	計	69	100.0%	7	10.1%	28	40.6%	23	33.3%	50	72.5%	3	4.3%	1	1.4%
	公 営	15	100.0%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	3	20.0%	9	60.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%
	民 営	54	100.0%	5	9.3%	26	48.1%	20	37.0%	41	75.9%	2	3.7%	---	---
中都市	計	89	100.0%	10	11.2%	30	33.7%	37	41.6%	68	76.4%	5	5.6%	1	1.1%
	公 営	18	100.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	4	22.2%	14	77.8%	---	---	1	5.6%
	民 営	71	100.0%	9	12.7%	29	40.8%	33	46.5%	54	76.1%	5	7.0%	---	---
小都市A	計	141	100.0%	13	9.2%	46	32.6%	51	36.2%	91	64.5%	11	7.8%	---	---
	公 営	49	100.0%	4	8.2%	11	22.4%	12	24.5%	27	55.1%	8	16.3%	---	---
	民 営	92	100.0%	9	9.8%	35	38.0%	39	42.4%	64	69.6%	3	3.3%	---	---
小都市B	計	36	100.0%	5	13.9%	9	25.0%	18	50.0%	19	52.8%	1	2.8%	---	---
	公 営	16	100.0%	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	5	31.3%	10	62.5%	1	6.3%	---	---
	民 営	20	100.0%	4	20.0%	6	30.0%	13	65.0%	9	45.0%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	64	100.0%	8	12.5%	26	40.6%	22	34.4%	44	68.8%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%
	公 営	30	100.0%	3	10.0%	12	40.0%	6	20.0%	21	70.0%	2	6.7%	---	---
	民 営	34	100.0%	5	14.7%	14	41.2%	16	47.1%	23	67.6%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%

表NO.104 地域区分別 問14-1-2 短時間保育士採用による変化

		総計		特に 影響はない		保育に 活気が 出てきた		人件費が 節約できる		職員の 勤務条件に 余裕が出来た		連携が とりにくく 保育に 支障がある		常勤の 勤務条件が きつくなった		その他		未回答	
全国	計	470	100.0%	118	25.1%	55	11.7%	149	31.7%	258	54.9%	33	7.0%	34	7.2%	29	6.2%	9	1.9%
	公 営	144	100.0%	35	24.3%	11	7.6%	25	17.4%	66	45.8%	15	10.4%	18	12.5%	12	8.3%	6	4.2%
	民 営	326	100.0%	83	25.5%	44	13.5%	124	38.0%	192	58.9%	18	5.5%	16	4.9%	17	5.2%	3	0.9%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	67	100.0%	16	23.9%	9	13.4%	23	34.3%	36	53.7%	5	7.5%	5	7.5%	4	6.0%	3	4.5%
	公 営	21	100.0%	4	19.0%	3	14.3%	4	19.0%	10	47.6%	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%
	民 営	46	100.0%	12	26.1%	6	13.0%	19	41.3%	26	56.5%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%	3	6.5%	---	---
関東地区	計	100	100.0%	28	28.0%	9	9.0%	32	32.0%	50	50.0%	4	4.0%	5	5.0%	9	9.0%	3	3.0%
	公 営	37	100.0%	11	29.7%	2	5.4%	7	18.9%	13	35.1%	1	2.7%	4	10.8%	6	16.2%	2	5.4%
	民 営	63	100.0%	17	27.0%	7	11.1%	25	39.7%	37	58.7%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%
東海地区	計	61	100.0%	14	23.0%	9	14.8%	14	23.0%	33	54.1%	4	6.6%	8	13.1%	8	13.1%	1	1.6%
	公 営	22	100.0%	4	18.2%	---	---	2	9.1%	13	59.1%	2	9.1%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%
	民 営	39	100.0%	10	25.6%	9	23.1%	12	30.8%	20	51.3%	2	5.1%	4	10.3%	5	12.8%	---	---
北信越地区	計	59	100.0%	13	22.0%	6	10.2%	18	30.5%	29	49.2%	6	10.2%	6	10.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%
	公 営	34	100.0%	7	20.6%	3	8.8%	4	11.8%	16	47.1%	5	14.7%	5	14.7%	1	2.9%	---	---
	民 営	25	100.0%	6	24.0%	3	12.0%	14	56.0%	13	52.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	---	---	1	4.0%
近畿地区	計	67	100.0%	22	32.8%	9	13.4%	21	31.3%	36	53.7%	5	7.5%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%
	公 営	14	100.0%	7	50.0%	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	---	---
	民 営	53	100.0%	15	28.3%	8	15.1%	16	30.2%	34	64.2%	3	5.7%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
中国・ 四国地区	計	37	100.0%	7	18.9%	3	8.1%	9	24.3%	23	62.2%	5	13.5%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	---	---
	公 営	10	100.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	27	100.0%	6	22.2%	2	7.4%	8	29.6%	15	55.6%	4	14.8%	3	11.1%	3	11.1%	---	---
九州地区	計	79	100.0%	18	22.8%	10	12.7%	32	40.5%	51	64.6%	4	5.1%	3	3.8%	2	2.5%	---	---
	公 営	6	100.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	17	23.3%	9	12.3%	30	41.1%	47	64.4%	3	4.1%	3	4.1%	2	2.7%	---	---

表NO.105 所在地区別 問14-1-2 短時間保育士採用による変化

		総計		特に 影響はない		保育に 活気が 出てきた		人件費が 節約できる		職員の 勤務条件に 余裕が出来た		連携が とりこく 保育に 支障がある		常勤の 勤務条件が きつくなった		その他		未回答	
総計	計	470	100.0%	118	25.1%	55	11.7%	149	31.7%	258	54.9%	33	7.0%	34	7.2%	29	6.2%	9	1.9%
	公 営	144	100.0%	35	24.3%	11	7.6%	25	17.4%	66	45.8%	15	10.4%	18	12.5%	12	8.3%	6	4.2%
	民 営	326	100.0%	83	25.5%	44	13.5%	124	38.0%	192	58.9%	18	5.5%	16	4.9%	17	5.2%	3	0.9%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	71	100.0%	19	26.8%	5	7.0%	23	32.4%	35	49.3%	4	5.6%	3	4.2%	9	12.7%	1	1.4%
	公 営	16	100.0%	3	18.8%	---	---	2	12.5%	5	31.3%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%
	民 営	55	100.0%	16	29.1%	5	9.1%	21	38.2%	30	54.5%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	4	7.3%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	69	100.0%	14	20.3%	10	14.5%	29	42.0%	40	58.0%	7	10.1%	8	11.6%	6	8.7%	---	---
	公 営	15	100.0%	---	---	---	---	4	26.7%	8	53.3%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	14	25.9%	10	18.5%	25	46.3%	32	59.3%	4	7.4%	4	7.4%	4	7.4%	---	---
中都市	計	89	100.0%	26	29.2%	11	12.4%	31	34.8%	52	58.4%	5	5.6%	4	4.5%	4	4.5%	4	4.5%
	公 営	18	100.0%	7	38.9%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	8	44.4%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	---	---	2	11.1%
	民 営	71	100.0%	19	26.8%	10	14.1%	29	40.8%	44	62.0%	4	5.6%	3	4.2%	4	5.6%	2	2.8%
小都市A	計	141	100.0%	38	27.0%	14	9.9%	35	24.8%	81	57.4%	10	7.1%	10	7.1%	6	4.3%	3	2.1%
	公 営	49	100.0%	13	26.5%	5	10.2%	7	14.3%	26	53.1%	4	8.2%	5	10.2%	2	4.1%	2	4.1%
	民 営	92	100.0%	25	27.2%	9	9.8%	28	30.4%	55	59.8%	6	6.5%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%	1	1.1%
小都市B	計	36	100.0%	9	25.0%	4	11.1%	8	22.2%	17	47.2%	---	---	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	---	---
	公 営	16	100.0%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	---	---	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	---	---
	民 営	20	100.0%	4	20.0%	3	15.0%	7	35.0%	11	55.0%	---	---	1	5.0%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	64	100.0%	12	18.8%	11	17.2%	23	35.9%	33	51.6%	7	10.9%	6	9.4%	3	4.7%	1	1.6%
	公 営	30	100.0%	7	23.3%	4	13.3%	9	30.0%	13	43.3%	5	16.7%	4	13.3%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%
	民 営	34	100.0%	5	14.7%	7	20.6%	14	41.2%	20	58.8%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	---	---

表NO.106 地域区分別 問15-1 園庭の状況

		総計		専用の園庭		公園等を利用		園庭があるが、 広さが不十分		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	702	83.9%	18	2.2%	70	8.4%	8	1.0%	39	4.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	271	83.4%	3	0.9%	24	7.4%	2	0.6%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	431	84.2%	15	2.9%	46	9.0%	6	1.2%	14	2.7%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	111	86.7%	1	0.8%	8	6.3%	2	1.6%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	48	92.3%	---	---	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
	民 営	76	100.0%	63	82.9%	1	1.3%	6	7.9%	1	1.3%	5	6.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	140	78.7%	6	3.4%	18	10.1%	1	0.6%	13	7.3%
	公 営	74	100.0%	62	83.8%	1	1.4%	4	5.4%	---	---	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	78	75.0%	5	4.8%	14	13.5%	1	1.0%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	83	85.6%	1	1.0%	10	10.3%	1	1.0%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	38	82.6%	---	---	6	13.0%	---	---	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	45	88.2%	1	2.0%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	---	---
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	71	76.3%	6	6.5%	6	6.5%	2	2.2%	8	8.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	40	76.9%	1	1.9%	3	5.8%	1	1.9%	7	13.5%
	民 営	41	100.0%	31	75.6%	5	12.2%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	89	87.3%	---	---	10	9.8%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	23	79.3%	---	---	4	13.8%	---	---	2	6.9%
	民 営	73	100.0%	66	90.4%	---	---	6	8.2%	1	1.4%	---	---
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	85	86.7%	3	3.1%	6	6.1%	---	---	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	36	81.8%	1	2.3%	3	6.8%	---	---	4	9.1%
	民 営	54	100.0%	49	90.7%	2	3.7%	3	5.6%	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	123	87.2%	1	0.7%	12	8.5%	1	0.7%	4	2.8%
	公 営	28	100.0%	24	85.7%	---	---	2	7.1%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	113	100.0%	99	87.6%	1	0.9%	10	8.8%	1	0.9%	2	1.8%

表NO.107 所在地区別 問15-1 園庭の状況

		総計		専用の園庭		公園等を利用		園庭があるが、 広さが不十分		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	702	83.9%	18	2.2%	70	8.4%	8	1.0%	39	4.7%
	公 営	325	100.0%	271	83.4%	3	0.9%	24	7.4%	2	0.6%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	431	84.2%	15	2.9%	46	9.0%	6	1.2%	14	2.7%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	112	100.0%	93	83.0%	2	1.8%	12	10.7%	---	---	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	23	82.1%	---	---	2	7.1%	---	---	3	10.7%
	民 営	84	100.0%	70	83.3%	2	2.4%	10	11.9%	---	---	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	89	80.9%	4	3.6%	11	10.0%	2	1.8%	4	3.6%
	公 営	29	100.0%	19	65.5%	1	3.4%	5	17.2%	---	---	4	13.8%
	民 営	81	100.0%	70	86.4%	3	3.7%	6	7.4%	2	2.5%	---	---
中都市	計	137	100.0%	106	77.4%	5	3.6%	15	10.9%	2	1.5%	9	6.6%
	公 営	41	100.0%	33	80.5%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	73	76.0%	4	4.2%	12	12.5%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	214	84.9%	7	2.8%	21	8.3%	2	0.8%	8	3.2%
	公 営	97	100.0%	80	82.5%	1	1.0%	12	12.4%	---	---	4	4.1%
	民 営	155	100.0%	134	86.5%	6	3.9%	9	5.8%	2	1.3%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	73	86.9%	---	---	4	4.8%	---	---	7	8.3%
	公 営	43	100.0%	37	86.0%	---	---	1	2.3%	---	---	5	11.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	36	87.8%	---	---	3	7.3%	---	---	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	127	89.4%	---	---	7	4.9%	2	1.4%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	79	90.8%	---	---	1	1.1%	2	2.3%	5	5.7%
	民 営	55	100.0%	48	87.3%	---	---	6	10.9%	---	---	1	1.8%

表NO.108 地域区分別 問15-2 乳幼児にとって園庭とはどのようなものか

		総計		生活や遊びに必要		公園等の利用は 安全管理が難しい		生活や遊びに 支障をきたす 規制緩和は すべきではない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	763	91.2%	232	27.7%	375	44.8%	11	1.3%	42	5.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	102	31.4%	160	49.2%	---	---	23	7.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	468	91.4%	130	25.4%	215	42.0%	11	2.1%	19	3.7%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	128	100.0%	116	90.6%	31	24.2%	54	42.2%	2	1.6%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	52	100.0%	15	28.8%	28	53.8%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	64	84.2%	16	21.1%	26	34.2%	2	2.6%	6	7.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	160	89.9%	48	27.0%	86	48.3%	2	1.1%	13	7.3%
	公 営	74	100.0%	64	86.5%	22	29.7%	37	50.0%	---	---	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	96	92.3%	26	25.0%	49	47.1%	2	1.9%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	93	95.9%	24	24.7%	43	44.3%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	44	95.7%	14	30.4%	23	50.0%	---	---	2	4.3%
	民 営	51	100.0%	49	96.1%	10	19.6%	20	39.2%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	81	87.1%	26	28.0%	33	35.5%	1	1.1%	7	7.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	45	86.5%	17	32.7%	24	46.2%	---	---	5	9.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	36	87.8%	9	22.0%	9	22.0%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	96	94.1%	36	35.3%	44	43.1%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	27	93.1%	8	27.6%	13	44.8%	---	---	2	6.9%
	民 営	73	100.0%	69	94.5%	28	38.4%	31	42.5%	1	1.4%	---	---
中国・ 四国地区	計	98	100.0%	90	91.8%	33	33.7%	45	45.9%	1	1.0%	4	4.1%
	公 営	44	100.0%	38	86.4%	19	43.2%	23	52.3%	---	---	4	9.1%
	民 営	54	100.0%	52	96.3%	14	25.9%	22	40.7%	1	1.9%	---	---
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	127	90.1%	34	24.1%	70	49.6%	2	1.4%	7	5.0%
	公 営	28	100.0%	25	89.3%	7	25.0%	12	42.9%	---	---	3	10.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	102	90.3%	27	23.9%	58	51.3%	2	1.8%	4	3.5%

表NO.109 所在地区別 問15-2 乳幼児にとって園庭とはどのようなものか

		総計		生活や遊びに必要		公園等の利用は安全管理が難しい		生活や遊びに支障をきたす規制緩和はすべきではない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	763	91.2%	232	27.7%	375	44.8%	11	1.3%	42	5.0%
	公 営	325	100.0%	295	90.8%	102	31.4%	160	49.2%	---	---	23	7.1%
	民 営	512	100.0%	468	91.4%	130	25.4%	215	42.0%	11	2.1%	19	3.7%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	101	90.2%	38	33.9%	54	48.2%	---	---	4	3.6%
	公 営	28	100.0%	26	92.9%	12	42.9%	17	60.7%	---	---	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	75	89.3%	26	31.0%	37	44.0%	---	---	2	2.4%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	101	91.8%	29	26.4%	52	47.3%	2	1.8%	5	4.5%
	公 営	29	100.0%	26	89.7%	12	41.4%	20	69.0%	---	---	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	75	92.6%	17	21.0%	32	39.5%	2	2.5%	2	2.5%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	122	89.1%	39	28.5%	58	42.3%	3	2.2%	10	7.3%
	公 営	41	100.0%	35	85.4%	15	36.6%	20	48.8%	---	---	4	9.8%
	民 営	96	100.0%	87	90.6%	24	25.0%	38	39.6%	3	3.1%	6	6.3%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	233	92.5%	72	28.6%	114	45.2%	3	1.2%	10	4.0%
	公 営	97	100.0%	89	91.8%	27	27.8%	49	50.5%	---	---	5	5.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	144	92.9%	45	29.0%	65	41.9%	3	1.9%	5	3.2%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	74	88.1%	26	31.0%	38	45.2%	2	2.4%	7	8.3%
	公 営	43	100.0%	37	86.0%	17	39.5%	23	53.5%	---	---	5	11.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	37	90.2%	9	22.0%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	132	93.0%	28	19.7%	59	41.5%	1	0.7%	6	4.2%
	公 営	87	100.0%	82	94.3%	19	21.8%	31	35.6%	---	---	4	4.6%
	民 営	55	100.0%	50	90.9%	9	16.4%	28	50.9%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%

表NO.110 地域区分別 問16-1 給食業務を委託しているか

		総計		委託している		委託していない		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	48	5.7%	744	88.9%	45	5.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	24	7.4%	274	84.3%	27	8.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	24	4.7%	470	91.8%	18	3.5%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	8	6.3%	115	89.8%	5	3.9%
	公 営	52	100.0%	5	9.6%	44	84.6%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	3	3.9%	71	93.4%	2	2.6%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	10	5.6%	154	86.5%	14	7.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	6	8.1%	60	81.1%	8	10.8%
	民 営	104	100.0%	4	3.8%	94	90.4%	6	5.8%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	10	10.3%	85	87.6%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	7	15.2%	38	82.6%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	3	5.9%	47	92.2%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	3	3.2%	82	88.2%	8	8.6%
	公 営	52	100.0%	3	5.8%	42	80.8%	7	13.5%
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	40	97.6%	1	2.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	11	10.8%	90	88.2%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	27	93.1%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	10	13.7%	63	86.3%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	3	3.1%	86	87.8%	9	9.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	36	81.8%	6	13.6%
	民 営	54	100.0%	1	1.9%	50	92.6%	3	5.6%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	3	2.1%	132	93.6%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	---	---	27	96.4%	1	3.6%
	民 営	113	100.0%	3	2.7%	105	92.9%	5	4.4%

表NO.111 所在地区別 問16-1 給食業務を委託しているか

		総計		委託している		委託していない		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	48	5.7%	744	88.9%	45	5.4%
	公 営	325	100.0%	24	7.4%	274	84.3%	27	8.3%
	民 営	512	100.0%	24	4.7%	470	91.8%	18	3.5%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	5	4.5%	102	91.1%	5	4.5%
	公 営	28	100.0%	2	7.1%	25	89.3%	1	3.6%
	民 営	84	100.0%	3	3.6%	77	91.7%	4	4.8%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	8	7.3%	94	85.5%	8	7.3%
	公 営	29	100.0%	1	3.4%	25	86.2%	3	10.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	7	8.6%	69	85.2%	5	6.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	4	2.9%	126	92.0%	7	5.1%
	公 営	41	100.0%	2	4.9%	34	82.9%	5	12.2%
	民 営	96	100.0%	2	2.1%	92	95.8%	2	2.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	9	3.6%	232	92.1%	11	4.4%
	公 営	97	100.0%	3	3.1%	87	89.7%	7	7.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	6	3.9%	145	93.5%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	7	8.3%	73	86.9%	4	4.8%
	公 営	43	100.0%	3	7.0%	36	83.7%	4	9.3%
	民 営	41	100.0%	4	9.8%	37	90.2%	---	---
町・村	計	142	100.0%	15	10.6%	117	82.4%	10	7.0%
	公 営	87	100.0%	13	14.9%	67	77.0%	7	8.0%
	民 営	55	100.0%	2	3.6%	50	90.9%	3	5.5%

表NO.112 地域区別 問16-1-1 どのような方法で行っているか

		総計		調理済みの物を搬入		調理室を業者が使用		人材派遣会社等に委託		その他		未回答	
全国	計	48	100.0%	14	29.2%	24	50.0%	6	12.5%	3	6.3%	1	2.1%
	公 営	24	100.0%	11	45.8%	7	29.2%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	---	---
	民 営	24	100.0%	3	12.5%	17	70.8%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%
北海道・東北地区	計	8	100.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	100.0%	---	---	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---
関東地区	計	10	100.0%	2	20.0%	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	---	---
	公 営	6	100.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	4	100.0%	---	---	3	75.0%	---	---	1	25.0%	---	---
東海地区	計	10	100.0%	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	---	---	2	20.0%	---	---
	公 営	7	100.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	---	---	2	28.6%	---	---
	民 営	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	---	---	---	---	---	---
北信越地区	計	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
近畿地区	計	11	100.0%	3	27.3%	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	10	100.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	1	10.0%	---	---	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	3	100.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	1	100.0%	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	3	100.0%	---	---	2	66.7%	---	---	---	---	1	33.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	100.0%	---	---	2	66.7%	---	---	---	---	1	33.3%

表NO.113 所在地区別 問16-1 どのような方法で行っているか

		総計		調理済みの物を搬入		調理室を業者が使用		人材派遣会社等に委託		その他		未回答	
総計	計	48	100.0%	14	29.2%	24	50.0%	6	12.5%	3	6.3%	1	2.1%
	公 営	24	100.0%	11	45.8%	7	29.2%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	---	---
	民 営	24	100.0%	3	12.5%	17	70.8%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%
都区部・指定都市	計	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	---	---	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	---	---	---	---	---	---
県庁所在市	計	8	100.0%	1	12.5%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	---	---	1	12.5%
	公 営	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---	1	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	7	100.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	---	---	---	---	1	14.3%
中都市	計	4	100.0%	---	---	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	---	---
	公 営	2	100.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	---	---
	民 営	2	100.0%	---	---	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	---	---	---	---
小都市A	計	9	100.0%	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	---	---
	公 営	3	100.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	6	100.0%	---	---	5	83.3%	---	---	1	16.7%	---	---
小都市B	計	7	100.0%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	---	---	---	---
	公 営	3	100.0%	2	66.7%	---	---	1	33.3%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	4	100.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	---	---	---	---
町・村	計	15	100.0%	8	53.3%	5	33.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	---	---
	公 営	13	100.0%	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	---	---
	民 営	2	100.0%	---	---	2	100.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---

表NO.114 地域区分別 問16-2 給食業務を委託する予定

		総計		今後検討の予定		まったく考えていない		将来は考えたい		その他		未回答	
全国	計	744	100.0%	49	6.6%	593	79.7%	21	2.8%	53	7.1%	28	3.8%
	公 営	274	100.0%	26	9.5%	182	66.4%	5	1.8%	42	15.3%	19	6.9%
	民 営	470	100.0%	23	4.9%	411	87.4%	16	3.4%	11	2.3%	9	1.9%
北海道・東北地区	計	115	100.0%	5	4.3%	91	79.1%	5	4.3%	10	8.7%	4	3.5%
	公 営	44	100.0%	2	4.5%	29	65.9%	3	6.8%	7	15.9%	3	6.8%
	民 営	71	100.0%	3	4.2%	62	87.3%	2	2.8%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%
関東地区	計	154	100.0%	15	9.7%	115	74.7%	2	1.3%	14	9.1%	8	5.2%
	公 営	60	100.0%	7	11.7%	32	53.3%	1	1.7%	14	23.3%	6	10.0%
	民 営	94	100.0%	8	8.5%	83	88.3%	1	1.1%	---	---	2	2.1%
東海地区	計	85	100.0%	7	8.2%	62	72.9%	3	3.5%	9	10.6%	4	4.7%
	公 営	38	100.0%	4	10.5%	25	65.8%	---	---	6	15.8%	3	7.9%
	民 営	47	100.0%	3	6.4%	37	78.7%	3	6.4%	3	6.4%	1	2.1%
北信越地区	計	82	100.0%	4	4.9%	72	87.8%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%
	公 営	42	100.0%	4	9.5%	35	83.3%	---	---	2	4.8%	1	2.4%
	民 営	40	100.0%	---	---	37	92.5%	2	5.0%	---	---	1	2.5%
近畿地区	計	90	100.0%	6	6.7%	67	74.4%	5	5.6%	8	8.9%	4	4.4%
	公 営	27	100.0%	5	18.5%	14	51.9%	---	---	6	22.2%	2	7.4%
	民 営	63	100.0%	1	1.6%	53	84.1%	5	7.9%	2	3.2%	2	3.2%
中国・四国地区	計	86	100.0%	4	4.7%	75	87.2%	---	---	4	4.7%	3	3.5%
	公 営	36	100.0%	2	5.6%	27	75.0%	---	---	4	11.1%	3	8.3%
	民 営	50	100.0%	2	4.0%	48	96.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---
九州地区	計	132	100.0%	8	6.1%	111	84.1%	4	3.0%	6	4.5%	3	2.3%
	公 営	27	100.0%	2	7.4%	20	74.1%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	1	3.7%
	民 営	105	100.0%	6	5.7%	91	86.7%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	2	1.9%

表NO.115 所在地区別 問16-2 給食業務を委託する予定

		総計		今後検討の予定		まったく考えていない		将来は考えたい		その他		未回答	
総計	計	744	100.0%	49	6.6%	593	79.7%	21	2.8%	53	7.1%	28	3.8%
	公 営	274	100.0%	26	9.5%	182	66.4%	5	1.8%	42	15.3%	19	6.9%
	民 営	470	100.0%	23	4.9%	411	87.4%	16	3.4%	11	2.3%	9	1.9%
都区部・指定都市	計	102	100.0%	7	6.9%	81	79.4%	3	2.9%	8	7.8%	3	2.9%
	公 営	25	100.0%	3	12.0%	14	56.0%	---	---	6	24.0%	2	8.0%
	民 営	77	100.0%	4	5.2%	67	87.0%	3	3.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%
県庁所在市	計	94	100.0%	7	7.4%	74	78.7%	4	4.3%	7	7.4%	2	2.1%
	公 営	25	100.0%	3	12.0%	16	64.0%	---	---	6	24.0%	---	---
	民 営	69	100.0%	4	5.8%	58	84.1%	4	5.8%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%
中都市	計	126	100.0%	9	7.1%	97	77.0%	5	4.0%	9	7.1%	6	4.8%
	公 営	34	100.0%	3	8.8%	20	58.8%	---	---	7	20.6%	4	11.8%
	民 営	92	100.0%	6	6.5%	77	83.7%	5	5.4%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%
小都市A	計	232	100.0%	15	6.5%	183	78.9%	7	3.0%	18	7.8%	9	3.9%
	公 営	87	100.0%	10	11.5%	56	64.4%	3	3.4%	12	13.8%	6	6.9%
	民 営	145	100.0%	5	3.4%	127	87.6%	4	2.8%	6	4.1%	3	2.1%
小都市B	計	73	100.0%	5	6.8%	61	83.6%	---	---	2	2.7%	5	6.8%
	公 営	36	100.0%	3	8.3%	27	75.0%	---	---	2	5.6%	4	11.1%
	民 営	37	100.0%	2	5.4%	34	91.9%	---	---	---	---	1	2.7%
町・村	計	117	100.0%	6	5.1%	97	82.9%	2	1.7%	9	7.7%	3	2.6%
	公 営	67	100.0%	4	6.0%	49	73.1%	2	3.0%	9	13.4%	3	4.5%
	民 営	50	100.0%	2	4.0%	48	96.0%	---	---	---	---	---	---

表NO.116 地域区分別 問17-1 地域子育て支援センターを併設・運営しているか

		総計		は い		いいえ		未回答	
全国	計	837	100.0%	174	20.8%	617	73.7%	46	5.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	66	20.3%	234	72.0%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	108	21.1%	383	74.8%	21	4.1%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	27	21.1%	95	74.2%	6	4.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	13	25.0%	36	69.2%	3	5.8%
	民 営	76	100.0%	14	18.4%	59	77.6%	3	3.9%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	33	18.5%	131	73.6%	14	7.9%
	公 営	74	100.0%	10	13.5%	57	77.0%	7	9.5%
	民 営	104	100.0%	23	22.1%	74	71.2%	7	6.7%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	29	29.9%	66	68.0%	2	2.1%
	公 営	46	100.0%	13	28.3%	32	69.6%	1	2.2%
	民 営	51	100.0%	16	31.4%	34	66.7%	1	2.0%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	19	20.4%	67	72.0%	7	7.5%
	公 営	52	100.0%	12	23.1%	35	67.3%	5	9.6%
	民 営	41	100.0%	7	17.1%	32	78.0%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	22	21.6%	79	77.5%	1	1.0%
	公 営	29	100.0%	4	13.8%	24	82.8%	1	3.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	18	24.7%	55	75.3%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	23	23.5%	65	66.3%	10	10.2%
	公 営	44	100.0%	7	15.9%	29	65.9%	8	18.2%
	民 営	54	100.0%	16	29.6%	36	66.7%	2	3.7%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	21	14.9%	114	80.9%	6	4.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	7	25.0%	21	75.0%	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	14	12.4%	93	82.3%	6	5.3%

表NO.117 所在地区別 問17-1 地域子育て支援センターを併設・運営しているか

		総計		は い		いいえ		未回答	
総計	計	837	100.0%	174	20.8%	617	73.7%	46	5.5%
	公 営	325	100.0%	66	20.3%	234	72.0%	25	7.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	108	21.1%	383	74.8%	21	4.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	19	17.0%	85	75.9%	8	7.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	5	17.9%	21	75.0%	2	7.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	14	16.7%	64	76.2%	6	7.1%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	19	17.3%	84	76.4%	7	6.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	21	72.4%	2	6.9%
	民 営	81	100.0%	13	16.0%	63	77.8%	5	6.2%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	32	23.4%	97	70.8%	8	5.8%
	公 営	41	100.0%	12	29.3%	24	58.5%	5	12.2%
	民 営	96	100.0%	20	20.8%	73	76.0%	3	3.1%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	57	22.6%	185	73.4%	10	4.0%
	公 営	97	100.0%	17	17.5%	74	76.3%	6	6.2%
	民 営	155	100.0%	40	25.8%	111	71.6%	4	2.6%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	11	13.1%	69	82.1%	4	4.8%
	公 営	43	100.0%	5	11.6%	35	81.4%	3	7.0%
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	34	82.9%	1	2.4%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	36	25.4%	97	68.3%	9	6.3%
	公 営	87	100.0%	21	24.1%	59	67.8%	7	8.0%
	民 営	55	100.0%	15	27.3%	38	69.1%	2	3.6%

表NO.118 地域区分別 問17-1-1 方式一区分はどのようになっているか

		総計		国の事業		県の事業		市の事業		貴園独自で		未回答	
全国	計	174	100.0%	59	33.9%	32	18.4%	80	46.0%	33	19.0%	1	0.6%
	公 営	66	100.0%	18	27.3%	17	25.8%	36	54.5%	9	13.6%	---	---
	民 営	108	100.0%	41	38.0%	15	13.9%	44	40.7%	24	22.2%	1	0.9%
北海道・東北地区	計	27	100.0%	8	29.6%	6	22.2%	9	33.3%	6	22.2%	---	---
	公 営	13	100.0%	3	23.1%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%	---	---
	民 営	14	100.0%	5	35.7%	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	---	---
関東地区	計	33	100.0%	12	36.4%	7	21.2%	18	54.5%	7	21.2%	---	---
	公 営	10	100.0%	2	20.0%	---	---	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	---	---
	民 営	23	100.0%	10	43.5%	7	30.4%	12	52.2%	4	17.4%	---	---
東海地区	計	29	100.0%	8	27.6%	5	17.2%	16	55.2%	3	10.3%	---	---
	公 営	13	100.0%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	16	100.0%	6	37.5%	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	3	18.8%	---	---
北信越地区	計	19	100.0%	4	21.1%	6	31.6%	13	68.4%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%
	公 営	12	100.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	---	---
	民 営	7	100.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	---	---	1	14.3%
近畿地区	計	22	100.0%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	15	68.2%	5	22.7%	---	---
	公 営	4	100.0%	---	---	---	---	4	100.0%	1	25.0%	---	---
	民 営	18	100.0%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	11	61.1%	4	22.2%	---	---
中国・四国地区	計	23	100.0%	13	56.5%	2	8.7%	4	17.4%	4	17.4%	---	---
	公 営	7	100.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	16	100.0%	9	56.3%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	4	25.0%	---	---
九州地区	計	21	100.0%	10	47.6%	5	23.8%	5	23.8%	6	28.6%	---	---
	公 営	7	100.0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	14	100.0%	6	42.9%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	6	42.9%	---	---

表NO.119 所在地区別 問17-1-1 方式一区分はどのようなになっているか

		総計		国の事業		県の事業		市の事業		貴園独自で		未回答	
総計	計	174	100.0%	59	33.9%	32	18.4%	80	46.0%	33	19.0%	1	0.6%
	公 営	66	100.0%	18	27.3%	17	25.8%	36	54.5%	9	13.6%	---	---
	民 営	108	100.0%	41	38.0%	15	13.9%	44	40.7%	24	22.2%	1	0.9%
都区部・指定都市	計	19	100.0%	1	5.3%	---	---	16	84.2%	4	21.1%	---	---
	公 営	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---	5	100.0%	---	---	---	---
	民 営	14	100.0%	1	7.1%	---	---	11	78.6%	4	28.6%	---	---
県庁所在市	計	19	100.0%	8	42.1%	---	---	8	42.1%	4	21.1%	---	---
	公 営	6	100.0%	1	16.7%	---	---	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	---	---
	民 営	13	100.0%	7	53.8%	---	---	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	---	---
中都市	計	32	100.0%	10	31.3%	4	12.5%	17	53.1%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%
	公 営	12	100.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	---	---
	民 営	20	100.0%	9	45.0%	2	10.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%
小都市A	計	57	100.0%	21	36.8%	12	21.1%	31	54.4%	10	17.5%	---	---
	公 営	17	100.0%	6	35.3%	6	35.3%	12	70.6%	2	11.8%	---	---
	民 営	40	100.0%	15	37.5%	6	15.0%	19	47.5%	8	20.0%	---	---
小都市B	計	11	100.0%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	---	---
	公 営	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	---	---
	民 営	6	100.0%	3	50.0%	---	---	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	---	---
町・村	計	36	100.0%	15	41.7%	15	41.7%	3	8.3%	6	16.7%	---	---
	公 営	21	100.0%	9	42.9%	8	38.1%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	---	---
	民 営	15	100.0%	6	40.0%	7	46.7%	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	---	---

表NO.120 地域区分別 問17-1-2 運営上の困難性・課題

		総計		有		無	
全国	計	174	100.0%	75	43.1%	99	56.9%
	公 営	66	100.0%	18	27.3%	48	72.7%
	民 営	108	100.0%	57	52.8%	51	47.2%
北海道・東北地区	計	27	100.0%	10	37.0%	17	63.0%
	公 営	13	100.0%	3	23.1%	10	76.9%
	民 営	14	100.0%	7	50.0%	7	50.0%
関東地区	計	33	100.0%	10	30.3%	23	69.7%
	公 営	10	100.0%	1	10.0%	9	90.0%
	民 営	23	100.0%	9	39.1%	14	60.9%
東海地区	計	29	100.0%	14	48.3%	15	51.7%
	公 営	13	100.0%	5	38.5%	8	61.5%
	民 営	16	100.0%	9	56.3%	7	43.8%
北信越地区	計	19	100.0%	8	42.1%	11	57.9%
	公 営	12	100.0%	6	50.0%	6	50.0%
	民 営	7	100.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%
近畿地区	計	22	100.0%	10	45.5%	12	54.5%
	公 営	4	100.0%	---	---	4	100.0%
	民 営	18	100.0%	10	55.6%	8	44.4%
中国・四国地区	計	23	100.0%	13	56.5%	10	43.5%
	公 営	7	100.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%
	民 営	16	100.0%	11	68.8%	5	31.3%
九州地区	計	21	100.0%	10	47.6%	11	52.4%
	公 営	7	100.0%	1	14.3%	6	85.7%
	民 営	14	100.0%	9	64.3%	5	35.7%

表NO.121 所在地区別 問17-1-2 運営上の困難性・課題

		総計		有		無	
総計	計	174	100.0%	75	43.1%	99	56.9%
	公 営	66	100.0%	18	27.3%	48	72.7%
	民 営	108	100.0%	57	52.8%	51	47.2%
都区部・指定都市	計	19	100.0%	11	57.9%	8	42.1%
	公 営	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%
	民 営	14	100.0%	10	71.4%	4	28.6%
県庁所在市	計	19	100.0%	8	42.1%	11	57.9%
	公 営	6	100.0%	---	---	6	100.0%
	民 営	13	100.0%	8	61.5%	5	38.5%
中都市	計	32	100.0%	11	34.4%	21	65.6%
	公 営	12	100.0%	4	33.3%	8	66.7%
	民 営	20	100.0%	7	35.0%	13	65.0%
小都市A	計	57	100.0%	26	45.6%	31	54.4%
	公 営	17	100.0%	5	29.4%	12	70.6%
	民 営	40	100.0%	21	52.5%	19	47.5%
小都市B	計	11	100.0%	6	54.5%	5	45.5%
	公 営	5	100.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%
	民 営	6	100.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%
町・村	計	36	100.0%	13	36.1%	23	63.9%
	公 営	21	100.0%	4	19.0%	17	81.0%
	民 営	15	100.0%	9	60.0%	6	40.0%

表NO.122 地域区分別 問17-1-3 併設・運営していない理由

		総計		地域に ニーズがない		財源不足		人材不足		市町村の 補助がない		その他		未回答	
全国	計	617	100.0%	103	16.7%	125	20.3%	98	15.9%	134	21.7%	236	38.2%	61	9.9%
	公 営	234	100.0%	41	17.5%	43	18.4%	23	9.8%	24	10.3%	113	48.3%	24	10.3%
	民 営	383	100.0%	62	16.2%	82	21.4%	75	19.6%	110	28.7%	123	32.1%	37	9.7%
北海道・東北地区	計	95	100.0%	22	23.2%	20	21.1%	11	11.6%	20	21.1%	39	41.1%	8	8.4%
	公 営	36	100.0%	8	22.2%	7	19.4%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	18	50.0%	3	8.3%
	民 営	59	100.0%	14	23.7%	13	22.0%	8	13.6%	18	30.5%	21	35.6%	5	8.5%
関東地区	計	131	100.0%	19	14.5%	22	16.8%	18	13.7%	24	18.3%	56	42.7%	15	11.5%
	公 営	57	100.0%	11	19.3%	9	15.8%	4	7.0%	6	10.5%	28	49.1%	6	10.5%
	民 営	74	100.0%	8	10.8%	13	17.6%	14	18.9%	18	24.3%	28	37.8%	9	12.2%
東海地区	計	66	100.0%	6	9.1%	14	21.2%	17	25.8%	10	15.2%	32	48.5%	4	6.1%
	公 営	32	100.0%	3	9.4%	6	18.8%	6	18.8%	1	3.1%	22	68.8%	2	6.3%
	民 営	34	100.0%	3	8.8%	8	23.5%	11	32.4%	9	26.5%	10	29.4%	2	5.9%
北信越地区	計	67	100.0%	12	17.9%	17	25.4%	17	25.4%	11	16.4%	26	38.8%	6	9.0%
	公 営	35	100.0%	6	17.1%	8	22.9%	5	14.3%	3	8.6%	16	45.7%	4	11.4%
	民 営	32	100.0%	6	18.8%	9	28.1%	12	37.5%	8	25.0%	10	31.3%	2	6.3%
近畿地区	計	79	100.0%	8	10.1%	14	17.7%	11	13.9%	20	25.3%	30	38.0%	11	13.9%
	公 営	24	100.0%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	12	50.0%	3	12.5%
	民 営	55	100.0%	5	9.1%	11	20.0%	10	18.2%	17	30.9%	18	32.7%	8	14.5%
中国・四国地区	計	65	100.0%	11	16.9%	11	16.9%	9	13.8%	17	26.2%	20	30.8%	9	13.8%
	公 営	29	100.0%	5	17.2%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	12	41.4%	4	13.8%
	民 営	36	100.0%	6	16.7%	6	16.7%	7	19.4%	13	36.1%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%
九州地区	計	114	100.0%	25	21.9%	27	23.7%	15	13.2%	32	28.1%	33	28.9%	8	7.0%
	公 営	21	100.0%	5	23.8%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%	5	23.8%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%
	民 営	93	100.0%	20	21.5%	22	23.7%	13	14.0%	27	29.0%	28	30.1%	6	6.5%

表NO.123 所在地区別 問17-1-3 併設・運営していない理由

		総計		地域に ニーズがない		財源不足		人材不足		市町村の 補助がない		その他		未回答	
総計	計	617	100.0%	103	16.7%	125	20.3%	98	15.9%	134	21.7%	236	38.2%	61	9.9%
	公 営	234	100.0%	41	17.5%	43	18.4%	23	9.8%	24	10.3%	113	48.3%	24	10.3%
	民 営	383	100.0%	62	16.2%	82	21.4%	75	19.6%	110	28.7%	123	32.1%	37	9.7%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	85	100.0%	10	11.8%	20	23.5%	14	16.5%	16	18.8%	43	50.6%	7	8.2%
	公 営	21	100.0%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	---	---	1	4.8%	15	71.4%	1	4.8%
	民 営	64	100.0%	8	12.5%	18	28.1%	14	21.9%	15	23.4%	28	43.8%	6	9.4%
県庁所在市	計	84	100.0%	12	14.3%	17	20.2%	15	17.9%	22	26.2%	26	31.0%	12	14.3%
	公 営	21	100.0%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	6	28.6%	7	33.3%	2	9.5%
	民 営	63	100.0%	7	11.1%	15	23.8%	14	22.2%	16	25.4%	19	30.2%	10	15.9%
中都市	計	97	100.0%	13	13.4%	15	15.5%	17	17.5%	27	27.8%	38	39.2%	9	9.3%
	公 営	24	100.0%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	5	20.8%	14	58.3%	2	8.3%
	民 営	73	100.0%	9	12.3%	13	17.8%	14	19.2%	22	30.1%	24	32.9%	7	9.6%
小都市A	計	185	100.0%	36	19.5%	29	15.7%	26	14.1%	43	23.2%	65	35.1%	19	10.3%
	公 営	74	100.0%	11	14.9%	12	16.2%	8	10.8%	6	8.1%	38	51.4%	9	12.2%
	民 営	111	100.0%	25	22.5%	17	15.3%	18	16.2%	37	33.3%	27	24.3%	10	9.0%
小都市B	計	69	100.0%	14	20.3%	20	29.0%	12	17.4%	13	18.8%	20	29.0%	7	10.1%
	公 営	35	100.0%	8	22.9%	7	20.0%	4	11.4%	5	14.3%	11	31.4%	4	11.4%
	民 営	34	100.0%	6	17.6%	13	38.2%	8	23.5%	8	23.5%	9	26.5%	3	8.8%
町・村	計	97	100.0%	18	18.6%	24	24.7%	14	14.4%	13	13.4%	44	45.4%	7	7.2%
	公 営	59	100.0%	11	18.6%	18	30.5%	7	11.9%	1	1.7%	28	47.5%	6	10.2%
	民 営	38	100.0%	7	18.4%	6	15.8%	7	18.4%	12	31.6%	16	42.1%	1	2.6%

表NO.124 地域区別 問18-1 地域でニーズがある事業

		総計		放課後児童 健全育成事業		地域児童健全 育成活動支援事業		児童健全 育成特別事業		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	289	56.4%	121	23.6%	183	35.7%	139	27.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	289	56.4%	121	23.6%	183	35.7%	139	27.1%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	76	100.0%	40	52.6%	10	13.2%	18	23.7%	25	32.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	40	52.6%	10	13.2%	18	23.7%	25	32.9%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	52	50.0%	33	31.7%	44	42.3%	31	29.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	52	50.0%	33	31.7%	44	42.3%	31	29.8%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	31	60.8%	12	23.5%	18	35.3%	11	21.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	31	60.8%	12	23.5%	18	35.3%	11	21.6%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	24	58.5%	7	17.1%	13	31.7%	10	24.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	24	58.5%	7	17.1%	13	31.7%	10	24.4%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	47	64.4%	27	37.0%	33	45.2%	16	21.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	47	64.4%	27	37.0%	33	45.2%	16	21.9%
中国・ 四国地区	計	54	100.0%	29	53.7%	9	16.7%	18	33.3%	15	27.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	29	53.7%	9	16.7%	18	33.3%	15	27.8%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	66	58.4%	23	20.4%	39	34.5%	31	27.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	66	58.4%	23	20.4%	39	34.5%	31	27.4%

表NO.125 所在地区別 問18-1 地域でニーズがある事業

		総計		放課後児童健全育成事業		地域児童健全育成活動支援事業		児童健全育成特別事業		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	289	56.4%	121	23.6%	183	35.7%	139	27.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	289	56.4%	121	23.6%	183	35.7%	139	27.1%
都区部・指定都市	計	84	100.0%	48	57.1%	21	25.0%	29	34.5%	24	28.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	48	57.1%	21	25.0%	29	34.5%	24	28.6%
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	38	46.9%	14	17.3%	30	37.0%	29	35.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	38	46.9%	14	17.3%	30	37.0%	29	35.8%
中都市	計	96	100.0%	54	56.3%	23	24.0%	35	36.5%	28	29.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	54	56.3%	23	24.0%	35	36.5%	28	29.2%
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	89	57.4%	45	29.0%	56	36.1%	37	23.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	89	57.4%	45	29.0%	56	36.1%	37	23.9%
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	26	63.4%	8	19.5%	17	41.5%	9	22.0%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	26	63.4%	8	19.5%	17	41.5%	9	22.0%
町・村	計	55	100.0%	34	61.8%	10	18.2%	16	29.1%	12	21.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	34	61.8%	10	18.2%	16	29.1%	12	21.8%

表NO.126 地域区分別 問18-2 実施している事業

		総計		児童福祉施設併設型民間児童館事業		放課後児童健全育成事業		その他		これらを実施していない		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	8	1.6%	94	18.4%	46	9.0%	324	63.3%	53	10.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	8	1.6%	94	18.4%	46	9.0%	324	63.3%	53	10.4%
北海道・東北地区	計	76	100.0%	---	---	12	15.8%	7	9.2%	48	63.2%	10	13.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	---	---	12	15.8%	7	9.2%	48	63.2%	10	13.2%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	3	2.9%	16	15.4%	9	8.7%	70	67.3%	9	8.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	3	2.9%	16	15.4%	9	8.7%	70	67.3%	9	8.7%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	---	---	7	13.7%	6	11.8%	35	68.6%	3	5.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	---	---	7	13.7%	6	11.8%	35	68.6%	3	5.9%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	---	---	10	24.4%	3	7.3%	28	68.3%	2	4.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	10	24.4%	3	7.3%	28	68.3%	2	4.9%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	3	4.1%	11	15.1%	8	11.0%	47	64.4%	7	9.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	3	4.1%	11	15.1%	8	11.0%	47	64.4%	7	9.6%
中国・四国地区	計	54	100.0%	---	---	10	18.5%	4	7.4%	32	59.3%	9	16.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	---	---	10	18.5%	4	7.4%	32	59.3%	9	16.7%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	2	1.8%	28	24.8%	9	8.0%	64	56.6%	13	11.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	2	1.8%	28	24.8%	9	8.0%	64	56.6%	13	11.5%

表NO.127 所在地区別 問18-2 実施している事業

		総計		児童福祉施設 併設型民間 児童館事業		放課後児童 健全育成事業		その他		これらを 実施していない		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	8	1.6%	94	18.4%	46	9.0%	324	63.3%	53	10.4%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	8	1.6%	94	18.4%	46	9.0%	324	63.3%	53	10.4%
都区部・ 指定都市	計	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	8	9.5%	7	8.3%	62	73.8%	7	8.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	2	2.4%	8	9.5%	7	8.3%	62	73.8%	7	8.3%
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	---	---	10	12.3%	10	12.3%	56	69.1%	8	9.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	---	---	10	12.3%	10	12.3%	56	69.1%	8	9.9%
中都市	計	96	100.0%	---	---	16	16.7%	9	9.4%	60	62.5%	13	13.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	---	---	16	16.7%	9	9.4%	60	62.5%	13	13.5%
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	6	3.9%	37	23.9%	11	7.1%	90	58.1%	16	10.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	6	3.9%	37	23.9%	11	7.1%	90	58.1%	16	10.3%
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	---	---	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	25	61.0%	3	7.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	---	---	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	25	61.0%	3	7.3%
町・村	計	55	100.0%	---	---	14	25.5%	5	9.1%	31	56.4%	6	10.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	---	---	14	25.5%	5	9.1%	31	56.4%	6	10.9%

表NO.128 地域区分別 問18-3 児童福祉施設併設型民間児童館を設置する上での問題点

		総計		市町村からの 補助が困難		用地・自己資金		その他		わからない		未回答	
全国	計	512	100.0%	152	29.7%	201	39.3%	27	5.3%	128	25.0%	95	18.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	152	29.7%	201	39.3%	27	5.3%	128	25.0%	95	18.6%
北海道・ 東北地区	計	76	100.0%	26	34.2%	28	36.8%	8	10.5%	13	17.1%	15	19.7%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	76	100.0%	26	34.2%	28	36.8%	8	10.5%	13	17.1%	15	19.7%
関東地区	計	104	100.0%	29	27.9%	42	40.4%	4	3.8%	31	29.8%	17	16.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	104	100.0%	29	27.9%	42	40.4%	4	3.8%	31	29.8%	17	16.3%
東海地区	計	51	100.0%	15	29.4%	24	47.1%	3	5.9%	15	29.4%	6	11.8%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	51	100.0%	15	29.4%	24	47.1%	3	5.9%	15	29.4%	6	11.8%
北信越地区	計	41	100.0%	9	22.0%	17	41.5%	---	---	14	34.1%	6	14.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	9	22.0%	17	41.5%	---	---	14	34.1%	6	14.6%
近畿地区	計	73	100.0%	26	35.6%	30	41.1%	4	5.5%	14	19.2%	16	21.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	73	100.0%	26	35.6%	30	41.1%	4	5.5%	14	19.2%	16	21.9%
中国・ 四国地区	計	54	100.0%	19	35.2%	19	35.2%	5	9.3%	12	22.2%	10	18.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	54	100.0%	19	35.2%	19	35.2%	5	9.3%	12	22.2%	10	18.5%
九州地区	計	113	100.0%	28	24.8%	41	36.3%	3	2.7%	29	25.7%	25	22.1%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	113	100.0%	28	24.8%	41	36.3%	3	2.7%	29	25.7%	25	22.1%

表NO.129 所在地区別 問18-3 児童福祉施設併設型民間児童館を設置する上での問題点

		総計		市町村からの補助が困難		用地・自己資金		その他		わからない		未回答	
総計	計	512	100.0%	152	29.7%	201	39.3%	27	5.3%	128	25.0%	95	18.6%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	512	100.0%	152	29.7%	201	39.3%	27	5.3%	128	25.0%	95	18.6%
都区部・指定都市	計	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	39	46.4%	7	8.3%	21	25.0%	13	15.5%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	84	100.0%	22	26.2%	39	46.4%	7	8.3%	21	25.0%	13	15.5%
県庁所在市	計	81	100.0%	25	30.9%	34	42.0%	1	1.2%	25	30.9%	10	12.3%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	81	100.0%	25	30.9%	34	42.0%	1	1.2%	25	30.9%	10	12.3%
中都市	計	96	100.0%	26	27.1%	37	38.5%	6	6.3%	19	19.8%	23	24.0%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	96	100.0%	26	27.1%	37	38.5%	6	6.3%	19	19.8%	23	24.0%
小都市A	計	155	100.0%	42	27.1%	57	36.8%	9	5.8%	39	25.2%	34	21.9%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	155	100.0%	42	27.1%	57	36.8%	9	5.8%	39	25.2%	34	21.9%
小都市B	計	41	100.0%	15	36.6%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	12	29.3%	5	12.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	41	100.0%	15	36.6%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	12	29.3%	5	12.2%
町・村	計	55	100.0%	22	40.0%	19	34.5%	2	3.6%	12	21.8%	10	18.2%
	公 営	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	民 営	55	100.0%	22	40.0%	19	34.5%	2	3.6%	12	21.8%	10	18.2%

表NO.130 地域区分別 問19 保育制度または保育所運営についての意見

		総計		有		無	
全国	計	837	100.0%	194	23.2%	643	76.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	66	20.3%	259	79.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	128	25.0%	384	75.0%
北海道・東北地区	計	128	100.0%	34	26.6%	94	73.4%
	公 営	52	100.0%	12	23.1%	40	76.9%
	民 営	76	100.0%	22	28.9%	54	71.1%
関東地区	計	178	100.0%	36	20.2%	142	79.8%
	公 営	74	100.0%	11	14.9%	63	85.1%
	民 営	104	100.0%	25	24.0%	79	76.0%
東海地区	計	97	100.0%	25	25.8%	72	74.2%
	公 営	46	100.0%	12	26.1%	34	73.9%
	民 営	51	100.0%	13	25.5%	38	74.5%
北信越地区	計	93	100.0%	17	18.3%	76	81.7%
	公 営	52	100.0%	11	21.2%	41	78.8%
	民 営	41	100.0%	6	14.6%	35	85.4%
近畿地区	計	102	100.0%	22	21.6%	80	78.4%
	公 営	29	100.0%	8	27.6%	21	72.4%
	民 営	73	100.0%	14	19.2%	59	80.8%
中国・四国地区	計	98	100.0%	21	21.4%	77	78.6%
	公 営	44	100.0%	8	18.2%	36	81.8%
	民 営	54	100.0%	13	24.1%	41	75.9%
九州地区	計	141	100.0%	39	27.7%	102	72.3%
	公 営	28	100.0%	4	14.3%	24	85.7%
	民 営	113	100.0%	35	31.0%	78	69.0%

表NO.131 所在地区別 問19 保育制度または保育所運営についての意見

		総計		有		無	
総計	計	837	100.0%	194	23.2%	643	76.8%
	公 営	325	100.0%	66	20.3%	259	79.7%
	民 営	512	100.0%	128	25.0%	384	75.0%
都区部・指定都市	計	112	100.0%	38	33.9%	74	66.1%
	公 営	28	100.0%	12	42.9%	16	57.1%
	民 営	84	100.0%	26	31.0%	58	69.0%
県庁所在市	計	110	100.0%	19	17.3%	91	82.7%
	公 営	29	100.0%	6	20.7%	23	79.3%
	民 営	81	100.0%	13	16.0%	68	84.0%
中都市	計	137	100.0%	37	27.0%	100	73.0%
	公 営	41	100.0%	12	29.3%	29	70.7%
	民 営	96	100.0%	25	26.0%	71	74.0%
小都市A	計	252	100.0%	59	23.4%	193	76.6%
	公 営	97	100.0%	17	17.5%	80	82.5%
	民 営	155	100.0%	42	27.1%	113	72.9%
小都市B	計	84	100.0%	15	17.9%	69	82.1%
	公 営	43	100.0%	7	16.3%	36	83.7%
	民 営	41	100.0%	8	19.5%	33	80.5%
町・村	計	142	100.0%	26	18.3%	116	81.7%
	公 営	87	100.0%	12	13.8%	75	86.2%
	民 営	55	100.0%	14	25.5%	41	74.5%